EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in http://www.regulations.gov. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in www.regulations.gov. For further information about the electronic docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov. Title: Voluntary Children's Chemical

Evaluation Program (VCCEP). ICR Numbers: EPA ICR No. 2055.03,

OMB Control No. 2070–0165.

ICR Status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on July 31, 2009. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9. Abstract: VCCEP is a voluntary program intended to provide data to enable the public to understand the potential health risks to children associated with certain chemical exposures. EPA has asked companies that manufacture and/or import 20 chemicals that have been found in human tissues and the environment to volunteer to sponsor their evaluation in VCCEP. VCCEP consists of three tiers that a sponsor may commit to separately. As part of their sponsorship, companies submit commitment letters, collect and/or develop health effects and exposure information on their chemical(s), integrate that information in a risk assessment, and develop a "Data Needs Assessment." The Data Needs Assessment discusses the need for additional data, which could be provided by the next tier, to fully characterize the risks the chemical may pose to children.

The information submitted by the sponsor will be evaluated by a group of scientific experts with extensive, relevant experience in toxicity testing and exposure evaluations, a Peer Consultation Group. This Group will forward its opinions to EPA and the

sponsor(s) concerning the adequacy of the assessments and the need for development of any additional information to fully assess risks to children. EPA will consider the opinions of the Peer Consultation and announce whether additional higher tier information is needed. Sponsors and the public will have an opportunity to comment on EPA's decision concerning data needs. EPA will consider these comments and issue a final decision. If the final decision is that additional information is needed, sponsors will be asked to volunteer to provide the next tier of information. If additional information is not needed, the risk communication and, if necessary, risk management phases of the program will be initiated.

Responses to the collection of information are voluntary. Respondents may claim all or part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average about 549 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this ICR are manufacturers or importers of certain chemicals who have volunteered to sponsor chemicals in the VCCEP.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion. Estimated average number of responses for each respondent: 6.4.

Estimated No. of Respondents: 32.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 112,456 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: \$10,904,472.

Changes in Burden Estimates: There is an increase of 6,200 hours (from 106,256 hours to 112,456 hours) in the

total estimated respondent burden compared with that currently in the OMB inventory. This change is an adjustment and is related to a projected increase to the estimated number of responses. The Supporting Statement provides additional detail concerning the changes in burden estimates.

Dated: July 13, 2009.

John Moses,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. E9–17047 Filed 7–16–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8585-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 07/06/2009 through 07/10/2009 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

- EIS No. 20090233, Final EIS, IBR, NM, Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project, To Provide a Long-Term (Year 2040) Water Supply, Treatment and Transmission of Municipal and Industrial (M&I) Water to Navajo Nation and Jicarilla Apache Nation, City of Gallup, New Mexico, Wait Period Ends: 08/17/2009, Contact: Terry Stroh 970–248–0608.
- EIS No. 20090234, Final EIS, AFS, SD, Slate Castle Project Area, Proposes to Implement Multiple Resource Management Actions, Mystic Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, Pennington County, SD, Wait Period Ends: 08/17/2009, Contact: Katie Van Alstyne 605–343–1567.
- EIS No. 20090235, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Sugar Pine Adaptive Management Project, Proposal to Create a Network of Strategically Placed Landscape Area Treatments (SPLATs) and Defensible Fuels Profiles near Key Transportation Corridors to Reduce the Intensity and Spread of Wildfires across the landscape and near Communities, Madera and Mariposa Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/31/2009, Contact: Mark Lemon 559–877–2218 Ext. 3110.
- EIS No. 20090236, Final EIS, FHW, CA, Orange County Gateway Project, To Provide Grade Separation Alternative Along the Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroad tracks from west of Bradford Avenue to west of Imperial Highway (State Route 90), Cities of

Placentia and Anaheim, Orange County, CA, *Wait Period Ends:* 08/17/ 2009, *Contact:* Scott K. McHenry 916– 498–5854.

- EIS No. 20090237, Draft EIS, UMC, NC, U.S. Marine Corps Grow the Force at MCB Camp Lejeune, MCAS New River, and MCAS Cherry Point, To Provide the Infrastructure to Support the Permanent Increases at these three Installations, U.S. Army Corps Section 404 and 10 Permits, City of Jacksonville, NC, Comment Period Ends: 09/01/2009, Contact: Michael H. Jones 757–322–4942.
- EIS No. 20090238, Final EIS, USN, VA, Norfolk Harbor Channel, Proposed Dredging to Deepen Five Miles of the Federal Navigation Channel in the Elizabeth River from Lamberts Bend to the Norfolk Naval Shipyard (NNSY), Norfolk and Portsmouth, VA, Wait Period Ends: 08/17/2009, Contact: John Conway 904–542–6159.
- EIS No. 20090239, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, Big Summit Allotment Management Plan, Proposes to Reauthorize Cattle Term Grazing Permits, Construct Range Improvements, and Restore Riparian Vegetation on Five Allotments, Lookout Mountain Ranger District, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR, Comment Period Ends: 08/31/2009, Contact: Marcy Anderson 541–416–6463.
- EIS No. 20090240, Final EIS, FhW, NC, NC–119 Relocation Project, Transportation Improvement from the I–185/40 Interchange Southwest of Mebane to Existing NC–119 south of NC–1918 (Mrs. White Lane) Mebane, Right-of-Way Acquisition, Alamance County, NC, Wait Period Ends: 08/17/ 2009, Contact: John F. Sullivan 919– 856–4346 Ext, 122.
- EIS No. 20090241, Draft EIS, IBR, KS, Aquifer Storage Recharge and Recovery Project, To Provide Municipal and Industrial (M&I) Water to City and Surrounding Region, Equus Beds Division, Wichita Project, Kansas, Harvey, Sedgwick, and Reno Counties, KS, Comment Period Ends: 09/11/2009, Contact: Charles Webster 405–470–4807.
- EIS No. 20090242, Draft EIS, IBR, CA, Delta-Mendota Canal/California Aqueduct Intertie Project, Construction and Operation of a Pumping Plant and Pipeline Connection, San Luis Delta-Mendota Water Authority Project, Central Valley Project, Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/31/2009, Contact: Sharon McHale 916–978–5086.
- EIS No. 20090243, Final EIS, COE, FL, C–111 Spreader Canal Western Project, To Restore Ecosystem

Function in Taylor Slough and Florida Bay Areas, Central and Southern Florida Project, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP), Everglades National Park, Miami-Dade County, FL, *Wait Period Ends:* 08/17/2009, *Contact:* Alisa Zarbo 561–472–3516.

Amended Notices

- EIS No. 20090170, Draft EIS, FHW, WI, Zoo Interchange Corridor Study, Reconstruction to I0–94 from 70th Street to 124th Street and on US 45 from Burleigh Street to I–894/US 45 and Lincoln Avenue in Milwaukee County, WI, Comment Period Ends: 08/10/2009, Contact: Allen Radliff 608–829–7500. Revision to FR Notice Published 05/29/2009: Extending Comment Period from 07/13/2009 to 08/10/2009.
- EIS No. 20090232, Draft EIS, BIA, CA, Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians Horseshoe Grande Fee-to-Trust Project, Construction of a Hotel and Casino, City of San Jacinto, Riverside County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 09/15/2009, Contact: Pat O'Mallan 916–978–6044. Revision to FR Notice Published 07/10/2009: Correction to the Telephone number from 916–978– 6043 to 916–978–6044.

Dated: July 14, 2009.

Clifford Rader,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. E9–17089 Filed 7–16–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8595-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7146.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) as follows:

Summary of Rating Definitions

Environmental Impact of the Action

LO—Lack of Objections

The EPA review has not identified any potential environmental impacts

requiring substantive changes to the proposal. The review may have disclosed opportunities for application of mitigation measures that could be accomplished with no more than minor changes to the proposal.

EC—Environmental Concerns

The EPA review has identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require changes to the preferred alternative or application of mitigation measures that can reduce the environmental impact. EPA would like to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EO—Environmental Objections

The EPA review has identified significant environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to provide adequate protection for the environment. Corrective measures may require substantial changes to the preferred alternative or consideration of some other project alternative (including the no action alternative or a new alternative). EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EU—Environmentally Unsatisfactory

The EPA review has identified adverse environmental impacts that are of sufficient magnitude that they are unsatisfactory from the standpoint of public health or welfare or environmental quality. EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts. If the potentially unsatisfactory impacts are not corrected at the final EIS stage, this proposal will be recommended for referral to the CEQ.

Adequacy of the Impact Statement

Category 1—Adequate

EPA believes the draft EIS adequately sets forth the environmental impact(s) of the preferred alternative and those of the alternatives reasonably available to the project or action. No further analysis or data collection is necessary, but the reviewer may suggest the addition of clarifying language or information.

Category 2—Insufficient Information

The draft EIS does not contain sufficient information for EPA to fully assess environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment, or the EPA reviewer has identified new reasonably available alternatives that is within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which could reduce the environmental impacts of the action. The identified additional information,