the following: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) a list of participants; (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). At the hearing, oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise or, in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. Section 351.210(e)(2) of the Department's regulations requires that requests by respondents for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On September 17, 2009, TCI requested that, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination in this investigation, the Department postpone its final determination by 60 days. At the same time, TCI requested that the Department extend the application of the provisional measures prescribed under section 733(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2) from a four-month period to a six-month period. In accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2), because (1) our preliminary determination is affirmative, (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting this request and are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 19, 2009.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9–25714 Filed 10–26–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-802] [A-570-893]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and the People's Republic of China: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of certain frozen warmwater shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam") and the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The reviews cover the period February 1, 2008, through January 31, 2009.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 27, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bobby Wong, Susan Pulongbarit, or Irene Gorelik, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0409, (202) 482–4031, or (202) 482– 482–6905, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 26, 2009, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain frozen shrimp from Vietnam and the PRC. See Notice of Initiation of Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam and the People's Republic of China, 74 FR 13178 (March 26, 2009). The preliminary results of the reviews are currently due no later than October 31, 2009.

Statutory Time Limits

In antidumping duty administrative reviews, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time

periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these administrative reviews within the original time limit because the Department requires additional time to analyze questionnaire responses, issue supplemental questionnaires, conduct verification, and to evaluate surrogate value submissions for purposes of the preliminary results.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of the administrative reviews by 120 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than March 1, 2010, the first business day following 120 days from the current deadline. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 20, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9–25856 Filed 10–26–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-580-818]

Corrosion—Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Summary: On September 8, 2009, the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register its preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on corrosion–resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007. See Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 46100

(September 8, 2009) (Preliminary Results). We preliminarily found that Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu), Hyundai HYSCO Ltd. (HYSCO), and Pohang Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. (POSCO) received de minimis countervailable subsidies during the POR. We did not receive any comments on our Preliminary Results, and we have made no revisions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 27, 2009. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gayle Longest at (202) 482–3338, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 17, 1993, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the CVD order on CORE from Korea. See Countervailing Duty Orders and Amendments to Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations: Certain Steel Products from Korea, 58 FR 43752 (August 17, 1993). On September 8, 2009, the Department published in the Federal Register its Preliminary Results of the administrative review of this order for the period January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007. See Preliminary Results, 74 FR 46100. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), this administrative review covers Dongbu, HYSCO, and POSCO, producers and exporters of subject merchandise.

In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited interested parties to submit briefs or

request a hearing. The Department did not conduct a hearing in this review because none was requested, and no briefs were received.

Scope of Order

Products covered by this order are CORE from Korea. These products include flat-rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosionresistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or ironbased alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7210.30.0000, 7210.31.0000, 7210.39.0000, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.29.0090, 7210.60.0000, 7210.61.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.21.0000, 7212.29.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000,

7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.12.1000, 7217.13.1000, 7217.19.1000, 7217.19.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.22.5000, 7217.23.5000, 7217.29.1000, 7217.29.5000, 7217.30.15.0000, 7217.32.5000, 7217.33.5000, 7217.39.1000, 7217.39.5000, 7217.90.1000 and 7217.90.5000. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise subject to this order is dispositive.

Period of Review

The POR for which we are measuring subsidies is from January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007.

Final Results of Review

As noted above, the Department received no comments concerning the *Preliminary Results.* Consistent with the Preliminary Results, we find that Dongbu, HYSCO, and POSCO received de minimis countervailable subsidies during the POR. As there have been no changes or comments from the Preliminary Results, a Decision Memorandum was not required for these final results and, therefore, no memo is attached to this Federal Register notice. For further details of the programs included in this proceeding, see the Preliminary Results, 74 FR 46100.

The rates for the reviewed companies are set forth in the following table:

Company	Net Subsidy Rate
Dongbu Steel Co. Ltd. (Dongbu)	0.21 percent <i>ad valorem (de minimis)</i> 0.04 percent <i>ad valorem (de minimis)</i> 0.01 percent <i>ad valorem (de minimis)</i>

7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000,

Assessment Rates/Cash Deposits

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by Dongbu, HYSCO, and POSCO entered, or withdrawn form warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, without regard to countervailing duties. We will also instruct CBP not to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties on shipments of the subject merchandise by Dongbu, HYSCO, and POSCO entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for

consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For all non-reviewed companies, the Department will instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties at the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry, for entries between January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2007. The cash deposit rates for all companies not covered by this review are not changed by the results of this review.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: October 21, 2009

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9–25857 Filed 10–26–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

(A-570-964, A-201-838)

International Trade Administration

Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and

Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China and Mexico: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 27, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maisha Cryor at (202) 482–5831 or Zhulieta Willbrand at (202) 482–3147 (the People's Republic of China (the "PRC")), AD/CVD Operations, Office 4; George McMahon at (202) 482–1167 or James Terpstra at (202) 482–3965 (Mexico), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petitions

On September 30, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") received petitions concerning imports of seamless refined copper pipe and tube ("copper pipe and tube") from the PRC and Mexico filed in proper form by Cerro Flow Products, Inc., KobeWieland Copper Products, LLC, Mueller Copper Tube Products, Inc., and Mueller Copper Tube Company, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners"). See Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties on Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China and Mexico, dated September 30, 2009 (the "Petitions"). On October 5, 2009, October 8, 2009, October 14, 2009, and October 16, 2009, the Department issued a request for additional information and clarification of certain areas of the Petitions. On October 14, 2009, the Department contacted Petitioners by telephone seeking additional information and clarification regarding the PRC portion of the Petition. See Memo to the File from Maisha Cryor, "Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China and Mexico: Margin Calculation,"

dated October 15, 2009. On October 16, 2009, the Department contacted Petitioners by telephone seeking additional information and clarification regarding the scope of the Petition. See Memo to the File from Dana M. Griffies, Import Policy Analyst, "Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China and Mexico: Suggested Scope Changes," dated October 16, 2009.

On October 19, 2009, the Department contacted Petitioners by telephone seeking additional information and clarification regarding industry support. See Memo to the File from Dana M. Griffies, Import Policy Analyst, ' Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China and Mexico: Industry Support," dated October 19, 2009. Based on the Department's requests, Petitioners filed additional information on October 13, 2009 (hereinafter, "Supplement to the Petitions, dated October 13, 2009"), October 15, 2009 (hereinafter, "Supplement to the PRC Petition, dated October 15, 2009"), October 16, 2009 (hereinafter, "Second Supplement to the Petitions, dated October 16, 2009"), October 19, 2009 (hereinafter, "Third Supplement to the Petitions¹,"), and October 20, 2009 (hereinafter, "Fourth Supplement to the Petitions"). The period of investigation ("POI") for the PRC is January 1, 2009, through June 30, 2009. The POI for Mexico is July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009. See 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1).

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), Petitioners allege that imports of copper pipe and tube from the PRC and Mexico are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that Petitioners filed the Petitions on behalf of the domestic industry because Petitioners are interested parties as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act and have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the antidumping duty investigations that Petitioners are

requesting that the Department initiate (see "Determination of Industry Support for the Petitions" section below).

Scope of Investigations

The products covered by these investigations are copper pipe and tube from the PRC and Mexico. For a full description of the scope of the investigations, please see the "Scope of the Investigations," in Appendix I of this notice.

Comments on Scope of Investigations

During our review of the Petitions, we discussed the scope with Petitioners to ensure that it is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. Moreover, as discussed in the preamble to the regulations (Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997)), we are setting aside a period for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. The Department encourages all interested parties to submit such comments by November 9, 2009, twenty calendar days from the signature date of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Import Administration's APO/Dockets Unit, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. The period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and to consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determinations.

Comments on Product Characteristics for Antidumping Duty Questionnaires

We are requesting comments from interested parties regarding the appropriate physical characteristics of copper pipe and tube to be reported in response to the Department's antidumping questionnaires. This information will be used to identify the key physical characteristics of the subject merchandise in order to more accurately report the relevant factors and costs of production, as well as to develop appropriate product comparison criteria.

Interested parties may provide any information or comments that they feel are relevant to the development of an accurate listing of physical characteristics. Specifically, they may provide comments as to which characteristics are appropriate to use as 1) general product characteristics and 2) the product comparison criteria. We note that it is not always appropriate to use all product characteristics as product comparison criteria. We base

¹Final amendments regarding the Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties: Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from the People's Republic of China, and the Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties: Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube from Mexico, were filed on October 19, 2009 (collectively, "Third Supplement to the Petitions, dated October 19, 2009")