

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹² that the proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2008-55), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-14797 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-60127; File No. SR-ISE-2007-121]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Order Granting Approval to a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, Relating to Margin Requirements

June 17, 2009.

I. Introduction

On December 24, 2007, pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² the International Securities Exchange, LLC (the "Exchange" or "ISE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") a proposed rule change to modify its margin requirements to facilitate, under certain circumstances, the ability of account holders to use vested and currently exercisable compensatory employee stock options ("Vested Employee Options") issued by publicly traded companies as collateral for writing call options that have the same underlying security as the Vested Employee Options. On April 29, 2009, ISE filed Amendment No. 1. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 13, 2009.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change.

II. Description

The Exchange proposes to amend its margin requirements to facilitate, under certain circumstances, the ability of account holders to use Vested Employee Options issued by publicly traded

companies ("Issuers") as collateral for writing call options that have the same underlying security as the Vested Employee Options. Specifically, the proposal would allow account holders to sell, as a hedge, listed equity call options on the same underlying security as the account holder's Vested Employee Options without the requirement of margin (the "Transactions"). The proposal would permit account holders to engage in the Transactions using their Vested Employee Options as collateral. Currently, such Transactions would be deemed "naked" for purposes of margin rules and subject to a deposit of cash margin, effectively making the strategies cost prohibitive and impractical. The Exchange believes that enabling employees who hold Vested Employee Options to generate income and liquidity on their otherwise illiquid asset through the listed options markets will benefit investors by providing greater transparency and liquidity.

Under Section 220.12(f)(1) of Regulation T,⁴ the Exchange, as a registered national securities exchange, is permitted to recognize the type of transactions described below as eligible for margin treatment subject to the approval of the Commission.

The proposal would permit account holders to sell listed call options on the same security that underlies their Vested Employee Options without the requirement of margin. Given the uncertificated nature of employee stock options, in order to secure the account holder's obligations under the Transactions, the proposal would require:

1. The account holder to (A) pledge the Vested Employee Options to the broker-dealer and (B) provide the broker-dealer with an irrevocable power-of-attorney authorizing the broker-dealer to exercise the Vested Employee Options on the account holder's behalf if the listed call options are assigned or if the broker-dealer determines it is necessary. The irrevocable power-of-attorney may also be used in the event the account holder wishes to close the listed option position prior to its expiration and instructs the broker-dealer to exercise that number of Vested Employee Options necessary to cover the cost of the closing purchase (the account holder will also have the option of depositing additional cash in the account holder's

account to cover the cost of the closing purchase).

2. In the event the Vested Employee Options are exercised between the date of the Transaction in the listed call options (the "Commencement Date") and the date the Transaction is closed (the "Closing Date"), the shares issued upon exercise will be pledged to the broker-dealer (thereby replacing the Vested Employee Options that had been pledged prior to exercise). For example, during the time a Transaction is pending, the account holder may resign from the account holder's employment with the Issuer and may be required to exercise the Vested Employee Options within a certain timeframe following the account holder's departure. In such a scenario, the account holder would ask the broker dealer to exercise the Vested Employee Options, and the stock issued pursuant to the exercise would be pledged to the broker-dealer.

3. The Issuer will promptly deliver the stock upon payment or receipt of the exercise notice from the broker-dealer.⁵ The Issuer will also agree prior to the Commencement Date to waive any forfeiture conditions that otherwise might apply to the Vested Employee Options (e.g., upon a termination of the account holder's employment with the Issuer) as well as any transfer restrictions that would preclude pledge of the Vested Employee Options to the broker-dealer. In addition, the Issuer will represent that the Vested Employee Options are covered by an effective registration statement on Form S-8. If the registration statement becomes ineffective, the Issuer will notify the broker-dealer immediately.

4. Because it is essential that the account holder, broker-dealer and Issuer cooperate and are each fully informed, agree to and acknowledge their own and each other's responsibilities, all Transactions will be governed by an agreement (the "Agreement") entered into by the account holder, broker-dealer and Issuer prior to the Commencement Date of the first transaction. The Agreement would generally set forth each party's obligations, representations and acknowledgements and the terms and conditions governing the Transactions and must be in a form acceptable to the Exchange.⁶

⁵ The Exchange will proscribe a set delivery period, which is expected to be no later than three business days following assignment of the listed options.

⁶ In this regard, the Exchange currently intends to recognize the Master Vested Stock Option Monetization Agreement, created by iOptions Group, LLC, as one acceptable agreement.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59877 (May 6, 2009), 74 FR 22611 (May 13, 2009) ("Notice").

⁴ Section 220.12(f)(1) of Regulation T (12 CFR 220), *Supplement: Margin Requirements*, grants authority to registered national securities exchanges to promulgate rules relating to call and put margin requirements.

5. Such other terms and conditions prescribed by the Exchange in accordance with such form, formats and procedures as may be established by the Exchange from time to time would also apply. In this regard, upon approval of the proposed rule change and for a period of one year, the Exchange will require that, prior to the Commencement Date, a legal opinion with respect to the account holder's and Issuer's legal right to enter into the Transactions under the terms of the Issuer's employee stock option plan and related documents (the "Legal Opinion") be obtained in a form acceptable to the Exchange. During the one-year time period, the Exchange may determine that such Legal Opinion is no longer necessary and will revise its established forms, formats and procedures accordingly.

III. Discussion and Commission's Findings

After careful review of the proposed rule change and ISE's response, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities association.⁷

In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5),⁸ in that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change will offer market participants new trading opportunities and will enhance the Exchange's competitive position.

The Commission believes that the proposed rule change to amend the Exchange's margin rule should be allowed. However, the Commission does have significant concerns with the amount of control each broker-dealer has over the Vested Employee Options. One purpose of the margin rules is to protect broker-dealers in the event of market turmoil. The broker-dealer must have enough control over the cash or securities it is holding as margin on behalf of investors to be able to act unilaterally to protect itself. With Vested Employee Options, the broker-dealer cannot act unilaterally to use the

margin deposited by the customer (*i.e.*, the Vested Employee Options); instead, the broker-dealer must rely on another person (*i.e.*, the issuer) to promptly deliver the required shares. For example, if an issuer notifies the broker-dealer that there is an ineffective registration statement, it could prevent the broker-dealer from exercising the options and receiving publicly tradable shares, a prospect that could cause financial harm to the broker-dealer.

The Commission raised these concerns in the Notice by noting in a footnote that absent relief from the Commission, broker-dealers would need to take a capital charge for any unsecured margin debt and by asking questions about how the broker-dealer's legal authority to exercise the Vested Employee Options could be enhanced and how to limit the liquidity and operational risks arising from the Transactions. The Commission received no comments on this footnote or these questions. Thus, for purposes of determining whether an account is unsecured or partly secured pursuant to the net capital rule,⁹ including an account containing a Transaction, a broker-dealer may not include the value of a Vested Employee Option.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ that the proposed rule change (SR-ISE-2007-121), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Florence E. Harmon,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-14798 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-60129; File No. SR-CBOE-2009-030]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Regarding Appointments of CBSX DPMs

June 17, 2009.

On May 7, 2009, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section

19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change regarding appointments of Designated Primary Market-Makers ("DPMs") on the CBOE Stock Exchange ("CBSX"). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 2009.³ The Commission received no comments regarding the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

CBOE proposed to amend its rules regarding appointments of CBSX DPMs. Currently, every security traded on CBSX must be assigned to a DPM.⁴ The Exchange's proposal will modify its rules to provide the Exchange with the flexibility to commence trading in a security on the CBSX without an assigned DPM. The Exchange represented that some securities are not traded on CBSX because DPMs have opted to not seek assignments in such securities. The Exchange's proposal will allow CBSX users the ability to trade these securities on CBSX without them being quoted by a DPM. The Exchange has also represented that this proposed modification to CBSX Rule 53.54 is not intended to in any way affect existing DPM appointments. The Exchange will notify its market participants of those securities that will trade without a DPM via a circular.

CBOE's proposal will also modify CBSX Rule 53.56 to change the time DPMs are required to begin providing quotes from 8:15 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. (Chicago time). Lastly, CBOE's proposal will eliminate CBSX Rule 53.54 which governed the allocation process used by CBSX prior to its initial launch.

The Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁵ which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed, among other things, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.⁶ The Act does not mandate a particular market structure or, specifically, that an exchange have

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59896 (May 11, 2009), 74 FR 22991 ("Notice").

⁴ See CBSX Rule 53.54. A CBSX DPM is a market-maker that must, among other things, provide opening and continuous quotes in its assigned securities. See CBSX Rule 53.56.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁷ In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.15c3-1.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).