

Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5156, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892, (301) 435-8367, [boerboom@nih.gov](mailto:boerboom@nih.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel; Fellowships: Cell Biology Specials.

*Date:* December 10, 2009.

*Time:* 2:30 p.m. to 5 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, (Telephone Conference Call).

*Contact Person:* Alessandra M. Bini, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5142, MSC 7840, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-435-1024, [binia@csr.nih.gov](mailto:binia@csr.nih.gov).

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393-93.396, 93.837-93.844, 93.846-93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: November 16, 2009.

**Jennifer Spaeth,**

*Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.*

[FR Doc. E9-27952 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Institutes of Health

#### Center for Scientific Review; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App.), notice is hereby given of the following meetings.

The meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Member Conflict Panel: Population Sciences and Epidemiology IRG.

*Date:* December 9, 2009.

*Time:* 12 p.m. to 2 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

*Contact Person:* Fungai Chanetsa, MPH, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for

Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3135, MSC 7770, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301-435-1262. [fungai.chanetsa@nih.hhs.gov](mailto:fungai.chanetsa@nih.hhs.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, BDCN Member Conflict Special Emphasis Panel.

*Date:* December 11, 2009.

*Time:* 2 p.m. to 5 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

*Contact Person:* Samuel C. Edwards, PhD, Chief, BDCN IRG, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4200, MSC 7812, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435-1152. [edwardss@csr.nih.gov](mailto:edwardss@csr.nih.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Musculoskeletal Engineering.

*Date:* December 11, 2009.

*Time:* 5 p.m. to 7 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

*Contact Person:* Jo Pelham, BA, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4102, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435-1786. [pelhamj@csr.nih.gov](mailto:pelhamj@csr.nih.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Topics in Microbiology.

*Date:* December 15, 2009.

*Time:* 1 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

*Contact Person:* Liangbiao Zheng, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 3214, MSC 7808, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301-402-5671. [zhengli@csr.nih.gov](mailto:zhengli@csr.nih.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Shared Instrumentation.

*Date:* December 15-16, 2009.

*Time:* 3 p.m. to 6 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Virtual Meeting).

*Contact Person:* Michael A. Marino, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 2216, MSC 7890, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435-0601. [marinomi@csr.nih.gov](mailto:marinomi@csr.nih.gov).

*Name of Committee:* Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, LCMI Member Conflict Applications.

*Date:* December 16, 2009.

*Time:* 3 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 (Telephone Conference Call).

*Contact Person:* Everett E. Sinnett, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 2178, MSC 7818, Bethesda, MD 20892. 301-435-1016. [sinnett@nih.gov](mailto:sinnett@nih.gov).

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.306, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393-93.396, 93.837-93.844, 93.846-93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: November 16, 2009.

**Jennifer Spaeth,**

*Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.*

[FR Doc. E9-27950 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### National Institutes of Health

#### National Cancer Institute; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App.), notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

*Name of Committee:* National Cancer Institute Initial Review Group; Subcommittee J—Population and Patient-Oriented Training.

*Date:* February 10, 2010.

*Time:* 7:45 a.m. to 6 p.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* Hilton Alexandria Old Town, 1767 King Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

*Contact Person:* Ilda M. McKenna, PhD, Scientific Review Officer, Research Training Review Branch, Division of Extramural Activities, National Cancer Institute, 6116 Executive Boulevard, Room 8111, Bethesda, MD 20892, 301-496-7481, [mckennai@mail.nih.gov](mailto:mckennai@mail.nih.gov).

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.392, Cancer Construction; 93.393, Cancer Cause and Prevention Research; 93.394, Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Research; 93.395, Cancer Treatment Research; 93.396, Cancer Biology Research; 93.397, Cancer Centers Support; 93.398, Cancer Research Manpower; 93.399,

Cancer Control, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: November 16, 2009.

**Jennifer Spaeth,**

*Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.*

[FR Doc. E9-27949 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-P

## ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

### Notice of Program Comment for the Rural Utilities Service, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency To Avoid Duplicative Section 106 Reviews for Wireless Communication Facilities Construction and Modification

**AGENCY:** Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

**ACTION:** The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation has issued a Program Comment for the Rural Utilities Service, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency to avoid duplicative Section 106 reviews for wireless communication facilities construction and modification.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation has issued a Program Comment for the Rural Utilities Service, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency to relieve them of the need to conduct duplicate reviews under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act when these agencies assist a telecommunications project that is exempt from, or subject to, Section 106 review by the Federal Communications Commission under existing nationwide programmatic Agreements.

**DATES:** The Program Comment went into effect on October 23, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all questions concerning the Program Comment to Blythe Semmer, Office of Federal Agency Programs, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004. Fax (202) 606-8647. You may submit electronic questions to: [bsemmer@achp.gov](mailto:bsemmer@achp.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Blythe Semmer, (202) 606 8552, [bsemmer@achp.gov](mailto:bsemmer@achp.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires Federal

agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and to provide the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings. The ACHP has issued the regulations that set forth the process through which Federal agencies comply with these duties. Those regulations are codified under 36 CFR part 800 (Section 106 regulations)

Under Section 800.14(e) of those regulations, agencies can request the ACHP to provide a "Program Comment" on a particular category of undertakings in lieu of conducting individual reviews of each individual undertaking under such category, as set forth in 36 CFR 800.3 through 800.7. An agency can meet its Section 106 responsibilities with regard to the effects of particular aspects of those undertakings by taking into account ACHP's Program Comment and following the steps set forth in that comment.

#### I. Background

The ACHP has issued a Program Comment to the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Utilities Service (RUS), the U.S. Department of Commerce National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to relieve them from conducting duplicate reviews under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act when these agencies assist a telecommunications project subject to Section 106 review by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The ACHP membership voted in favor of issuing the Program Comment via an unassembled vote on October 23, 2009.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) provides NTIA and RUS with \$7.2 billion to expand access to broadband services in the United States. NTIA will implement the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (BTOP), which will award grants to expand public computer capacity, encourage sustainable adoption of broadband of broadband service, and deploy broadband infrastructure to unserved and underserved areas. RUS, through its Broadband Initiatives Program (BIP), will use loan and grant combinations to support broadband deployment in rural areas.

Broadband deployment can include the construction and placement of communication towers and antennas. Some of those towers and antennas are also regulated by the FCC, and therefore undergo, or are exempted from, Section 106 review under the Nationwide

Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effect on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the FCC and the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for the Collocation of Wireless Antennas (FCC NPAs). RUS, NTIA, or FEMA will be relieved by the Program Comment of the need to conduct a separate Section 106 review for undertakings subject to review under the FCC NPAs.

The ACHP took steps to inform the public and stakeholders about the proposed Program Comment, including an e-mail distribution, posting on the agency Web site, and a notice published in the **Federal Register**. ACHP also sent a letter to the Indian tribal leaders requesting their comments on the Program Comment. Public comments resulting from the September 17, 2009 public notice in the **Federal Register** (74 FR 47807-47809) were received by the ACHP by October 8, 2009.

Various substantive comments from the public were received and considered by the ACHP, as noted below.

FEMA requested inclusion in the provisions of the Program Comment given that its grant programs provide funding for emergency communications facilities that are also subject to review by FCC under the FCC NPAs. FEMA's request would not expand the types of undertakings covered by the Program Comment, so FEMA has been added to the Program Comment.

Two comments objected to how tribal consultation appeared to have been coordinated for the Program Comment, but the characterization of early coordination with intertribal organizations by RUS and NTIA prior to the agencies' formal request to the ACHP did not constitute ACHP's tribal consultation on this program alternative.

Two comments expressed concern about how State and Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs and THPOs) and Indian tribes will be notified when the Program Comment is applied. SHPOs and THPOs and Indian tribes will be notified according to the regular FCC NPAs review processes. There is no change to the FCC NPAs procedures.

Two comments expressed objections or concerns about the FCC NPAs and two comments expressed positive views on the functioning of the FCC NPAs. Nothing in the Program Comment will alter the FCC NPAs, but these comments will be referred to FCC for their consideration on the operation of their NPAs. One comment expressed concerns about towers that may have been constructed before undergoing a Section 106 review. The Program