

awarded this year is \$800,000 for a three-year (36 months) grant performance period.

The Department reviewed, evaluated, and scored the applications received based on the criteria in the NOFA. As a result, HUD has funded the applications below, in accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (103 Stat. 1987, 42 U.S.C. 3545). More information about the winners can be found at <http://www.oup.org>.

List of Awardees for Grant Assistance Under The FY 2009 Historically Black Colleges and Universities Program Funding Competition, by Institution, Address, and Grant Amount

Region III

1. Howard University, Dr. Rodney D. Green, Howard University, 2400 Sixth Street, NW. Washington, DC 20059. Grant: \$463,960.

Region IV

2. Winston-Salem State University, Ms. Valerie Howard, Winston-Salem State University, 601 South Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, Winston-Salem, NC 27110. Grant: \$724,687.
3. Elizabeth City State University, Morris Autry, Elizabeth City State University, 1704 Weeksville Road, Elizabeth City, NC 27909. Grant: \$800,000.
4. Hinds Community College-Utica Campus, Bobby Pamplin, Hinds Community College-Utica Campus, 34175 Hwy 18 West, Utica MS 39175. Grant: \$713,529.
5. Benedict College, Larry Salley, Benedict College, 1600 Harden Street, Columbia, SC, 29204-1086. Grant: \$800,000.
6. South Carolina State University, Merylin Jackson, South Carolina State University, 300 College Street, Orangeburg, SC 29117. Grant: \$800,000.
7. LeMoyné-Owen College, Jeffery Higgs, LeMoyné-Owen College, 802 Walker Avenue, Suite 5, Memphis, TN 38126. Grant: \$800,000.
8. Tennessee State University, Ginger Hausser-Pepper, Tennessee State University, 3500 John A Merritt Blvd., Campus Box 9503, Nashville, TN 37209. Grant: \$800,000.
9. University of the Virgin Islands, Kathleen Davison, University of the Virgin Islands, # 2 John Brewer's Bay, St Thomas, VI, 00802. Grant: \$794,220.

Region VI

10. Southern University at New Orleans, Cynthia Beaulieu, Southern

University at New Orleans, 6400 Press Drive, New Orleans, LA, 70126. Grant: \$703,604.

11. Southern University at Shreveport, Janice Sneed, Southern University at Shreveport, 3050 Martin Luther King Drive, Shreveport, LA, 71107. Grant: \$800,000.

Region VI

12. Langston University, Linda Tillman, Langston University, 4205 North Lincoln Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK, 73105. Grant: \$800,000.

Dated: September 4, 2009.

Raphael W. Bostic,

Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

[FR Doc. E9-23845 Filed 10-1-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-ES-2009-N158; 1112-0000-81420-F2]

Amendment to the Incidental Take Permit for the San Bruno Mountain Habitat Conservation Plan in San Mateo County, CA

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of finding of no significant impact (FONSI) and issuance of amended incidental take permit.

SUMMARY: On May 20, 2009, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, made a FONSI determination for the action described in the final Environmental Assessment (EA) for the San Bruno Mountain Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Amendment. As authorized by the Endangered Species Act, as amended (Act), we issued an amended Incidental Take Permit to the Cities of Brisbane, South San Francisco, and Daly City, and the County of San Mateo, subject to certain conditions set forth in the permit.

ADDRESSES: Eric Tattersall, Deputy Assistant Field Supervisor, Conservation Planning and Recovery Division, or Mike Thomas, Conservation Planning Branch, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, 2800 Cottage Way, W-2605, Sacramento, CA 95825.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Additional information on this permit may be requested by contacting Eric Tattersall, Deputy Assistant Field Supervisor, Conservation Planning and Recovery Division, at the address shown above or at (916) 414-6600 (telephone).

The final EA, Response to Comments, and FONSI are on the Service's Web site at <http://www.fws.gov/sacramento/es/hcp.htm>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 15, 2008, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 20324) announcing the availability of an EA and amended HCP, and our receipt of an incidental take permit application from the City of Brisbane for an amendment to the San Bruno Mountain Habitat Conservation Plan, in San Mateo County, California. Pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act, the amended permit authorizes the incidental take of the federally endangered callippe silverspot butterfly (*Speyeria callippe*) and federally threatened Bay checkerspot butterfly (*Euphydryas editha bayensis*) within the HCP's 228.3-acre Northeast Ridge (Administrative Parcel 1-07) and protected habitat (Conserved Habitat) area under the terms of the amended HCP. Incidental take of the two butterflies would be in connection with development activities on the Northeast Ridge and management and monitoring of Conserved Habitat currently held or that will be held in fee title by San Mateo County or the State of California and carried out under the San Bruno Mountain HCP. Incidental take of the Bay checkerspot butterfly is not expected to occur since the species has not been observed on San Bruno Mountain since the mid 1980s. However, if the Bay checkerspot butterfly is reintroduced to San Bruno Mountain or naturally recolonizes the area, incidental take resulting from monitoring and management of Conserved Habitat will be covered under the amended incidental take permit.

The amended HCP reduces the size of the Northeast Ridge development area and increases the size of Conserved Habitat. The amended HCP increases undisturbed Conserved Habitat by 20.36 acres, and increases total Conserved Habitat to 144.7 acres in the Northeast Ridge parcel. The reconfigured Conserved Habitat area preserves high quality butterfly habitat including hilltops (used as mating sites), topographic diversity, and a high density of callippe silverspot butterfly larval host plants. The amendment eliminates the Unit II-Neighborhood I subdivision (UII-NI) and increases the size of the Unit II-Neighborhood II subdivision (UII-NII). The reconfigured UII-NII is within an area that has generally lower value habitat (*i.e.*, lower density of larval host plants, fewer hilltops, and a 7.85-acre grove of

eucalyptus trees) than would have been disturbed by UII–NI. The increased development acreage in UII–NII would occur in the eucalyptus grove and areas previously proposed as revegetated areas.

Notice is hereby given that on May 20, 2009, the Proposed Action (Alternative 1) was selected and a FONSI determination was made for the action as described in the final EA for the San Bruno Mountain HCP Amendment. As authorized by the provisions of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we issued an amended permit (TE–215574–5) to the Cities of Brisbane, South San Francisco, and Daly City, and the County of San Mateo, subject to certain conditions set forth in the permit. The permit amendment was granted only after we determined it was applied for in good faith, that granting the permit amendment would not be to the disadvantage of the listed species, and that granting the permit amendment was consistent with the purposes and policy set forth in the Act.

John Enbring,

Acting Deputy Regional Director, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, California.
[FR Doc. E9–23763 Filed 10–1–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLOR932000–L16100000–DF0000–LXSS062H0000; HAG 9–0209]

Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on Bureau of Land Management Lands, Oregon

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in Oregon (Vegetation Treatments DEIS) and by this Notice is announcing the opening of the comment period.

DATES: To ensure comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Vegetation Treatments DEIS within 60 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Web site:* <http://www.blm.gov/or/plans/vegreatmentseis/>
- *E-mail:* orvegtreatments@blm.gov.
- *Mail:* Vegetation Treatments EIS Team, P.O. Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208–2965.

Copies of the Vegetation Treatments DEIS are available on the Internet at: <http://www.blm.gov/or/plans/vegreatmentseis/>. Requests to receive printed or CD copies of the DEIS should be sent to one of the addresses listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd Thompson, EIS Project Manager, by mail at Bureau of Land Management—OR932, P.O. Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208; by telephone at (503) 808–6326; or by email to the EIS Team at the address listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1984, the BLM was prohibited from using herbicides in Oregon by a U.S. District Court injunction issued in *Northwest Coalition for Alternatives to Pesticides, et al. v. Block, et al.* (Civ. No. 82–6273–E). Following completion of an EIS examining the use of four herbicides just on noxious weeds, the injunction was modified by the court in November 1987 (Civ. No. 82–6272–BU). For the subsequent 22 years, the BLM in Oregon has limited its herbicide use to the four herbicides analyzed and limited use of those four herbicides to the control and eradication of Federal-, state-, or county-listed noxious weeds. In that time, new herbicides have become available that can be used in smaller doses, are more target-specific, and are less likely to adversely affect people and other non-target organisms. These new herbicides are being used by adjacent cooperating agencies and landowners to achieve better invasive weed control. Further, there are limited additional native vegetation treatment needs that would be more feasibly met, and more efficiently accomplished with selected herbicides.

In 2007, the BLM Washington Office (WO220) completed the Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on Bureau of Land Management Lands in 17 Western States Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) and related Record of Decision making 18 herbicides available for a full range of non-commodity vegetation treatments in 17 western states including Oregon. Oregon cannot implement that decision, however, until and unless the District Court injunction is lifted. The DEIS being released today, Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in Oregon, tiers to the PEIS,

incorporates additional detailed analysis regarding the potential for human and environmental risks specific to Oregon and addresses the issues in the 1984 District Court decision and other information specific to Oregon. The additional analysis is similar to that presented in a similar EIS completed by the Forest Service in Oregon in 2005. The DEIS is programmatic, addressing all 15.7 million acres in Oregon and addressing all 18 herbicides approved for use by the 2007 Record of Decision for the PEIS and being used in the other 16 western states. The DEIS analyzes one “no action” alternative, one “no herbicide” alternative, and 3 action alternatives which were shaped in part by the comments received during 12 public scoping meetings held throughout Oregon in July 2008. No projects will be authorized; site-specific projects will be subject to additional National Environmental Policy Act analysis.

The analysis indicates that by using BLM manual section and policy standard operating procedures, along with PEIS-adopted mitigation measures, human and environmental risk from the use of herbicides is both minimized and reduced from current levels. The proposed action would also slow the spread of noxious weeds on BLM lands by about 50 percent and result in 2.2 million fewer infested acres in 15 years than under current program capabilities.

Following the public comment period, the BLM will prepare a Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision. These are planned for release in mid-summer 2010.

The responsible official for the EIS is the BLM Oregon and Washington State Director. No public hearings or meetings are planned during the public comment period for the DEIS. Please note that public comments and information submitted; including the names, street addresses, and email addresses of respondents; will be available for public review and disclosure during regular business hours (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays, at the following address: 333 SW. 1st Avenue, Portland, OR 97204.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we