

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**2009-18-13 Rolls-Royce plc:** Amendment 39-16009.; Docket No. FAA-2009-0771; Directorate Identifier 2009-NE-14-AD.

#### Effective Date

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective October 14, 2009.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Rolls-Royce plc (RR) model RB211 Trent 970-84, 970B-84, 972-84, 972B-84, 977-84, 977B-84, and 980-84 turbofan engines that do not incorporate RR modification Service Bulletin (SB) RB.211-72-G025. These engines are installed on, but not limited to, Airbus A380 airplanes.

#### Reason

(d) Evidence from development testing and flight test Trent 900 engines has identified cracking on some HP Turbine Nozzle Guide Vane (NGV) Convex Surfaces. Analysis of test data and review of the manufacturing process has revealed compounding effects that may contribute to a shortfall in component life and an increased likelihood of premature cracking in this region. Excessive cracking on the Convex Surface may lead to the release of NGV material or the blockage of Turbine gas flow. This results in a risk of fracture to the HP Turbine Blade.

We are issuing this AD to prevent the release of a high-pressure (HP) turbine blade, which could result in an engine power loss or in-flight shut down of one or more

engines, resulting in an inability to continue safe flight.

#### Actions and Compliance

##### First Inspection

(e) Before accumulating 400 total cycles, inspect the HPT NGV Convex Surfaces, in accordance with the accomplishment instructions in section 3.A of Rolls-Royce RB211-Trent 900 Alert Non Modification Service Bulletin (NMSB) RB.211-72-AF995 Revision 2, dated February 9, 2009.

##### Reinspection

(f) If no damage is identified at first inspection:

(1) Repeat the inspection at intervals less than 250 Cycles apart.

(2) If repeat inspections reveal no damage at 1000 cycles revert to normal inspection maintenance as detailed in the Rolls-Royce RB211-Trent 900 Maintenance Planning Document (MPD), and sign off this AD as complied with; no further inspections are required by this AD.

(g) If any damage is identified, refer to the Table 1 and Table 2 in section 3.B. of Rolls-Royce RB211-Trent 900 Alert NMSB RB.211-72-AF995 Revision 2, dated February 9, 2009, for reinspection intervals and rejection criteria.

##### FAA AD Differences

(h) None.

##### Other FAA AD Provisions

(i) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

##### Related Information

(j) Refer to MCAI EASA Airworthiness Directive 2009-0051, dated March 5, 2009.

(k) Contact Ian Dargin, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; e-mail: [ian.dargin@faa.gov](mailto:ian.dargin@faa.gov); telephone (781) 238-7178; fax (781) 238-7199, for more information about this AD.

##### Material Incorporated by Reference

(l) You must use RR Alert Non Mandatory Service Bulletin RB.211-72-AF995 Revision 2, dated February 9, 2009, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Rolls-Royce plc, P.O. Box 31, DERBY, DE24 8BJ, UK; telephone 44 (0) 1332 242424; fax 44 (0) 1332 249936.

(3) You may review copies at the FAA, New England Region, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on August 20, 2009.

**Peter A. White,**

*Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. E9-20830 Filed 9-8-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2009-0476; Directorate Identifier 2008-NM-188-AD; Amendment 39-16006; AD 2009-18-10]

RRN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 707 Airplanes, and Model 720 and 720B Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is superseding an existing airworthiness directive (AD), which applies to certain Boeing Model 707 airplanes, and Model 720 and 720B series airplanes. The existing AD currently requires repetitive detailed inspections to detect cracks and corrosion on any existing repairs and at certain body stations (STA) of the visible surfaces of the wing to body terminal fittings including the web, flanges, and ribs; and applicable related investigative and corrective actions. This new AD retains the requirements of the existing AD and requires repetitive ultrasonic inspections to detect any stress corrosion cracks within the outboard flange of the left and right body terminal fittings at STA 820, and related investigative and corrective actions if necessary. This AD also provides an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This AD also adds two airplanes to the applicability. This AD results from reports of cracks found in the wing to body terminal fittings during routine inspections. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracks and corrosion in the body terminal fittings above and below the floor, which could cause loss of support for the wing and could adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective October 14, 2009.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD as of October 14, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; e-mail [me.boecom@boeing.com](mailto:me.boecom@boeing.com); Internet <http://www.myboeingfleet.com>.

**Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is the Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Berhane Alazar, Aerospace Engineer,

Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 917-6577; fax (425) 917-6590.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Discussion**

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that supersedes AD 2008-17-10, amendment 39-15648 (73 FR 50703, August 28, 2008). The existing AD applies to certain Boeing Model 707 airplanes, and Model 720 and 720B series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on May 26, 2009 (74 FR 24715). That NPRM proposed to continue to require detailed inspections and applicable related investigative and corrective actions. That NPRM also proposed to require repetitive ultrasonic inspections to detect any stress corrosion cracks within the outboard flange of the left and right body terminal fittings at station (STA) 820, and related investigative and corrective actions if necessary. That NPRM also proposed an

optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. That NPRM also proposed to add two airplanes to the applicability.

**Comments**

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comment that has been received on the NPRM. The commenter concurs with the content of the NPRM.

**Conclusion**

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comment that has been received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed.

**Costs of Compliance**

There are about 128 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this AD.

ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Cost per airplane	Number of U.S.-registered airplanes	Fleet cost
Inspections (required by AD 2008-17-10).	20 .....	\$80	\$1,600 per inspection cycle.	11 .....	\$17,600 per inspection cycle.
Inspections (new required action).	20 to 30, depending on group.	80	\$1,600 to \$2,400 per inspection cycle.	Up to 13 .....	Up to \$31,200 per inspection cycle.

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

**Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
- (2) Is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

■ 2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by removing amendment 39-15648 (73 FR 50703, August 28, 2008) and by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

**2009–18–10 Boeing:** Amendment 39–16006. Docket No. FAA–2009–0476; Directorate Identifier 2008–NM–188–AD.

#### Effective Date

(a) This AD becomes effective October 14, 2009.

#### Affected ADs

(b) This AD supersedes AD 2008–17–10, amendment 39–15648.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Boeing Model 707–100 long body, –200, –100B long body, and –100B short body series airplanes; Model 707–300, –300B, –300C, and –400 series airplanes; and Model 720 and 720B series airplanes; certificated in any category; as identified in Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008.

#### Subject

(d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 57: Wings.

#### Unsafe Condition

(e) This AD results from new findings of cracks found in the wing to body terminal fittings during routine inspections. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracks and corrosion in the body terminal fittings above and below the floor, which could cause loss of support for the wing and could adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

#### Compliance

(f) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### Restatement of Requirements of AD 2008–17–10 With Updated Service Information

#### Inspections and Corrective Actions

(g) For airplanes identified in Boeing 707 Special Attention Service Bulletin 3524, dated July 18, 2007: Within 24 months after October 2, 2008 (the effective date of AD 2008–17–10), do detailed inspections and applicable related investigative and corrective actions, by accomplishing all the actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing 707 Special Attention Service Bulletin 3524, dated July 18, 2007; or Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008; except as provided by paragraph (h) of this AD. After the effective date of this AD, use only Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008. Repeat the detailed inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed 24 months. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight.

(h) If any crack or corrosion is found during any inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD, and Boeing 707 Special Attention Service Bulletin 3524, dated July 18, 2007, or Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair the terminal fittings using a method

approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (o) of this AD.

#### No Information Submission

(i) Although Boeing 707 Special Attention Service Bulletin 3524, dated July 18, 2007; and Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008; specify to submit information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

#### New Requirements of This AD

#### Inspections

(j) For Group 1 and Group 2 airplanes identified in Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, on which a modification or repair was done in accordance with Boeing 707/720 Service Bulletin 2912, Revision 1, dated March 13, 1970: At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (j)(1) and (j)(2) of this AD, do an ultrasonic inspection to detect any stress corrosion cracks within the outboard flange of the left and right body terminal fittings at body station (STA) 820, and all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, by accomplishing all the actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, except as provided by paragraph (m) of this AD. Repeat the ultrasonic inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 24 months or 2,000 flight cycles, whichever occurs first. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight.

(1) Within 24 months or 2,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first.

(2) Within 24 months or 2,000 flight cycles after doing the repair or modification, whichever occurs first.

(k) For Group 3 and Group 4 airplanes identified in Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008: Within 2,000 flight cycles or 24 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, do an ultrasonic inspection to detect any stress corrosion cracks within the outboard flange of the left and right body terminal fittings at STA 820, and all applicable corrective actions, by accomplishing all the actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, except as provided by paragraph (m) of this AD. Repeat the ultrasonic inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 24 months or 2,000 flight cycles, whichever occurs first. Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight.

(l) For Group 4 airplanes identified in Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008: Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD, do detailed inspections for corrosion and cracking of the body terminal fittings at STA 820, and all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, by accomplishing all the actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, except as

provided by paragraph (m) of this AD. Repeat the detailed inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed 24 months. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight.

#### Exception to Certain Procedures

(m) If any crack or corrosion is found during any inspection required by paragraph (j), (k), or (l) of this AD, and Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: Before further flight, repair the terminal fittings using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (o) of this AD.

**Note 1:** Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, refers to Boeing 707/720 Service Bulletin 2912, Revision 1, dated March 13, 1970, as an additional source of guidance for doing certain inspections and repairs.

#### Optional Terminating Action

(n) Replacing a body terminal fitting with a fitting made from 7075–T73 material, using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (o) of this AD, terminates the repetitive inspections required by this AD for that fitting only.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(o)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Berhane Alazar, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; telephone (425) 917–6577; fax (425) 917–6590; or, e-mail information to [9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov](mailto:9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov).

(2) To request a different method of compliance or a different compliance time for this AD, follow the procedures in 14 CFR 39.19. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD, if it is approved by an Authorized Representative for the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Delegation Option Authorization Organization who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(p) You must use Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3524, Revision 1, dated September 18, 2008, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of

this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; e-mail [me.boecom@boeing.com](mailto:me.boecom@boeing.com); Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>.

(3) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221 or 425-227-1152.

(4) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: [http://www.archives.gov/federal\\_register/code\\_of\\_federal\\_regulations/ibr\\_locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html).

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 18, 2009.

**Stephen P. Boyd,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. E9-20838 Filed 9-8-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2009-0515; Directorate Identifier 2008-NM-071-AD; Amendment 39-16007; AD 2009-18-11]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F.28 Mark 0070 and 0100 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

Following a red illuminated "DOOR NOT LOCKED" status light indication on the door lock indication panel after lift off, the cabin crew operated the door lock handle. This resulted in inadvertent opening of the downward opening passenger door in flight.

After inspection, it was found that the false red light might be the result of an incorrect

clearance between lever Part Number (P/N) A26997-003 and the Up-Limit Switch. If the Up-Limit Switch has an incorrect clearance, the combination with cabin differential pressure build-up after lift-off might result in a false steady illuminating red "DOOR NOT LOCKED" indication on the Door Indication Panel. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

The unsafe condition is inadvertent opening of the door lock handle in flight, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane or ejection of a passenger or crewmember through the door. We are issuing this AD to require actions to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective October 14, 2009.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD as of October 14, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> or in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1137; fax (425) 227-1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on June 9, 2009 (74 FR 27260). That NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Following a red illuminated "DOOR NOT LOCKED" status light indication on the door lock indication panel after lift off, the cabin crew operated the door lock handle. This resulted in inadvertent opening of the downward opening passenger door in flight. It appeared that the cabin crew was unaware of the content of Fokker 70/100 Service Letter (SL) 272. This SL informs not to operate the door lock handle after the aircraft has started to move or before it has come to a complete standstill.

After inspection, it was found that the false red light might be the result of an incorrect clearance between lever Part Number (P/N) A26997-003 and the Up-Limit Switch. If the Up-Limit Switch has an incorrect clearance, the combination with cabin differential pressure build-up after lift-off might result in a false steady illuminating red "DOOR NOT

LOCKED" indication on the Door Indication Panel. The original Fokker Service Bulletin SBF100-52-044 and the associated Aircraft Maintenance Manual (AMM) task mentioned a clearance of 1,3 mm ± 0,3 mm. Later, based on a trial, an improved clearance of 0,3 mm ± 0,2 mm was introduced. Both documents have been revised for that reason. Later production serial number aircraft with downward opening passenger doors had the correct clearance introduced before delivery, but no action was taken to inspect and adjust the clearance on previously delivered or modified (per SBF100-52-044) serial numbers.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other aircraft of the same type design, this [EASA] Airworthiness Directive (AD) requires two actions:

- The installation of a warning placard near the status lights of the door lock indication panel, instructing the cabin crew not to operate the door handle during flight and to inform the flight crew of the "DOOR NOT LOCKED" indication; and
- A one-time inspection of the clearance between lever P/N A26997-003 and the Up-Limit Switch. If this clearance deviates from the limits given in AMM task 52-71-01-400-814-A, which is 0,3 mm ± 0,2 mm (0.0118 inch ± 0.0079 inch), corrective actions are required.

The unsafe condition is inadvertent opening of the door lock handle in flight, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane or ejection of a passenger or crewmember through the door. The corrective action for improper clearance is adjusting the clearance between the lever and the up-limit switch. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the NPRM or on the determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

We reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed.

#### Differences Between This AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.