# **National Environmental Policy Act**

In 2001, the USAF prepared an EA for Harbor Activities Associated with the Delta IV Program at VAFB. In 2005, NMFS prepared an EA augmenting the information contained in the USAF EA and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact on the issuance of an IHA for Boeing's, now ULA, harbor activities in accordance with section 6.01 of the NOAA Administrative Order 216-6 (Environmental Review Procedures for Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act, May 20, 1999). ULA's proposed activities and impacts for 2009-2010 are expected to be within the scope of NMFS' 2005 EA and FONSI.

## **Preliminary Conclusions**

Based on the preceding information, and provided that the proposed mitigation and monitoring are incorporated, NMFS has preliminarily concluded that the proposed activity will incidentally take, by level B behavioral harassment only, small numbers of marine mammals. There is no subsistence harvest of marine mammals in the proposed research area; therefore, the provision relating to impacts on certain subsistence activities is not implicated by this proposed action. No take by Level A harassment (injury) or death is anticipated and harassment takes should be at the lowest level practicable due to incorporation of the mitigation measures proposed in this document.

Northern fur seals, Guadalupe fur seals, and Steller sea lions are unlikely to be found in the area and, therefore, will not be affected. No rookeries, mating grounds, areas of concentrated feeding, or other areas of special significance for marine mammals occur within or near south VAFB harbor.

### **Proposed Authorization**

NMFS proposes to issue an IHA to ULA for the Delta IV/EELV Program during August 2009 to August 2010, provided that the previously mentioned mitigation, monitoring, and reporting requirements are incorporated.

Dated: July 2, 2009.

# James H. Lecky,

Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. E9–16070 Filed 7–7–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Proposed Extension of Approval of Information Collection; Comment Request—Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) requests comments on a proposed request for extension of approval of a collection of information from manufacturers and importers of residential garage door operators. The collection of information consists of testing and recordkeeping requirements in certification regulations implementing the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators (16 CFR Part 1211). The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this extension of a collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**DATES:** The Office of the Secretary must receive written comments not later than September 8, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be captioned "Residential Garage Door Operators" and e-mailed to the Office of the Secretary at cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504–0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the proposed collection of information call or write Linda Glatz, Division of Policy and Planning, Office of Information Technology and Technology Services, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504–7671 or by e-mail to *lglatz@cpsc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1990, Congress enacted legislation requiring residential garage door operators to comply with the provisions of a standard published by Underwriters Laboratories to protect against entrapment under provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) (15 U.S.C. 2051 et seq.). The entrapment protection requirements of UL Standard 325 are codified into the Safety

Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators, 16 CFR Part 1211. Automatic residential garage door operators must comply with the latest edition of the Commission's regulations at 16 CFR Part 1211.

OMB approved the collection of information concerning the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators under control number 3041–0125. OMB's most recent approval will expire on October 31, 2009. The Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval without changes of this collection of information.

# A. Certification Requirements

Section 203 of Public Law 101-608 requires that UL Standard 325 shall be considered to be a consumer product safety standard under section 9 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2058). Section 14(a) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2063(a)) requires manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of a consumer product subject to a consumer product safety standard under the CPSA or similar rule, ban, standard, or regulation under any other act enforced by the Commission to issue a certificate stating that the product complies with all applicable rules, bans, standards or regulations. Section 14(a) of the CPSA also requires that the certificate of compliance must be based on a test of each product or upon a reasonable testing program and specify each such rule, ban, standard or regulation applicable to the product.

Section 14(b) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2063(b)) authorizes the Commission to issue regulations to prescribe a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with a consumer product safety standard under the CPSA or similar rule, ban, standard, or regulation under any other act enforced by the Commission. Section 16(b) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2065(b)) authorizes the Commission to issue rules to require that firms "establish and maintain" records to permit the Commission to determine compliance with rules issued under the authority of the CPSA.

On December 22, 1992, the Commission issued rules prescribing requirements for a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with the Safety Standard for Automatic Residential Garage Door Operators (57 FR 60449). These regulations also require manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of residential garage door operators to establish and maintain records to demonstrate compliance with the requirements for testing to support certification of compliance. 16 CFR Part

1211, Subparts B and C. The Commission uses the information compiled and maintained by manufacturers and importers of residential garage door operators to protect consumers from risks of death and injury resulting from entrapment accidents associated with garage door operators. More specifically, the Commission uses this information to determine whether the products produced and imported by those firms comply with the standard. The Commission also uses this information to facilitate corrective action if any residential garage door operators fail to comply with the standard in a manner that creates a substantial risk of injury to the public.

## **B.** Estimated Burden

The Commission staff estimates that about 21 firms are subject to the testing and recordkeeping requirements of the certification regulations. The staff estimates that each respondent will spend 40 hours annually on the collection of information for a total of about 840 hours. The estimated total annual cost to industry is approximately \$22,800 based on 840 hours × \$27.14 (the average hourly total compensation for sales and office workers in goodsproducing industries, Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2008).

The Commission staff will expend approximately 6 staff months reviewing records required to be maintained for automatic residential garage door operators. The annual cost to the Federal government of the collection of information in these regulations is estimated to be \$83,000.

# C. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- —Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- —Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- —Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- —Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: June 30, 2009.

#### Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–16009 Filed 7–7–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Proposed Extension of Approval of Information Collection; Comment Request—Omnidirectional Citizens Band Base Station Antennas

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission requests comments on a proposed extension of approval of a collection of information from manufacturers and importers of citizens band base station antennas. The collection of information is in regulations implementing the Safety Standard for Omnidirectional Citizens Band Base Station Antennas (16 CFR Part 1204). These regulations establish testing and recordkeeping requirements for manufacturers and importers of antennas subject to the standard. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**DATES:** The Office of the Secretary must receive comments not later than September 8, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be captioned "Citizens Band Base Station Antennas" and e-mailed to the Office of the Secretary at cpsc-os@cpsc.gov. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504–0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the proposed collection of information call or write Linda Glatz, Division of Policy and Planning, Office of Information Technology and Technology Services, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: (301) 504–7671 or by e-mail to *lglatz@cpsc.gov*.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# A. Background

In 1982, the Commission issued the Safety Standard for Omnidirectional Citizens Band Antennas (16 CFR Part 1204) to reduce risks of death and serious injury that may result if an omnidirectional antenna contacts an overhead power line while being erected or removed from its site. The standard contains performance tests to demonstrate that an antenna will not transmit a harmful electric current if it contacts an electric power line with a voltage of 14,500 volts phase-to-ground. Certification regulations implementing the standard require manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of antennas subject to the standard to perform tests to demonstrate that those products meet the requirements of the standard, and to maintain records of those tests. The certification regulations are codified at 16 CFR Part 1204, Subpart B.

The Commission uses the information compiled and maintained by manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of antennas subject to the standard to help protect the public from risks of injury or death associated with omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas. More specifically, this information helps the Commission determine that antennas subject to the standard comply with all applicable requirements. The Commission also uses this information to obtain corrective actions if omnidirectional citizens band base station antennas fail to comply with the standard in a manner which creates a substantial risk of injury to the public.

OMB approved the collection of information in the certification regulations under control number 3041–0006. OMB's most recent extension of approval expires on September 30, 2009. The Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval without change for the collection of information in the certification regulations.

## **B.** Estimated Burden

The Commission staff estimates that about 5 firms manufacture or import citizens band base station antennas subject to the standard. The Commission staff estimates that the certification regulations will impose an average annual burden of about 220 hours on each of those firms. That burden will result from conducting the testing required by the regulations and maintaining records of the results of that testing. The total annual burden imposed by the regulations on manufacturers and importers of citizens