is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 160 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose and provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions, develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information. All existing ways will have to adjust to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements that have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Mercury.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 107.

Frequency of Response: Initially, annually and semiannually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 20,490.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$1,735,421 in labor costs exclusively. There are no capital/startup or O&M costs associated with this ICR.

Changes in the Estimates: There is no change in the labor cost in this ICR compared to the previous ICR. This is due to two considerations: (1) The regulations have not changed over the past three years and are not anticipated to change over the next three years; and (2) the growth rate for the industry is very low, negative or nonexistent, so there is no significant change in the overall burden.

Since there are no changes in the regulatory requirements and there is no significant industry growth, the labor hours and cost figures in the previous ICR are used in this ICR, and there is no change in burden to industry.

Dated: July 31, 2009.

John Moses,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.
[FR Doc. E9–18965 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8596-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7146.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34754).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20090176, ERP No. D–FHW– L40237–WA, WA–502 Corridor Widening Project, Proposes Improvements to Five Miles of WA– 502 (NE–219th Street) between NE 15th Avenue and NE 102nd Avenue, Funding, Clark County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetland mitigation, ecological connectivity issues and stormwater impacts. EPA requested additional analysis of indirect and cumulative effects of travel and land use change, mobile source air toxics, and invasive species. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20090179, ERP No. D-AFS-

K65367–CA, Klamath National Forest Motorized Route Designation, Motorized Travel Management, (Formerly Motorized Route Designation), Implementation, Siskiyou County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water resource impacts, and asbestos impacts, and requested additional information on monitoring, enforcement commitments, effects of climate change, and future planning for specific designated routes. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20090213, ERP No. F-USN-E11068-00, Undersea Warfare Training Range Project, Installation and Operation, Preferred Site Jacksonville Operating Area, FL and Alternative Sites (within the Charleston, SC; Cherry Point, NC; and VACAPES Operating Areas, VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to the marine environment from the deposition of expended training materials.

EIS No. 20090214, ERP No. F-CGD-A03086-00, PROGRAMMATIC—Vessel and Facility Response Plans for Oil: 2003 Removal Equipment Requirements and Alternative Technology Revisions, To Increase the Oil Removal Capability, U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), United States, Alaska, Guam, Puerto Pico and other U.S. Territories.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the potential environmental impacts from dispersant application.

EIS No. 20090201, ERP No. FS-AFS-K65281-CA, Brown Project, Revised Proposal to Improve Forest Health by Reducing Overcrowded Forest Stand Conditions, Trinity River Management Unit, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, Weaverville Ranger District, Trinity County, CA

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to preparing agency.

Dated: August 4, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9–18967 Filed 8–6–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8596-1]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 07/27/2009 Through 07/31/2009 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20090265, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Clearwater National Forest Travel Planning Project, Proposes to Manage Motorized and Mechanized Travel within the 1,827.380–Acre, Clearwater National Forest, Idaho, Clearwater, Latah and Shoshone Counties, ID, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/2009, Contact: Doug Gober 208–476–4541.

EIS No. 20090266, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Madera Irrigation District Water Supply Enhancement Project, Constructing and Operating a Water Bank on the Madera Property, Madera County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 09/21/2009, Contact: Patti Clinton 559–487–5127.

EIS No. 20090267, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Bitteroot National Forest Travel