is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 160 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose and provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions, develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information. All existing ways will have to adjust to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements that have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Mercury.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 107.

Frequency of Response: Initially, annually and semiannually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 20,490.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$1,735,421 in labor costs exclusively. There are no capital/startup or O&M costs associated with this ICR.

Changes in the Estimates: There is no change in the labor cost in this ICR compared to the previous ICR. This is due to two considerations: (1) The regulations have not changed over the past three years and are not anticipated to change over the next three years; and (2) the growth rate for the industry is very low, negative or nonexistent, so there is no significant change in the overall burden.

Since there are no changes in the regulatory requirements and there is no significant industry growth, the labor hours and cost figures in the previous ICR are used in this ICR, and there is no change in burden to industry.

Dated: July 31, 2009.

John Moses,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. E9–18965 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8596-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7146.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34754).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20090176, ERP No. D–FHW– L40237–WA, WA–502 Corridor Widening Project, Proposes Improvements to Five Miles of WA– 502 (NE–219th Street) between NE 15th Avenue and NE 102nd Avenue, Funding, Clark County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetland mitigation, ecological connectivity issues and stormwater impacts. EPA requested additional analysis of indirect and cumulative effects of travel and land use change, mobile source air toxics, and invasive species. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090179, ERP No. D–AFS– K65367–CA, Klamath National Forest Motorized Route Designation, Motorized Travel Management, (Formerly Motorized Route Designation), Implementation, Siskiyou County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water resource impacts, and asbestos impacts, and requested additional information on monitoring, enforcement commitments, effects of climate change, and future planning for specific designated routes. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20090213, ERP No. F–USN– E11068–00, Undersea Warfare Training Range Project, Installation and Operation, Preferred Site Jacksonville Operating Area, FL and Alternative Sites (within the Charleston, SC; Cherry Point, NC; and VACAPES Operating Areas, VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to the marine environment from the deposition of expended training materials. EIS No. 20090214, ERP No. F–CGD– A03086–00, PROGRAMMATIC— Vessel and Facility Response Plans for Oil: 2003 Removal Equipment Requirements and Alternative Technology Revisions, To Increase the Oil Removal Capability, U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), United States, Alaska, Guam, Puerto Pico and other U.S. Territories.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the potential environmental impacts from dispersant application.

EIS No. 20090201, ERP No. FS–AFS– K65281–CA, Brown Project, Revised Proposal to Improve Forest Health by Reducing Overcrowded Forest Stand Conditions, Trinity River Management Unit, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, Weaverville Ranger District, Trinity County, CA

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to preparing agency.

Dated: August 4, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. E9–18967 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8596-1]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 07/27/2009 Through 07/31/2009 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 20090265, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Clearwater National Forest Travel Planning Project, Proposes to Manage Motorized and Mechanized Travel within the 1,827.380–Acre, Clearwater National Forest, Idaho, Clearwater, Latah and Shoshone Counties, ID, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/2009, Contact: Doug Gober 208–476–4541.
- EIS No. 20090266, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Madera Irrigation District Water Supply Enhancement Project, Constructing and Operating a Water Bank on the Madera Property, Madera County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/2009, Contact: Patti Clinton 559–487–5127.
- EIS No. 20090267, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Bitteroot National Forest Travel

Management Planning, To Address Conflicts between Motorized and Non-Motorized Users, Ravalli County, MT, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/ 2009, Contact: Dan Ritter 406–777– 5461.

- EIS No. 20090268, Final EIS, BLM, ID, Three Rivers Stone Quarry Expansion Project, Proposing to Expand the Quarry Operation up to an Additional 73 Acres to Increase Mine Production of Flaystone, Custer County, ID, Wait Period Ends: 09/08/2009, Contact: Charles Horsburgh 208–524–1569.
- EIS No. 20090269, Final EIS, TVA, 00, Mountain Reservoirs Land Management Plan, Implementation, Proposes to Develop a Plan for Managing Nine Mountain Reservoirs: Chatuge, Hiwassee, Blue Ridge, Nottely, Ocoees 1, 2, and 3, Apalachia, and Fontana Reservoirs, Fannin, Towns, and Union Counties, GA; Cherokee, Clay, Graham, and Swain Counties, North Carolina; and Polk County, TN, Wait Period Ends: 09/08/2009, Contact: James F. Williamson, Jr. 865–632–6418.
- EIS No. 20090270, Draft EIS, NRC, 00, GENERIC—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants (NUREG–1437), Volumes 1 and 2, Revision 1, To Improve the Efficiency of the License Renewal Process, Implementation,, Comment Period Ends: 10/13/2009, Contact: Jennifer Davis 1–800–368– 5642 Ext. 3835.
- EIS No. 20090271, Final EIS, GSA, CA, San Ysidro Land Port of Entry (LPOE) Improvement Project, Propose the Configuration and Expansion of the Existing (LPOE), San Ysidro, CA, Wait Period Ends: 09/08/2009, Contact: Osmahna A. Kadri 415–522–3617.
- EIS No. 20090272, Draft EIS, UAF, 00, Modification of the Condor 1 and Condor 2 Military Operation Areas, 104th Fighter Wing of the Massachusetts Air National Guard Base (ANG) Proposes to Combine the Condor 1 and Condor 2 MOA, ME and NH, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/ 2009, Contact: Jay Nash 703–614– 0346.
- EIS No. 20090273, Draft EIS, FSA, 00, PROGRAMMATIC—Biomass Crop Assistance Program (BCAP), To Establish and Administer the Program Areas Program Component of BCAP as mandated in Title IX of the 2008 Farm Bill in the United States, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/2009, Contact: Matthew T. Ponish 202–720– 6853.
- EIS No. 20090274, Final EIS, FHW, CA, Marin-Sonoma Narrows (MSN) HOV Widening Project, Propose to Relieve Recurrent Congestion along US 101 south of the Route 37 Interchange in

the City of Novato (Marin County) and ends north of the Corona Road Overcrossing in the City of Petaluma (Sonoma County), Marin and Sonoma Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: 09/ 08/2009, Contact: Lanh T. Phan, P.E. 916–498–5046.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20090190, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest Travel Management Plan, Designate Roads Trails and Areas for Motor Vehicle User, Baker, Grant, Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR, Comment Period Ends: 09/17/2009, Contact: Cindy Whitlock 541–962— 8501. Revision to FR Notice Published 06/19/2009: Extending Comment Period from 08/18/2009 to 09/17/ 2009.

Dated: August 4, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9–18982 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8939-9]

Public Comment Requested on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Site Designation of an Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site Offshore of Guam

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice of Availability and request for public comment on a draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to designate a permanent ocean dredged material disposal site (ODMDS) off Apra Harbor, Guam. EPA has the authority to designate ODMDS under Section 102 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 1401 et seq.). The U.S. Department of Navy, as a cooperating agency for this action, received Congressional appropriations to fund this site designation, and managed contracts for field studies identified by EPA for the preparation of the draft EIS. DATES: Public comments on this draft EIS evaluation will be accepted until October 6, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to: Mr. Allan Ota, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Dredging and Sediment Management Team (WTR–8), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901, *Telephone:* (415) 972–3476 or *Fax:* (415) 947–3537, or *E-mail: ota.allan@epa.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Allan Ota, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Dredging and Sediment Management Team (WTR–8), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901, *Telephone:* (415) 972–3476 or *Fax:* (415) 947–3537, or *E-mail: ota.allan@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA requests public comments and intends to conduct a public meeting in Guam to collect comments on the draft EIS, titled "Designation of an Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site Offshore of Guam". Copies of this draft EIS may be viewed at the following locations:

1. Guam EPA's Main Office, 17–3304 Mariner Avenue, Tiyan, Guam 96913.

2. Nieves M. Flores Memorial Public Library, 254 Martyr Street, Hagatna, Guam 96910.

3. Barrigada Public Library, 177 San Roque Drive, Barrigada, Guam 96913.

4. Dededo Public Library, 283 West Santa Barbara Avenue, Dededo, Guam 96929.

5. Maria R. Aguigui Memorial Library (Agat Public Library), 376 Cruz Avenue, Guam 96915.

6. Rosa Aguigui Reyes Memorial Library (Merizo Public Library), 376 Cruz Avenue, Merizo, Guam 96915.

7. Yona Public Library, 265 Sister Mary Eucharita Drive, Yona, Guam 96915.

8. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Library, 75 Hawthorne Street, 13th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105.

9. U.S. EPA Web site: *http://www.epa.gov/region9/.*

10. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Web site: *http://*

www.poh.usace.army.mil.

Background: Dredging is essential for maintaining safe navigation at port and naval facilities in Apra Harbor and other locations around Guam. Not all dredged materials are suitable for beneficial reuse (e.g., construction materials, landfill cover), and not all suitable materials can be used or can be stockpiled for future use given costs, logistical constraints, and capacity of existing land disposal sites. Therefore, there is a need to designate a permanent ODMDS offshore of Guam. No actual disposal operations are authorized by this action; and disposal can only take place after a Federal Corps permit is secured. Before ocean disposal may take place, dredging projects must demonstrate a need for ocean disposal and the proposed dredged material must be suitable (nontoxic) according to USEPA ocean dumping criteria. Alternatives to ocean