

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cheryl G. Cote (702) 515-5104.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The heliport facility will lie within a corridor established by Public Law 107-282 dated November 6, 2002. The 2,640-foot wide Transportation and Utilities Corridor will be located along Interstate 15 south of Las Vegas Valley to the border between the states of California and Nevada, and will be managed for multiple uses.

The following described public land in Clark County, Nevada, has been examined and found suitable for conveyance to Clark County for airport purposes. The parcel of land is located south of Las Vegas, Nevada, approximately 3.5 miles southwest of the Sloan Road and Interstate 15 interchange and east of State Route 604, and is described as:

**Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada**

T. 24 S., R. 60 E.,

Sec. 1, that portion lying east of State Route 604 as depicted as Tract A on the map entitled *Clark County Public Heliport Facility*, dated May 3, 2004.

The area described contains 229 acres, more or less.

Public Law 109-115, Section 180, directs the Secretary of the Interior to convey to Clark County, Nevada, all right, title, and interest of the United States in the parcel described, subject to valid existing rights and for no consideration. Clark County must use the parcel for the operation of a heliport. If the County ceases to use any of the land conveyed for the purpose described, title to the parcel will revert to the United States, at the option of the United States, and the County will be responsible for any reclamation necessary.

The land is not needed for any Federal purpose. The conveyance is consistent with the BLM Las Vegas Resource Management Plan, dated October 5, 1998, and would be in the public interest. The proposed conveyance for the Southern Nevada Regional Heliport was analyzed in the environmental analysis (EA) *Proposed Southern Nevada Regional Heliport*. This document was approved by the Federal Aviation Administration on December 9, 2008. The BLM is a cooperating agency on the preparation of the EA and will issue its own decision. A copy of the EA and the reference map are available at the Las Vegas Field Office.

Upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the land described will be segregated from all other forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws.

The conveyance, when issued, will be subject to:

1. Valid existing rights;  
2. Right-of-way N-7100 for oil and gas pipeline purposes granted to CalNev Pipeline Co., its successors and assigns, pursuant to the Act of Feb. 20, 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185);

3. Right-of-way N-43923 for fiber optic line purposes granted to MCI WorldCom, its successors and assigns, pursuant to the Act of Oct. 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761);

4. Right-of-way N-47888 for fiber optic line purposes granted to Sprint Communications, its successors and assigns, pursuant to the Act of Oct. 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761);

5. Right-of-way N-48572 for fiber optic line purposes granted to AT&T, its successors and assigns, pursuant to the Act of Oct. 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761);

6. Right-of-way N-56213 for oil and gas pipeline purposes granted to CalNev Pipeline Co., its successors and assigns, pursuant to the Act of Feb. 20, 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185);

7. Permit N-85582 for soil testing purposes authorized to Clark County Department of Aviation, its successors and assigns, pursuant to the Act of Oct. 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761).

8. An appropriate indemnification clause protecting the United States from claims arising out of the patentee's use, occupancy, or operations on the property.

9. To the extent required by law, the conveyance will be subject to the requirements on section 120(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)).

Interested parties may submit written comments regarding whether the BLM followed proper administrative procedures as directed by Public Law 109-115, Section 180. Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the BLM Nevada State Director, who may sustain, vacate, or modify this realty action. In the absence of any adverse comments, this realty action will become the final determination of the Department of the Interior. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Only written comments submitted by postal service or overnight mail to the Field Manager, BLM Las Vegas Field

Office, will be considered properly filed. Electronic mail, facsimile, or telephone comments will not be considered properly filed. In the absence of any adverse comments, the decision will become effective on October 5, 2009. The lands will not be available for conveyance until after the decision becomes effective.

**Authority:** Public Law 109-115, Section 180.

**Kimber Liebhauser,**

*Assistant Field Manager, Lands, Las Vegas Field Office.*

[FR Doc. E9-18718 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****National Park Service****Fishery Management Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Biscayne National Park, FL**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Fishery Management Plan, Biscayne National Park.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Biscayne National Park, Florida.

**DATES:** The NPS will accept comments on the DEIS from the public for 60 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency notices the availability of the DEIS in its regular Friday **Federal Register** listing. Public meetings will be held during the review period to facilitate submission of public comment. Once scheduled, meeting dates will be announced via (1) park mailings, (2) the park's website (<http://www.nps.gov/bisc/>), (3) a press release to area media, and (4) announcements in area newspapers.

**ADDRESSES:** Information will be available for public review and comment online at the NPS Planning, Environment and Public Comment site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/>), and in the office of Mark Lewis, Superintendent of Biscayne National Park, 9700 SW. 328th Street, Homestead, Florida 33033.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In response to a variety of scientific data sources that indicated declining fisheries resources in Biscayne National Park, the NPS held public and

stakeholder meetings and consulting party meetings to gather advice and feedback on the current status of the park's fisheries resources and the desired outcomes for the future management of fisheries resources in Biscayne National Park. The State of Florida's Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWS) is serving as a cooperating agency. The NPS also received recommendations from a working group formed under the authority of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council. The NPS coordinated with representatives of State and Federal agencies and local universities to examine current scientific data on fisheries resources in Biscayne National Park. The outcomes of the public meetings, working group meetings, and inter-agency meetings were collectively incorporated into the development of alternatives for the DEIS for the FMP and the selection of the NPS's preferred alternative.

The range of alternatives identified in the DEIS for the FMP includes actions that could reasonably be implemented given the legislative and legal requirements under which the NPS operates. The No-Action Alternative, Alternative 1—Maintain Status Quo, represents no change in specific management approaches or the type of actions the NPS has taken in the past. The four action alternatives (Alternatives 2 to 5) represent progressively increasing levels of change from current regulations and management approaches, and thus would result in differing future levels of fishery resources and gear-related habitat impacts in Biscayne National Park. Each alternative is summarized below.

*Alternative 1, Maintain Status Quo:* The No-Action Alternative serves as a basis of comparison with the other alternatives. Alternative 1 is characterized by the continuation of current fisheries management according to the park's enabling legislation, the established NPS management policies and existing authorities, and in conjunction with State fishery regulations. No regulatory changes would be triggered by the establishment of the FMP. Regulatory changes would occur only if mandated by the State of Florida FWS following its normal rule-making process, or through the Federal regulatory and public review process.

*Alternative 2, Maintain at or Above Current Levels:* A minor change from current management strategies would occur. Management actions would be enacted (in conjunction with the State of Florida FWS) to maintain Biscayne

National Park's fisheries resources at or above currently existing levels. As needed, management actions would be implemented (in conjunction with the State of Florida FWS) and could include moderate increases in minimum harvest sizes, moderate decreases in bag limits, and seasonal and/or spatial closures. Numbers of commercial fishers would remain at current levels or decrease over time, and fishing-related habitat impacts would be reduced. Additional park-specific regulations and management actions would be enacted to maintain current levels only if levels of fish stocks or recreational fishing experience decline, or if fishing-related habitat impacts increase.

*Alternative 3, Improve Over Current Levels:* A moderate change from current management strategies would seek a balance between enjoyment, extraction, and conservation of fishery resources. Management actions would be enacted (in conjunction with the State of Florida FWS) to increase the abundance and average size of fishery-targeted species within the park by at least 10 percent over existing conditions. A range of management actions to achieve the desired resource status would be considered, and include moderate increases in minimum harvest sizes, moderate decreases in bag limits, and seasonal and/or spatial closures. Under this alternative, lobster mini-season would be eliminated in the park and regulations would be enacted to prohibit the use of an air supply or gear with a trigger mechanism while spearfishing. Numbers of commercial fishers would remain at current levels or decrease over time, and fishing-related habitat impacts would be reduced. Under this and all subsequent alternatives, the park would require a recreational use permit for all boats engaged in any recreational activity (such as fishing or diving); the permit would not be required for boaters passing through, but not recreating in, the park (e.g. traveling the Intracoastal Waterway). This alternative would require implementation of new regulations governing fishing activities within the park that would be accomplished through collaboration with State of Florida FWS and further public input.

*Alternative 4, Rebuild and Conserve Park Fisheries Resources:* A considerable change from current management strategies would seek a balance between enjoyment, extraction, and conservation of fishery resources, while ensuring sustainable fishing activities. Management actions would be enacted (in conjunction with the State of Florida FWS) to increase the abundance and average size of fishery-

targeted species within the park by at least 20 percent over existing conditions, as well as to reduce fishing-related habitat impacts. Possible management actions to achieve substantial improvement of fisheries resources could include considerable increases in minimum size limits, designation of slot limits, substantial decreases in bag limits, and seasonal and/or spatial closures. Under Alternative 4, lobster mini-season would be eliminated in the park and regulations would be enacted to prohibit the use of an air supply or gear with a trigger mechanism while spearfishing. Numbers of commercial fishers would decrease over time via establishment of a non-transferable permit system. As in Alternative 3, the park would require a recreational use permit for all boats engaged in any recreational activity (such as fishing or diving); the permit would not be required for boaters passing through, but not recreating in, the park (e.g., traveling the Intracoastal Waterway). This alternative would require considerable changes to current fishing regulations within the park, and would be accomplished through collaboration with State of Florida FWS and further public input.

*Alternative 5, Restore Park Fisheries Resources:* This would require substantial changes from current management strategies in order to return the sizes and abundance of targeted species to within 20 percent of their estimated, historic (pre-exploitation) levels and to prevent further decline in fishing-related habitat impacts. Possible management actions to achieve the desired conditions would be enacted in conjunction with the State of Florida FWS and could include substantial increases in minimum size limits, designation of slot limits, substantial decreases in bag limits, seasonal and/or spatial closures, prohibition of extractive fishing (i.e. only allowing catch-and-release fishing), and a temporary moratorium on all fishing activity within the park. Under this alternative, lobster mini-season would be eliminated in the park and regulations would be enacted to prohibit spearfishing within the park. Numbers of commercial fishers would decrease over time via establishment of a non-transferable permit system. As in Alternatives 3 and 4, the park would require a recreational use permit for all boats engaged in any recreational activity (such as fishing or diving); the permit would not be required for boaters passing through, but no recreating in, the park (e.g., traveling the Intracoastal Waterway). Among the five alternatives,

this alternative would require the most extreme changes to current fishing regulations within the park, and the changes to the park's fishing regulations would be accomplished through collaboration with the State of Florida FWS and further public input.

Alternative 4, Rebuild and Conserve Park Fisheries Resources, has been identified as the NPS's "preferred alternative" because it results in the most equitable balance between protection, enjoyment, and extraction of the park's fisheries resources. The NPS believes that Alternative 4 will allow for fishing activities to continue at a sustainable level that does not compromise the long-term health of the park's fisheries resources. Additionally, following NEPA, the NPS has identified Alternative 5, Restore Park Fisheries Resources, as the "environmentally preferred alternative" because it causes the least damage to the biological and physical environment and best protects, preserves, and enhances historic, cultural, and natural resources. Through identification of the "environmentally preferred alternative," NPS decision-makers and the public are faced with the relative merits of each alternative and must clearly state the values and policies used throughout the decision-making process.

If you wish to comment on the FMP, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You may mail comments to Fishery Management Plan, Biscayne National Park, 9700 SW. 328th Street, Homestead, Florida 33033. You may also comment via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov>. If you do not receive a confirmation from the system that we have received your Internet message, contact BISC Fisheries at 305-230-1144. Finally, you may hand-deliver comments to Biscayne National Park, 9700 SW. 328th Street, Homestead, Florida 33033. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Biscayne National Park, 9700 SW. 328th Street, Homestead, Florida 33033; Telephone 305-230-1144; or BISC Fisheries@nps.gov.

The authority for publishing this notice is contained in 40 CFR 1506.6

The responsible official for this Draft EIS is the Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 100 Alabama Street, SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

**Art Frederick,**

*Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.*  
[FR Doc. E9-18754 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-70-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLUTY0100-09-L1220000.EB0000-24-1A00]

#### Notice of Intent To Collect Fees on Public Land in Grand County, Utah, Moab Field Office Under the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (REA)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to applicable provisions of the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act (REA), the Moab Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is proposing to begin collecting fees for one group site and three camping areas. These proposed sites are located in Grand County, Utah.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* There will be a 30 day public comment period that will expire on September 4, 2009. The public is urged to participate in the public comment period. Effective six months after the publication of this notice, the Bureau of Land Management, Moab Field Office would initiate fee collection at the Moab Skyway Group Area, and the Entrada Bluffs, Bartlett Wash and Courthouse Rock camping areas, as construction work is completed, unless BLM publishes a **Federal Register** notice to the contrary. The Utah Resource Advisory Council (RAC), functioning as a Recreation Resource Advisory Committee (RRAC), will review the proposal to charge fees at the sites mentioned above. Future adjustments in the fee amount will be made in accordance with the Moab Field Office's recreation fee business plan covering the sites. Fee adjustments will be made after consultation with the Utah Recreation Resource Advisory Committee and other appropriate advance public notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail: Field Manager, Moab Field Office, 82 East Dogwood, Moab, UT 84532 or [momail@ut.blm.gov](mailto:momail@ut.blm.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Russell von Koch, Recreation Branch

Chief, Moab Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 82 East Dogwood, Moab, UT 84532 (435) 259-2100.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The group site and three camping areas are: Moab Skyway Group Site (T. 26 S., R. 21 E., Sec. 2, within, SLM), Entrada Bluffs camping area (T. 23 S., R. 24 E., Sec. 8, within, SLM), Bartlett Wash camping area (T. 24 S., R. 19 E., Section 14, within, SLM), and Courthouse Rock camping area (T. 24 S., R. 20 E., Sections 17 and 20, within, SLM). Under Section 3(g) of the REA, the Moab Skyway Group Site, and the Entrada Bluffs, Bartlett and Courthouse Rock camping areas will qualify, upon completion, as sites wherein visitors can be charged an "Expanded Amenity Recreation Fee." Visitors wishing to use the expanded amenities BLM is developing at the four sites would purchase a recreation use permit as described at 43 CFR Part 2930. Pursuant to REA and implementing regulations at 43 CFR Subpart 2933, fees may be charged for overnight camping and group use reservations where specific amenities and services are provided. Specific visitor fees will be identified and posted at the site. Fees must be paid at the self-service pay station located at the camping areas. Fees for the Moab Skyway Group Site must be paid for in advance with the Moab Field Office. People holding the America The Beautiful—The National Parks and Federal Recreational Lands—Senior Pass (i.e., Interagency Senior Pass), a Golden Age Passport, the America the Beautiful—The National Parks and Federal Recreational Lands—Access Pass (i.e. Interagency Access Pass), or a Golden Access Passport will be entitled to a 50 percent fee reduction on all fees except those associated with group reservations. Fees charged for use of the group sites would include a non-refundable site reservation fee and a per person use fee.

The Moab Skyway Group Site and the Entrada Bluffs camping area are within the Colorado Riverway Special Recreation Management Area (SRMA). Within this SRMA, there are twelve similar camping fee sites. The Moab Skyway Group Site, which is within the Moab city limits, would include special developed facilities available for day use only. The Entrada Bluffs site has individual camp sites only. Bartlett Wash and Courthouse Rock would only have individual sites. These two areas are located within the Gemini Bridges/Labyrinth Rims Special Recreation Management Area, which has three similar camping fee sites.