

Game, Fish and Parks personnel (Griebel, Bums, Deisch, 2007), and is now an amendment to the BHNH LRMP. The following species are included on this list, and were used to guide habitat management objectives for the Norbeck project: mountain goat, bighorn sheep, elk, white-tailed deer, Merriam's turkey, mountain bluebird, golden-crowned kinglet, brown creeper, ruffed grouse, song sparrow, northern goshawk and black-backed woodpecker.

Proposed Action

The Norbeck project proposed action includes the following management actions:

Managing vegetation on approximately 6,000 acres mechanically and by prescribed burning to improve habitat for game animals and birds within the Norbeck Wildlife Preserve.

Information on Issues and Additional Alternatives

Issues associated with the Norbeck project, as identified through scoping, include: wilderness values, wildlife and wildlife habitat, large trees, mountain pine beetle effects on wildlife habitat and potential for escaped fire. The two additional action alternatives include vegetation treatments to improve wildlife habitat, and also include prescribed burning within the Black Elk Wilderness.

Responsible Official

Mr. Lynn D. Kolund, Hell Canyon District Ranger, Black Hills National Forest, 330 Mount Rushmore Road, Custer, SD 57730.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

After reviewing the environmental analysis and considering public comment, the District Ranger will reach a decision that is in accord with the purpose and need for the project. The decision will include, but not be limited to:

(1) Whether or not to undertake vegetation treatments to improve habitat conditions within Norbeck Wildlife Preserve for game animals and birds, and

(2) What actions are appropriate, and under what conditions would actions take place.

Early Notice about Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement is expected to be available for public review in September 2009. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency

publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The Forest Service believes that at this early stage it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when they can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. Please refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: July 6, 2009.

David Thom,

Acting Deputy Forest Supervisor Black Hills National Forest.

[FR Doc. E9-16473 Filed 7-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Naches Ranger District, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest; Minor Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail Relocation

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: A minor relocation (approximately 2,000 feet) will occur on the Pacific Crest National Scenic Trail (PCNST) in 2009. The trail will be re-routed from its current location within the White Pass Ski Area south to the Wilderness boundary on the edge of the expansion area with the purpose of maintaining a quality, uninterrupted backcountry experience for PCNST users and to minimize their views of ski area structures and facilities. All activities were analyzed in the White Pass Expansion Master Development Plan Proposal Final Environmental Impact Statement and documented in the June 2007 Record of Decision. Relocation will begin in July 2009 and is expected to be completed by fall 2009. Trail relocation will be done in conjunction with the Pacific Crest Trail Association.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding this trail relocation to Randall Shepard, Naches District Ranger, USDA, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest, 10237 US Highway 12, Naches, WA 98937, 509-653-1415.

Dated: July 8, 2009.

Randall D. Shepard,

Naches District Ranger, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest.

[FR Doc. E9-16649 Filed 7-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: Advance Monthly Retail Trade Survey.

Form Number(s): SM-44(06)A, SM-44(06)AE, SM-44(06)AS, SM-72(06)A, SM-44(06)FA, SM-44(06)FAE, SM-44(06)FAS, SM-72(06)FA.

OMB Control Number: 0607-0104.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden Hours: 5,000.

Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Average Hours per Response: 5 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The Advance Monthly Retail Trade Survey (MARTS) was developed in response to requests by government, business, and other users to provide an early indication of current retail trade activity in the United States. The MARTS also provides an estimate of monthly sales at food service establishments and drinking places.

Policymakers such as the Federal Reserve Board need to have the timeliest estimates in order to anticipate economic trends and act accordingly. Sales data from this survey provide the earliest possible look at consumer spending and are necessary for the calculation of the personal consumption expenditures component of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Without the Advance Monthly Retail Trade Survey, the Census Bureau's earliest measure of retail sales is the "preliminary" estimate from the full monthly sample released about 40 days after the reference month. Advance estimates are released approximately 12 days after the reference month.

The Council of Economic Advisers, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), Federal Reserve Board, and other government agencies, as well as businesses use sales estimates developed from the Advance Monthly Retail Trade Survey in formulating economic decisions. Data users especially value these estimates because of their timeliness. There would be approximately a one month delay in the availability of these data if this survey were not conducted.

We intend to select a new MARTS sample to be introduced in Fall 2009. We expect the number of respondents to increase from 4,500 to 5,000 as a result of selecting the new sample.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Frequency: Monthly.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C., Section 182.

OMB Desk Officer: Brian Harris-Kojetin, (202) 395-7314.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 7845, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dhynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Brian Harris-Kojetin, OMB Desk Officer either by fax (202-395-7245) or e-mail (bharrisk@omb.eop.gov).

Dated: July 8, 2009.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E9-16522 Filed 7-13-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-849]

Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Determination of Circumvention of Antidumping Duty Order.

SUMMARY: We preliminarily determine that imports from the People's Republic of China (PRC) of cut-to-length carbon steel plate products with 0.0008 percent or more boron, by weight, produced by Tianjin, regardless of the exporter or the importer of the merchandise, and otherwise meeting the description of in-scope merchandise, are within the class or kind of merchandise subject to the order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the PRC. We also preliminarily determine that imports from the PRC of cut-to-length carbon steel plate products with 0.0008 percent or more boron, by weight, imported by Toyota Tsusho, regardless of the producer or exporter of the merchandise, and otherwise meeting the description of in-scope merchandise, are within the class or kind of merchandise subject to the order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the PRC.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 14, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Bezirgianian, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In response to a request from Nucor Corporation, SSAB N.A.D., Evraz NA Claymont Steel, Evraz NA Oregon Steel Mills, and Arcelor Mittal USA Inc., domestic interested parties in the above-mentioned proceeding (collectively certain domestic producers), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an antidumping circumvention inquiry pursuant to section 781(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Circumvention Inquiry*, 73 FR 62250 (October 20, 2008) (*Initiation Notice*). On November 17, 2008, the Department issued questionnaires to Tianjin (Tianjin Questionnaire) and Toyota Tsusho (Toyota Tsusho Questionnaire).

On December 8, 2008, Toyota Tsusho informed the Department that it would not submit a response to the Department's questionnaire. On December 23, 2008, Tianjin submitted a response to the Department's questionnaire (Tianjin Questionnaire Response). On December 31, 2008, SSAB N.A.D., Evraz NA Claymont Steel, and Evraz NA Oregon Steel Mills submitted comments on the Tianjin Questionnaire Response, and on January 13, 2009, Nucor Corporation submitted comments on the Tianjin Questionnaire Response.

On January 23, 2009, the Department requested from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) documentation pertaining to various entries of steel plate that had been classified under the HTSUS as "alloy" steel plate. Such documentation was provided by CBP to the Department on March 9, 2009 (see the March 12, 2009 memorandum from Steve Bezirgianian to The File (CBP Entry Documents)).

On February 10, 2009, the Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to Tianjin (Tianjin Supplemental Questionnaire). On March 6, 2009, Tianjin submitted a response to the Tianjin Supplemental Questionnaire, but the Department noted in its letter of March 12, 2009, that Tianjin had failed to follow certain filing requirements and asked Tianjin to re-file its response appropriately. Tianjin re-filed its response on March 16, 2009 (Tianjin Supplemental Questionnaire Response). On March 19, 2009, SSAB N.A.D., Evraz NA Claymont Steel, and Evraz NA Oregon Steel Mills submitted comments on the Tianjin Supplemental Questionnaire Response. On March 27, 2009, Nucor Corporation submitted comments on the Tianjin Supplemental