

public hearings that were addressed for inclusion in the PR/FEIS. Where appropriate, revisions were made in response to specific comments.

No decision will be made on the proposed Federal action until at least 30 days after release of the PR/FEIS. After the 30-day waiting period, Reclamation will complete a Record of Decision. The Record of Decision will state which alternative analyzed in the PR/FEIS will be implemented and discuss all factors leading to that decision.

Dated: June 5, 2009.

Larry Walkoviak,

Regional Director—UC Region.

[FR Doc. E9-15650 Filed 7-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1012 (Review)]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From Vietnam; Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 2008 (73 FR 37487) and determined on October 6, 2008 that it would conduct a full review (73 FR 62318, October 20, 2008). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on January 15, 2009 (74 FR 2616). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 6, 2009, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on June 26, 2009. The views of the Commission are

contained in USITC Publication 4083 (June 2009), entitled *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from Vietnam: Investigation No. 731-TA-1012 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 26, 2009.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9-15797 Filed 7-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-543 (Remand Proceeding) (Enforcement Proceeding)]

In the Matter of Certain Baseband Processor Chips and Chipsets, Transmitter and Receiver (Radio) Chips, Power Control Chips, and Products Containing Same, Including Cellular Telephone Handsets; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 74) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel E. Valencia, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-1999. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 21, 2005, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the

Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by Broadcom Corporation ("Broadcom") of Irvine, California, alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain baseband processor chips and chipsets, transmitter and receiver (radio) chips, power control chips, and products containing same, including cellular telephone handsets by reason of infringement of five patents. 70 FR 35707 (June 21, 2005). Broadcom named Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") of San Diego, California as the only respondent. On December 8, 2006, the Commission affirmed the ALJ's final ID finding a violation due to infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,714,983. On June 7, 2007 the Commission issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order to Qualcomm. Qualcomm appealed the Commission's determination to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit") on August 7, 2007, which appeal was consolidated under the lead case *Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. International Trade Commission*, Nos. 2007-1492, et al. ("*Kyocera*").

On November 9, 2007, Broadcom filed an enforcement complaint pursuant to Commission Rule 210.75, alleging, *inter alia*, that Qualcomm has violated and continues to violate the Commission's cease and desist order. Based on Broadcom's complaint, the Commission instituted the enforcement proceeding on December 28, 2007. 72 FR 73879 (Dec. 28, 2007). On October 14, 2008, the Federal Circuit issued an opinion in *Kyocera*, remanding the underlying investigation to the Commission.

On May 6, 2009, Broadcom and Qualcomm jointly moved to terminate this investigation based upon a settlement agreement, pursuant to Commission rule 210.21 (19 CFR 210.21). On May 15, 2009, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the joint motion to terminate the investigation.

On June 11, 2009, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Dated: Issued: June 30, 2009.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).