

allocation practices; recommend the appropriate indirect cost allocation methodology; and determine the indirect cost rates for the three organizations that comprise the DARRP. A **Federal Register** notice on R&M's effort, their assessment of the DARRP's cost accounting system and practice, and their determination regarding the most appropriate indirect cost methodology and rates for FYs 1993 through 1999 was published on December 7, 2000 (65 FR 76611). The notice and report by R&M can also be found on the DARRP Web site at <http://www.darrp.noaa.gov>.

R&M continued its assessment of DARRP's indirect cost rate system and structure for FYs 2000 and 2001. A second federal notice specifying the DARRP indirect rates for FYs 2000 and 2001 was published on December 2, 2002 (67 FR 71537).

In October 2002, DARRP hired the accounting firm of Cotton and Company LLP (Cotton) to review and certify DARRP costs incurred on cases for purposes of cost recovery and to develop indirect rates for FY 2002 and subsequent years. As in the prior years,

Cotton concluded that the cost accounting system and allocation practices of the DARRP component organizations are consistent with Federal accounting requirements. Consistent with R&M's previous analyses, Cotton also determined that the most appropriate indirect allocation method continues to be the Direct Labor Cost Base for all three DARRP component organizations. The Direct Labor Cost Base is computed by allocating total indirect cost over the sum of direct labor dollars, plus the application of NOAA's leave surcharge and benefits rates to direct labor. Direct labor costs for contractors from I.M. Systems Group (IMSG) were included in the direct labor base because Cotton determined that these costs have the same relationship to the indirect cost pool as NOAA direct labor costs. IMSG provided on-site support to the DARRP in the areas of injury assessment, natural resource economics, restoration planning and implementation, and policy analysis. IMSG continues to provide on-site support to the DARRP. A third federal notice specifying the

DARRP indirect rates for FY 2002 was published on October 6, 2003 (68 FR 57672), a fourth notice for the FY 2003 indirect cost rates appeared on May 20, 2005 (70 FR 29280), and a fifth notice for the FY 2004 indirect cost rates was published on March 16, 2006 (71 FR 13356). The notice for the FY 2005 indirect cost rates was published on February 9, 2007 (72 FR 6221). The last notice for the FY 2006 rates was published on June 3, 2008 (73 FR 31679). Cotton's reports on these indirect rates can also be found on the DARRP Web site at <http://www.darrp.noaa.gov>.

Cotton reaffirmed that the Direct Labor Cost Base is the most appropriate indirect allocation method for the development of the FY 2007 and FY 2008 indirect cost rates.

The DARRP's Indirect Cost Rates and Policies

The DARRP will apply the indirect cost rates for FY 2007 and FY 2008 as recommended by Cotton for each of the DARRP component organizations as provided in the following table:

DARRP component organization	FY2007 indirect rate (percent)	FY2008 indirect rate (percent)
Office of Response and Restoration (ORR)	154.72	122.31
Restoration Center (RC)	130.78	133.87
General Counsel for Natural Resources (GCNR)	156.68	94.08

These rates are based on the Direct Labor Cost Base allocation methodology.

The FY 2007 rates will be applied to all damage assessment and restoration case costs incurred between October 1, 2006 and September 30, 2007. The FY 2008 rates will be applied to all damage assessment and restoration case costs incurred between October 1, 2007 and September 30, 2008. DARRP will use the FY 2008 indirect cost rates for future fiscal years, beginning with FY 2009, until subsequent year-specific rates can be developed.

For cases that have settled and for cost claims paid prior to the effective date of the fiscal year in question, the DARRP will not re-open any resolved matters for the purpose of applying the revised rates in this policy for these fiscal years. For cases not settled and cost claims not paid prior to the effective date of the fiscal year in question, costs will be recalculated using the revised rates in this policy for these fiscal years. Where a responsible party has agreed to pay costs using previous year's indirect rates, but has not yet made the payment because the

settlement documents are not finalized, the costs will not be recalculated.

The DARRP indirect cost rate policies and procedures published in the **Federal Register** on December 7, 2000 (65 FR 76611), on December 2, 2002 (67 FR 71537), October 6, 2003 (68 FR 57672), May 20, 2005 (70 FR 29280), March 16, 2006 (71 FR 13356), February 9, 2007 (72 FR 6221), and June 3, 2008 (73 FR 31679), remain in effect except as updated by this notice.

Dated: October 30, 2009.

David Westerholm,

Director, Office of Response and Restoration.

[FR Doc. E9-27236 Filed 11-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JE-P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Proposed Addition

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Proposed addition to procurement list.

SUMMARY: The Committee is proposing to add to the Procurement List a service to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

Comments Must Be Received on or Before: 12/14/2009.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR TO SUBMIT COMMENTS CONTACT: Barry S. Lineback, Telephone: (703) 603-7740, Fax: (703) 603-0655, or e-mail CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the proposed actions.

Addition

If the Committee approves the proposed addition, the entities of the Federal Government identified in this notice will be required to procure the service listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. If approved, the action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the service to the Government.

2. If approved, the action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the service to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the service proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

End of Certification

The following service is proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Service:

Service Type/Location: Custodial Service, MC Smith Post Federal Bldg & Courthouse, 202 Harlow Street, Bangor, ME.

NPA: Northern New England Employment Services, Portland, ME.

Contracting Activity: GSA/Public Buildings Service Region 1, Boston, MA.

Barry S. Lineback,

Director, Business Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-27399 Filed 11-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**Office of the Secretary**

[Docket ID DoD-2009-OS-0173]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Defense Security Service, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Defense Security Service (DSS) announces a new public information collection and seeks public comments on the provision thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden hours of the information to be collected; and (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by January 15, 2010.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rule Making Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 1160 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C843, Washington, DC 20301-1160

Instructions: All submissions received must include agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy of comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contract information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to Defense Security Service, ATTN: Ms. Linda Johnston, Industrial Security Field Operations, 1340 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314, or call 703-494-5869.

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Voice of Industry Survey; OMB Control Number 0704-TBD.

Needs and Uses: Executive Order 12829, "National Industrial Security Program (NISP)" Section 202 (a) stipulates that the Secretary of Defense shall serve as the Executive Agent for inspecting and monitoring the contractors, licensees, and grantees who require or will require access to or who store or will store classified information; and for determining the eligibility for

access to classified information of contractors, licensees, and grantees and their respective employees. The Executive Agent has the authority to issue, after consultation with affected agencies, standard forms or other standardization that will promote the implementation of the NISP. The Cognizant Security Agency (CSA), designated by the NISPOM, is responsible for determining the frequency of Security Reviews which may be increased or decreased for sufficient reason, consistent with risk management principles. Department of Defense Directive 5105.42, "Defense Security Service," dated May 13, 1999, delineates the mission, functions and responsibilities of DSS. DSS functions and responsibilities include the administration and implementation of the Defense portion of the NISP. This survey will provide feedback on how well DSS is doing with respect to the administration and implementation of the NISP. Participation in the survey is strictly voluntary.

Affected Public: All active and cleared businesses, or other profit and non-profit organizations under Department of Defense Security Cognizance, approved for storage of classified materials.

Annual Burden Hours: 6469.
Number of Respondents: 12,938.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Average Burden per Response: 30 minutes.

Frequency: Annually.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Summary of Information Collection**

This collection of information requests the assistance of the Facility Security Officer or Senior Management Official to provide feedback as to how well DSS is doing with respect to the administration and implementation of the NISP. The survey will be distributed electronically via a web-based commercial survey tool.

Dated: October 26, 2009.

Patricia L. Toppings,

*OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer,
Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. E9-27372 Filed 11-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management