# be consistent with the Travel Management Rule. For more information on the full text, see the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION section.

## Lead and Cooperating Agencies

The U.S. Forest Service—Gila National Forest is the lead agency. Catron County, NM; Hidalgo County, NM; Grant County, NM; and Sierra County, NM are cooperating agencies.

## **Responsible Official**

The Responsible Official is the Gila Forest Supervisor.

# Nature of Decision To Be Made

The decision to be made is how to implement the Travel Management Rule. The responsible official will decide whether to implement the proposed action or an alternative to the proposed action. The decision to be made will also determine whether or not to implement two proposed Forest Plan amendments.

#### Scoping Process

This Notice of Intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the Environmental Impact Statement. Ten open houses are planned for the purpose of providing information to the public regarding this proposed action and soliciting comments. Open houses are scheduled for September 19, 2009, 10 a.m.-4 p.m., Las Cruces, NM, location TBA; September 22, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Glenwood, NM, Glenwood School; September 23, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Mimbres, NM, location TBA; September 24, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Reserve, NM, Reserve Ranger District Office; September 25, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Cliff, NM, location TBA; September 26, 2009, 10 a.m.-5 p.m., Silver City, NM, County Convention Center; September 29, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Truth or Consequences, NM, Black Range District Office; October 1, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Luna, NM, Luna Community Center; October 2, 2009, 3-8 p.m., Quemado, NM, Quemado High School; October 3, 2009, 10 a.m-12 noon, Lordsburg, NM, location TBA. Information can also be obtained at the following Web site: http://fs.usda.gov/ gila (Click on link to Travel Management Rule).

It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions. The submission of timely and specific comments can affect a reviewer's ability to participate in subsequent administrative appeal or judicial review.

Dated: September 2, 2009.

#### Richard E. Markley,

Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. E9–21851 Filed 9–10–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### **Forest Service**

# Notice of Public Meeting, Davy Crockett National Forest Resource Advisory Committee

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106– 393), [as reauthorized as part of Pub. L. 110–343] and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Davy Crockett National Forest Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) meeting will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The Davy Crockett National Forest RAC meeting will be held on Tuesday, September 22, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** The Davy Crockett National Forest RAC meeting will be held at the Davy Crockett Ranger Station located on State Highway 7, approximately onequarter mile West of FM 227 in Houston County, Texas. The meeting will begin at 6 p.m. and adjourn at approximately 8 p.m. A public comment period will begin at 7:45 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gerald Lawrence, Jr., Designated Federal Officer, Davy Crockett National Forest, 18551 State Hwy. 7 E., Kennard, TX 75847: *Telephone:* 936–655–2299 ext. 225 or e-mail at: *glawrence@fs.fed.us.* 

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Davy Crockett National Forest RAC proposes projects and funding to the Secretary of Agriculture under Section 203 of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self Determination Act of 2000, (as reauthorized as part of Pub. L. 110–343). The purpose of the September 22, 2009 meeting is to primarily discuss the Groveton-Phase I Projects proposed by the Forest Service, and new projects proposed by the RAC. These meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the RAC. Each formal RAC meeting will also have time, as identified above, for persons wishing to comment. The time for

individual oral comments may be limited.

### Gerald Lawrence, Jr.,

Designated Federal Officer, Davy Crockett National Forest RAC. [FR Doc. E9–21785 Filed 9–10–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

# University of Oklahoma, et al., Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty–Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89– 651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW, Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 09–019. Applicant: University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 37979, July 30, 2009. Docket Number: 09–027. Applicant: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO 80401. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 37979, July 30, 2009.

Docket Number: 09–042. Applicant: Temple University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA 19140. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL, Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 37979, July 30, 2009. Docket Number: 09–043. Applicant: University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: Tescan, s.r.o., Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 37979, July 30, 2009. Docket Number: 09-044. Applicant: Missouri State University, Springfield, MO 65897. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 37979, July 30, 2009.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign