# **Proposed Rules**

Federal Register

Vol. 74, No. 214

Friday, November 6, 2009

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

#### NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

#### 29 CFR Parts 1202 and 1206

[Docket No. C-6964] RIN 3140-ZA00

## **Representation Election Procedure**

**AGENCY:** National Mediation Board. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The National Mediation Board (NMB or Board) extends an invitation to interested parties to attend an open meeting with the Board and its staff on December 7, 2009. The Board meeting will be held from 9 a.m. until 4 p.m. The meeting will be held in the Margaret A. Browning Hearing Room (Room 11000), National Labor Relations Board, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20570. During the public meeting, the NMB invites interested persons to share their views on the proposed rule changes regarding representation election procedures.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Monday, December 7, 2009 from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. A second day of meetings may be scheduled for Tuesday, December 8, 2009 if necessary. Due to time and seating considerations, individuals desiring to attend the meeting, or to make a presentation before the Board, must notify the NMB staff, no later than 4 p.m. on Friday, November 20, 2009.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held in the Margaret A. Browning Hearing Room (Room 11000), National Labor Relations Board, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20570. Requests to attend the meeting must be addressed to Mary Johnson, General Counsel, National Mediation Board, 1301 K Street, NW., Suite 250-East, Washington, DC 20005. Written requests may also be made electronically to legal@nmb.gov. All communications

# must include Docket No. C-6964. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Johnson, General Counsel, National Mediation Board, 202–692– 5050, *infoline@nmb.gov*. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Mediation Board will hold an open public meeting on Monday, December 7, 2009, from 9 a.m. until 4 p.m. The purpose of the meeting will be to solicit views of interested persons concerning the proposed rule changes in representation election procedures.

On Tuesday, November 3, 2009, the NMB proposed a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) (74 FR 56750), proposing to amend its Railway Labor Act rules to provide that, in representation disputes, a majority of valid ballots cast will determine the craft or class representative. These rule changes are proposed to be codified at 29 CFR parts 1202 and 1206. In addition to the comment procedure outlined in the NPRM, the NMB is providing another opportunity for interested persons to provide their views to the Board on this important matter.

Individuals desiring to attend the meeting must notify the NMB staff, in writing, at the above listed physical or e-mail address by the deadline posted. If the individual desires to make a presentation to the Board at the meeting, he or she is required to submit a brief outline of the presentation when making the request. In addition, a full written statement must be submitted no later than 4 p.m. on Friday, November 20, 2009. In lieu of making an oral presentation, individuals may submit a written statement for the record.

To attend the meeting, all potential attendees must include in their request: (1) Their full name and (2) organizational affiliation (if any). Attendees are reminded to bring a photo identification card with them to the public meeting in order to gain admittance to the building. Due to the time and potential space limitations in the meeting room, the NMB will notify individuals of their attendance and/or speaking status (i.e., preliminary time for their presentation) prior to the meeting. Time allocation for oral presentations will depend upon the number of individuals who desire to make presentations to the Board. Individuals should be prepared to summarize their written statements at the meeting.

Agenda: The meeting will be limited to issues related to the NMB's proposal regarding proposed rule changes in representation election procedures appearing in the **Federal Register** on November 3, 2009 at 74 FR 56750–56754. A copy of the NPRM may also be obtained from the NMB's Web site at: http://www.nmb.gov/representation/proposed-rep-rulemaking.html.

Dated: November 3, 2009.

#### Mary Johnson,

General Counsel, National Mediation Board. [FR Doc. E9–26833 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7550–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Department of the Air Force [Docket ID: USAF-2009-0018]

32 CFR Part 806b

#### **Privacy Act; Implementation**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, DoD.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule with request for comments; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense in withdrawing the proposed rule published on October 29, 2009 (74 FR 55796–55797), which proposed to update the Department of Air Force Privacy Act Program Rules, 32 CFR part 806b, by adding the (k)(1) thru (k)(7) exemptions for their Freedom of Information Appeal Records.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Toppings, 703–696–5284.

Dated: November 2, 2009.

#### Patricia L. Toppings,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. E9–26745 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 5001–06–P** 

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

## 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG-2009-0324]

RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety Zone; Coast Guard Use of Force Training Exercises, San Pablo Bay, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a permanent safety zone in San

Pablo Bay for Coast Guard Use of Force Training exercises. This safety zone would be established to ensure the safety of the public and participating crews from potential hazards associated with fast-moving Coast Guard smallboats or helicopters taking part in the exercise. Unauthorized persons or vessels would be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or remaining in the safety zone without permission of the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before January 5, 2010. Requests for public meetings must be received by the Coast Guard on or before November 27, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG—2009–0324 using any one of the following methods:

- (1) Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
  - (2) Fax: 202-493-2251.
- (3) Mail: Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- (4) Hand delivery: Same as mail address above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below for instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or e-mail Lieutenant Simone Mausz, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Francisco; telephone 415–399–7443, e-mail *simone.mausz@uscg.mil*. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided.

#### **Submitting Comments**

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG-2009-0324), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online (via http:// www.regulations.gov) or by fax, mail, or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. If you submit a comment online via http:// www.regulations.gov, it will be considered received by the Coast Guard when you successfully transmit the comment. If you fax, hand deliver, or mail your comment, it will be considered as having been received by the Coast Guard when it is received at the Docket Management Facility. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an e-mail address, or a telephone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to http://www.regulations.gov, click on the "submit a comment" box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the "Document Type" drop down menu, select "Proposed Rule" and insert "USCG-2009-0324" in the "Keyword" box. Click "Search," then click on the balloon shape in the "Actions" column. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 81/2 by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit comments by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and may change the rule based on your comments.

#### **Viewing Comments and Documents**

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, click on the "read comments" box, which will then become highlighted in blue. In the "Keyword" box insert "USCG-2009-0324" and click "Search." Click the "Open Docket Folder" in the "Actions" column. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal

holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

# **Privacy Act**

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008 issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

#### **Public Meeting**

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one on or before November 27, 2009 using one of the four methods specified under ADDRESSES. Please explain why you believe a public meeting would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

#### **Background and Purpose**

U.S. Coast Guard Maritime Safety and Security Team (MSST) San Francisco, U.S. Coast Guard Air Station San Francisco, and various Coast Guard smallboat stations will be conducting use of force training runs in the waters of San Pablo Bay. The exercises are designed to train and test Coast Guard personnel in the decision-making processes necessary to safely and effectively employ use of force from a smallboat or helicopter during Homeland Security incidents. The training will generally involve the use of several Coast Guard smallboats and/or a helicopter to intercept fast-moving, evasive target vessels on the water. The smallboat and helicopter crews will fire weapons at the target vessels using blank ammunition and catch bags to ensure that cartridges and other debris do not fall to the water. This safety zone is issued to establish a restricted area in San Pablo Bay around the training site.

## **Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The Coast Guard is establishing a permanent safety zone in the navigable waters of San Pablo Bay. During training exercises, the safety zone applies to the navigable waters from the surface to the seafloor, defined by enclosing an area within lines connected by the following points: 38°05′11″ N, 122°22′10″ W; 38°03′44″ N, 122°20′12″ W; 38°00′41″ N, 122°25′28″ W; and 38°01′45″ N, 122°26′ 38″ W (NAD 83).

The effect of the permanent safety zone will be to restrict navigation in the vicinity of the exercise. Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the restricted area. These regulations are intended to keep the public a safe distance away from the participating smallboats and/or helicopters and to ensure the safety of transiting vessels.

## **Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

# Regulatory Planning and Review

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

The proposed rule is not expected to have a significant effect on the economy. This safety zone would be activated and enforced for a small area where vessel traffic is low and any unrelated traffic is unlikely. Vessel traffic can pass safely around the safety zone. Before the activation of the zone, the Coast Guard would issue maritime advisories widely available to users of the affected waterways. Because of the potential dangers posed by these exercises, the safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of other vessels transiting the area.

# **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The factual basis for this certification is as follows:

- (1) This proposed rule would affect owners and operators of pleasure craft engaged in recreational activities and sightseeing intending to transit San Pablo Bay;
- (2) This safety zone would not have a significant economic impact on a

substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This safety zone would be activated and enforced for a small area where vessel traffic is low and any unrelated traffic is unlikely. Vessel traffic can pass safely around the safety zone. Before the activation of the zone, the Coast Guard would issue maritime advisories widely available to users of the affected waterways.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

## **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluation its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Lieutenant Simone Mausz, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Francisco; telephone 415-399-7443, e-mail simone.mausz@uscg.mil. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

## **Collection of Information**

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

## **Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

# **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year.

Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

# **Taking of Private Property**

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### **Environment**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 0023.1 and Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. This rule is categorically excluded from certain documentation requirements under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction because the rule involves establishment of a safety zone. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Public Law 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Add § 165.T11–244 to read as follows:

# § 165.T11–244 Safety Zone; Coast Guard Use of Force Training Exercises, San Pablo Bay, CA.

- (a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters of San Pablo Bay from the surface to the seafloor, encompassed by lines connecting the following points: Beginning at 38°05′11″ N, 122°22′10″ W; thence to 38°03′44″ N, 122°20′12″ W; thence to 38°00′41″ N, 122°25′28″ W; thence to 38°01′45″ N, 122°26′38″ W; thence returning to 38°05′11″ N, 122°22′10″ W (NAD 83).
- (b) Effective period. The Coast Guard will notify the public via a Broadcast to Mariners prior to the activation of this safety zone. The Coast Guard may activate the safety zone anytime from 9 a.m. through 11:59 p.m. every Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday, every week of every month. If the exercises conclude prior to the scheduled termination time, the Coast Guard will cease enforcement of this safety zone and will announce that fact via Broadcast Notice to Mariners. Persons and vessels may also contact the Coast Guard to determine the status of the safety zone on VHF-16 or the 24-hour Command Center via telephone at (415) 399-3547.
- (c) Definitions. As used in this section, designated representative means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, or local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port San Francisco (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.
- (d) Regulations. (1) Under the general regulations in § 165.23, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (2) The safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (3) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the safety zone must contact the COTP or the COTP's representative to obtain permission to do so. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative. Persons and vessels may request permission to enter the safety zone on VHF–16 or the 24-hour Command Center via telephone at (415) 399–3547.

Dated: October 16, 2009.

#### P.M. Gugg,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port San Francisco.

[FR Doc. E9–26792 Filed 11–5–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–15–P** 

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 721

[EPA-HQ-OPPT-2008-0252; FRL-8436-8] RIN 2070-AB27

# Proposed Significant New Use Rules on Certain Chemical Substances

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing significant new use rules (SNURs) under section 5(a)(2) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) for two chemical substances which were the subject of premanufacture notices. The two substances are identified generically as multi-walled carbon nanotubes (P-08-177) and single-walled carbon nanotubes (P-08-328). These substances are subject to TSCA section 5(e) consent orders issued by EPA. The consent orders require protective measures to limit exposures or otherwise mitigate the potential unreasonable risk. The proposed SNURs on these substances are based on and consistent with the provisions in the underlying consent orders. The proposed SNURs designate as a "significant new use" the absence of the protective measures required in the corresponding consent orders. This action would require persons who intend to manufacture, import, or process either of these two substances for an activity that is designated as a significant new use by this proposed rule to notify EPA at least 90 days before commencing that activity. The required notification would provide EPA with the opportunity to evaluate the intended use and, if necessary, to prohibit or limit that activity before it occurs.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 7, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2008-0252, by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail*: Document Control Office (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania