and nongovernmental decision-makers, at all levels, in planning and executing activities required for response and recovery from a biological incident in a domestic, civilian setting. The objective of this guidance is to provide federal, state, local, and tribal decision makers with uniform federal guidance to protect the public, emergency responders, and surrounding environments and to ensure that local and federal first responders can prepare for an incident involving biological contamination.

This document follows principles developed within the context of Planning Guidance for Protection and Recovery Following Radiological Dispersal Device (RDD) and Improvised Nuclear Device (IND) Incidents—which was published by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) on August 1, 2008. The RDD/IND document introduced the overarching concept of optimization. Optimization is a flexible, multi-attribute decision process that seeks to weigh many factors. Optimization analyses are qualitative and quantitative assessments applied at each stage of decision-making process from evaluation of decontamination options to implementation of the chosen alternative.

The subject draft guidance applies to characterization, decontamination, clearance, and potential reoccupancy of a variety of public facilities, drinking water infrastructure, and open areas. Principal topics include the unique characteristics and hazards of biological agents, a risk management framework for responding to a biological incident, and implications for remediation activities. A process is provided for making timely and effective decisions despite incomplete data and uncertainties associated with potential risks posed by biological agents. This decision process includes all actions required during response to a biological incident beginning with notification, screening, and environmental sampling. Each step in the decision-making process is described, and the various actions are explicitly linked to numbered boxes in a five-page decisiontree flowchart.

An important step in the decision process is setting clearance (or cleanup) goals for determining whether a remediation is successful and how the treated area may be used. No formula is available for setting clearance goals for biological agents. The collective,

professional judgment of experts, considered within the context of the concerns of a broad range of local, regional, and federal stakeholders should be used to set a clearance goal appropriate to the site-specific circumstances. A practical clearance goal is to reduce residual risk to levels acceptable by employing an optimization process. The aim of such a process is to reduce exposure levels as low as is reasonable while considering potential future land uses, technical feasibility, costs and cost effectiveness, and public acceptability. After the remediation is carried out, a clearance decision is made based on a judgment whether the decontamination verification criteria and the clearance goals have been met. This judgment is based on a thorough analysis of all sampling, processes, and other pertinent data.

This draft document focuses on the decision making framework in response to a biological event. It is designed to be consistent with the *National Response Framework* (Department of Homeland Security, January 2008) and our scientific understanding of the characteristics of biological agents. Neither of these areas is static. We expect both our response planning and our scientific understanding of the characteristics of biological agents to evolve over time.

#### **Response to Comments**

Comments will be reviewed by the White House National Science and Technology Council before this guidance is republished.

### Availability of the Draft Guidance

Copies of the draft guidance are available for review through http://www.regulations.gov, Docket number: EPA-HQ-ORD-2009-0331.

Dated: July 27, 2009.

#### James Kohlenberger,

Chief of Staff, Office of Science and Technology Policy, Executive Office of the President.

#### Bradley I. Buswell,

Undersecretary for Science and Technology (Acting), U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

#### Lek G. Kadeli,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. E9–19688 Filed 8–14–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P** 

#### **EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE U.S.**

[Public Notice 123]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request Marketing Fax Back Response Form

**AGENCY:** Export-Import Bank of the U.S. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Export-Import Bank invites the general public and other Federal Agencies to comment on the proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The Marketing Fax Back Response Form will be used to collect basic trade information about United States companies. This information will be provided to the Export Import Bank's finance consultants nationwide to assist in providing counsel to exporters.

**DATES:** Electronic comments may be submitted through Regulations.Gov. Comments must be received on or before September 16, 2009 to be considered.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments and requests for additional information to Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20038.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title and Form Number: Marketing Fax Back Response Form EIB 05–01.

OMB Number: 3048-.

Type of Review: Regular.

Need and Use: This form will provide basic trade information about U.S. Companies and will provide the Export Import Bank's trade finance consultants nationwide the ability to provide counsel to exporters.

Affected Public: The form affects entities involved in the export of U.S. goods and services.

Estimated Annual Respondents: 1,500.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 5 minutes.

Estimated Annual Burden: 125 hours. Frequency of Reporting or Use: One time for registration

#### Sharon A. Whitt,

Agency Clearance Officer.

BILLING CODE 6690-01-P

Fax Response Form
Export-Import Bank of the United States

To:	Marketing '	Team		Fax to:	
	202-565-	·3723			
	(you may tape business card here)				
	Name:	, 1	,		
Title:			Yes, I would also like a FREE		
	Company:			e consultation to help increase our	
	Address:		company's international sales.		
City: (If CA, please specify cou		city county)			
	State & Zip:				
	Tel:				
	Fax:				
	E-mail:		Web S	Site:	
export c	hallenges. <i>You</i>	u may be assured	nts and partners in your regi I that all information will be a Ederal law. Check us out at w	treated as business	
Please co	Obtaining sı	redit to our foreig afficient working c	n buyers and protecting against apital term financing for capital good	• •	
My comp My comp	,	Ianufacturer Vomen-Owned	Wholesaler / Distributor Minority-Owned	Service Provider Environment-Related	
My comp	oany has	employees (inclu	ding parent and affiliates).		
	export some go parts and/or lab		east 50% U.S. content (i.e., base	ed on product cost, including	
My comp	oany currently e	xports the followin	g products:		
-					
<b>*</b> Му со	ompany currentl	y exports to the fo	llowing countries (please list in	dividual countries):	

* We provide the following payment terms to our foreign buyers:					
	Cash in Advance				
Open Account - Number of days:					
Letters of Credit					
Credit Card					
Other: please specify					
My company's annual <u>export</u> sales volume is: \$ millions)	(specify thousands or				
My company's primary bank is:					

## \* Information required

[FR Doc. E9–19699 Filed 8–14–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6690–01–C

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget, Comments Requested

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comments on this information collection should submit comments on September 16, 2009. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), (202) 395–5887, or via fax at (202) 395–5167, or via the Internet at Nocholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Room 1-C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20554. To submit your comments by e-mail send them to: PRA@fcc.gov and to Cathy. Williams@fcc.gov. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to web page: http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/ PRAMain, (2) look for the section of the web page called "Currently Under Review", (3) click on the downwardpointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit"

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov or contact Cathy Williams on (202) 418–2918.

Agency" box, and (6) when the FCC list

appears, look for the title of this ICR (or

its OMB Control Number, if there is one)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

and then click on the ICR.

button to the right of the "Select

OMB Control Number: 3060-1115.

Title: DTV Consumer Education Initiative; Section 73.674; FCC Form 388.

Form Number: FCC Form 388. Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities; Not-for-profit institutions; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondent and Responses: 200 respondents; 1,800 responses.

Estimated time per Response: 0.50 hours – 85 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Quarterly reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in Sections 4(i), 303(r), 335, and 336 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 303(r), 335, and 336.

Total Annual Burden: 10,940 hours. Total Annual Cost: None.

Confidentiality: No need for confidentiality required with this collection of information.

Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: After the nationwide DTV transition date of June 12, 2009, full—power television broadcast stations must transmit only digital signals, and may no longer transmit analog signals, except for limited analog "nightlight" service. The DTV Delay Act directs the Commission to take any actions "necessary or appropriate to implement the provisions, and carry out the