

Becoming an Intervenor

Once TGP formally files its application with the Commission, you may want to become an "intervenor," which is an official party to the proceeding. Intervenor play a more formal role in the process and are able to file briefs, appear at hearings, and be heard by the courts if they choose to appeal the Commission's final ruling. An intervenor formally participates in a Commission proceeding by filing a request to intervene. Instructions for becoming an intervenor are included in the User's Guide under the "eFiling" link on the Commission's Web site. Please note that you may not request intervenor status at this time; you must wait until the formal application is filed with the Commission.

Availability of Additional Information

Additional information about the project is available from the Commission's Office of External Affairs, at 1-866-208-FERC or on the FERC Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) using the eLibrary link. Click on the eLibrary link, click on "General Search" and enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the Docket Number field. Be sure you have selected an appropriate date range. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FercOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202)502-8659. The eLibrary link also provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the Commission, such as orders, notices, and rulemakings.

In addition, the Commission now offers a free service called eSubscription which allows you to keep track of all formal issuances and submittals in specific dockets. This can reduce the amount of time you spend researching proceedings by automatically providing you with notification of these filings, document summaries and direct links to the documents. Go to <http://www.ferc.gov/esubscribenow.htm>.

Public meetings or site visits will be posted on the Commission's calendar located at <http://www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx> along with other related information.

Finally, to request additional information on the project or to provide comments directly to the project sponsor, you can contact TGP directly by calling toll free at 1-866-683-5587. Also, TGP has established a Web site at <http://www.elpaso.com/tgp300lineproject/>. The Web site includes a description of the project, an overview map of the planned facilities, and links to related documents. TGP

will update the Web site as the environmental review of its project proceeds.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-14785 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER09-1278-000]

AES Mountain Wind, LLC; Supplemental Notice That Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization

June 17, 2009.

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding of AES Mountain Wind, LLC's application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff, noting that such application includes a request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests with regard to the applicant's request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability, is July 16, 2009.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at <http://www.ferc.gov>. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above-referenced proceeding are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list.

They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-14794 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM06-22-006; Order No. 706-C]

Mandatory Reliability Standards for Critical Infrastructure Protection

Issued June 18, 2009.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Order denying request for clarification.

SUMMARY: On March 19, 2009, the Commission issued Order No. 706-B which clarified the scope of Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards which were approved in Commission Order No. 706. The Commission is denying a request for clarification of Order No. 706-B filed by the Edison Electric Institute.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This rule will become effective June 24, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan First (Legal Information), Office of General Counsel, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-8529.

Regis Binder (Technical Information), Office of Electric Reliability, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (301) 665-1601.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Before Commissioners: Jon Wellinghoff, Chairman; Sudeen G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer, and Philip D. Moeller.

Order Denying Request for Clarification

Issued June 18, 2009.

1. In this order, the Commission denies the Edison Electric Institute's

(EEI's) request for clarification of Order No. 706-B.¹ Specifically, the Commission denies EEI's request that the Commission clarify its views with regard to the need and the time frame for the Commission's developing a memorandum of understanding or other means of coordinating cyber-security related activities with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). Likewise, the Commission denies EEI's request that the Commission clarify that the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) must seek stakeholder input in developing and implementing an "exception process" as discussed in Order No. 706-B.

I. Background

2. In Order No. 706, the Commission approved the Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) Reliability Standards that require certain users, owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System, including generator owners and operators, to comply with specific requirements to safeguard critical cyber assets. In addition, pursuant to section 215(d)(5) of the Federal Power Act (FPA),² the Commission directed the ERO to develop modifications to the CIP Reliability Standards to address specific concerns identified by the Commission.

3. In Order No. 706-B, the Commission clarified the scope of the CIP Reliability Standards approved in Order No. 706 to assure that no "gap" occurs in the applicability of these Standards. In particular, each of the CIP Reliability Standards provides that facilities regulated by the NRC are exempt from the Standard. The Commission explained that NRC staff had raised a concern at a joint public meeting of the NRC and the Commission that NRC regulations do not extend to all equipment within a nuclear power plant. Thus, to assure that there is no "gap" in the regulatory process, the Commission clarified that the "balance of plant" equipment within a nuclear power plant in the United States that is not subject to NRC cyber security regulations,³ is subject to compliance with the CIP Reliability Standards approved in Order No. 706. The Commission explained that:

a nuclear power plant licensee may seek an exception from the ERO to the extent that the

licensee believes that specific equipment within the balance of plant is subject to NRC cyber security regulations. If the ERO grants the exception, that equipment within the balance of plant would not be subject to compliance with the CIP Reliability Standards. We would expect that the ERO would make such determinations with the consultation of NRC and oversight of Commission staff. Thus, to further the development of this ERO process, the ERO should consider the appropriateness of developing a memorandum of understanding with the NRC, or revising existing agreements, to address such matters as NRC staff consultation in the exception application process and sharing of Safeguard[s] Information.⁴

4. In response to comments suggesting that the NRC and the Commission develop a memorandum of understanding, the Commission agreed that it is advisable for the two commissions to coordinate their respective cyber security-related activities with regard to nuclear power plants.⁵ However, the Commission declined to resolve for purposes of the proceeding the need for a new memorandum of understanding between the two commissions.

II. EEI Request for Clarification

5. EEI requests that the Commission clarify its views with respect to the need and the time frame for the Commission's developing a memorandum of understanding or other means of coordinating cyber security-related activities with the NRC. EEI suggests that, given the volume of work on cyber security matters and recent regulatory changes such as the NRC's issuance of its cyber security regulations, it is vital that the Commission and the NRC commit to develop a memorandum of understanding on an expeditious schedule. EEI expresses concern that the Commission's deferral of a decision on the need for a memorandum of understanding may lead to confusion and regulatory uncertainty.

6. EEI also requests that the Commission clarify that NERC should seek stakeholder input in developing and implementing both the "exception process" and any process for sharing Safeguards Information. EEI posits that stakeholder input and industry

technical expertise will be critical to implementing both processes.

III. Discussion

7. The Commission denies EEI's request for clarification. The Commission and the NRC entered into a memorandum of agreement in September 2004.⁶ The Commission views the decision of whether to develop a new or revised memorandum of agreement with the NRC, and the timing of that decision, as an intra-governmental matter between the two commissions. Accordingly, the Commission will not make commitments to EEI or others in this proceeding regarding the scope or timing of any coordinated activities between the Commission and the NRC.

8. As for EEI's request that the Commission clarify that NERC should seek stakeholder input in developing and implementing an exception process and process for sharing Safeguard Information, we note that NERC sought stakeholder input in a "Town Hall Meeting" on "Auditing of U.S. Nuclear Plants for CIP Standards Compliance" held on June 11, 2009. We expect that NERC will allow for further stakeholder input regarding these processes. Thus, we see no need to address EEI's request.

The Commission orders:

Edison Electric Institute's request for clarification is hereby denied, as discussed in the body of this order.

By the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-14795 Filed 6-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER09-1286-000]

Elizabethtown Energy, LLC; Supplemental Notice That Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization

June 17, 2009.

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding of Elizabethtown Energy, LLC's application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff, noting that such application includes a request for blanket

¹ *Mandatory Reliability Standards for Critical Infrastructure Protection*, Order No. 706, 122 FERC ¶ 61,040 (2008) (Order No. 706); *order on reh'g*, Order No. 706-A, 123 FERC ¶ 61,174 (2008) (Order No. 706-A); *order on clarification*, Order No. 706-B, 126 FERC ¶ 61,229 (2009) (Order No. 706-B).

² 16 U.S.C. 824o(d)(5)(2006).

³ U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, *Power Reactor Security Requirements; Final Rule*, 74 FR 13926 (Mar. 27, 2009).

⁴ *Id.* P 50. Safeguards information is a special category of sensitive unclassified information to be protected pursuant to Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. 2167 (2006). Safeguards information concerns the physical protection of operating power reactors, spent fuel shipments, strategic special nuclear material, or other radioactive material. See 10 CFR 73.21 (2009) (setting forth requirements for the protection of safeguards information, including access to such information).

⁵ *Id.* P 55.

⁶ The memorandum of agreement is available on the Commission's Web site, at <http://www.ferc.gov/legal/maj-ord-reg/mou.asp>.