

January 23, 2009, pp. 4199–4201) are shown in the table below.

2009 HHS POVERTY GUIDELINES

Persons in family or household	48 Contiguous States and DC	Alaska	Hawaii
1 .....	\$10,830	\$13,530	\$12,460
2 .....	14,570	18,210	16,760
3 .....	18,310	22,890	21,060
4 .....	22,050	27,570	25,360
5 .....	25,790	32,250	29,660
6 .....	29,530	36,930	33,960
7 .....	33,270	41,610	38,260
8 .....	37,010	46,290	42,560
For each additional person, add .....	3,740	4,680	4,300

Source: FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 74, No. 14, January 23, 2009, pp. 4199–4201.

These guidelines are updated periodically.

**Criteria for Donor Reimbursement**

1. Any individual who in good faith incurs travel and other qualifying expenses toward the intended donation of an organ.

2. Donor and recipient of the organ are U.S. citizens or lawfully admitted residents of the U.S.

3. Donor and recipient have primary residences in the U.S. or its territories.

4. Travel is originating from the donor's primary residence.

5. Donor and recipient certify that they understand and are in compliance with Section 301 of NOTA (42 U.S.C. 274e) which states in part “\* \* \* It shall be unlawful for any person to knowingly acquire, receive, or otherwise transfer any human organ for valuable consideration for use in human transplantation if the transfer affects interstate commerce.”

6. The transplant center where the donation procedure occurs certifies to its status of good standing with the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN).

**Qualifying Expenses**

For the purposes of the Reimbursement of Travel and Subsistence Expenses toward Living Organ Donation Program, *qualifying expenses* presently include only travel, lodging, and meals and incidental expenses incurred by the donor and/or his/her accompanying person(s) as part of:

- (1) Donor evaluation and/or
- (2) Hospitalization for the living donor surgical procedure, and/or
- (3) Medical or surgical follow-up, clinic visits, or hospitalization within 2 calendar years following the living donation procedure (or beyond the 2-year period if exceptional circumstances exist).

The Program will pay for a total of up to five trips; three for the donor and two for accompanying persons. However, in cases in which the transplant center requests the donor to return to the transplant center for additional visits as a result of donor complications or other health related issues, NLDAC may provide reimbursement for the additional visit(s) for the donor and an accompanying person. The accompanying persons need not be the same in each trip.

The total Federal reimbursement for qualified expenses during the donation process for the donor and accompanying individuals shall not exceed \$6,000.00. Reimbursement for qualifying expenses shall be provided at the Federal per diem rate, except for hotel accommodation, which shall be reimbursed at no more than 150 percent of the Federal per diem rate.

For donor and recipient pairs participating in a paired exchange program, the applicable eligibility criteria for the originally intended recipient shall be considered for the purpose of reimbursement of qualifying donor expenses even though the final recipient of the donated organ may not be the recipient identified in the original donor-recipient pair.

**Maximum Number of Prospective Donors per Recipient**

- *Kidney*: one donor at a time with a maximum of three donors
- *Liver*: one donor at a time with a maximum of five donors
- *Lung*: two donors at a time with a maximum of six donors

**Special Provisions**

Many factors may prevent the intended and willing donor from proceeding with the donation. Circumstances that would prevent the transplant or donation from proceeding include: Present health status of the

intended donor or recipient, perceived long-term risks to the intended donor, justified circumstances such as acts of God (e.g., major storms or hurricanes), or a circumstance when an intended donor proceeds toward donation in good faith, subject to a case-by-case evaluation by the NLDAC, but then elects not to pursue donation. In such cases, the intended donor and accompanying persons may receive reimbursement for qualified expenses incurred as if the donation had been completed. Under Program policy, a form will be filed with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) reporting funds disbursed as income for expenses not incurred.

Dated: June 10, 2009.  
**Mary K. Wakefield,**  
*Administrator.*  
 [FR Doc. E9–14425 Filed 6–18–09; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4165–15–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Science and Technology Directorate; Notice of Public Meeting of the Project 25 Compliance Assessment Program Governing Board**

**AGENCY:** Science and Technology Directorate, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) Office for Interoperability and Compatibility (OIC) will hold a public meeting of its Project 25 (P25) Compliance Assessment Program (CAP) Governing Board (GB). The P25 CAP GB is composed of public sector officials who are involved in the procurement of P25 equipment. The purpose of the meeting is to collect comments from individual P25 CAP GB members on the proposed Compliance

Assessment Bulletins (CABs).

Additionally, comments are sought on the inclusion of tests for the P25 Inter-Radio Frequency Subsystem Interface (ISSI) in the P25 CAP process.

The proposed CABs will be posted on <http://www.safecomprogram.gov>. Public comments on these documents and the Program are encouraged and will be collected through the Web site prior to the meeting. Public comments will also be received during the session, as time permits. DHS OIC will post details of the meeting, including the agenda, ten business days in advance of the meeting at <http://www.safecomprogram.gov>. The **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** in this Notice provides background information on the P25 suite of standards and the establishment of the P25 CAP.

**DATES:** The meeting will take place on Tuesday, June 23, 2009, from 1 p.m. to 2 p.m. (EST).

**ADDRESSES:** The session will take place via conference call. To participate, please send an e-mail to [Jen\\_Menaker@sra.com](mailto:Jen_Menaker@sra.com) by June 22, 2009, for access information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Luke Berndt, Department of Homeland Security, Science and Technology Directorate, Office for Interoperability and Compatibility, Washington Navy Yard, 245 Murray Lane, SW., Building #410, Washington, DC 20528. Telephone: (202) 254-5332. E-mail: [Luke.Berndt@hq.dhs.gov](mailto:Luke.Berndt@hq.dhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Emergency responders—emergency medical technicians, fire personnel, and law enforcement officers—need to seamlessly exchange communications across disciplines and jurisdictions in order to successfully respond to day-to-day incidents and large-scale emergencies. P25 focuses on developing standards that allow radios and other components to interoperate, regardless of the manufacturer. In turn, these standards enable emergency responders to seamlessly exchange critical communications with other disciplines and jurisdictions.

An initial goal of P25 is to specify formal standards for interfaces between the components of a land mobile radio (LMR) system. LMR systems are commonly used by emergency responders in portable handheld and mobile vehicle-mounted devices. Although formal standards are being developed, no process is currently in place to confirm that LMR equipment advertised as P25-compliant meets all aspects of P25 standards.

To address discrepancies between P25 standards and industry equipment, Congress passed legislation calling for

the creation of the P25 CAP. The P25 CAP is a partnership between the DHS Command, Control and Interoperability Division; the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Institute of Standards and Technology; industry; and the emergency response community.

The P25 CAP provides an independent process for evaluating P25 equipment for standards compliance and interoperability across manufacturers. By providing manufacturers with a method to test their equipment for compliance with P25 standards, the P25 CAP helps emergency response officials make informed purchasing decisions.

The P25 CAP requires test laboratories to demonstrate their competence through a rigorous and objective assessment process. Such a process promotes the user community's confidence in, and acceptance of, test results from DHS-recognized laboratories. All equipment suppliers that participate in the P25 CAP must use recognized laboratories to conduct performance, conformance, and interoperability tests on their products. P25 equipment suppliers will release Summary Test Reports and Suppliers Declarations of Compliance based on testing from laboratories recognized by DHS. This documentation will assure the public that P25 equipment complies with established standards.

Created by DHS OIC, CABs describe how the P25 CAP operates and address issues related to the Program. The scope of a CAB can range from policy to guidance, covering issues such as specific test standards to be used for a particular P25 interface, or P25 LMR Request for Proposal guidance. During the upcoming meeting, updates to two CABs will be discussed. The CAB updates are as follows:

- **Summary Test Report Requirements**—This CAB has been updated to include the identification of which vocoder is present in the product being tested. The format of the document has been restructured in order to accommodate additional P25 interfaces that will be added to the Program in the future.

- **Supplier's Declaration of Compliance Requirements**—This CAB has been updated to include the identification of which vocoder is present in the product being tested.

Draft versions of these two CABs are available at: <http://www.safecomprogram.gov>. Public comments on the updates to these documents are encouraged and can be submitted through the Web site prior to the meeting.

There will be additional discussion on the creation of a new CAB regarding the inclusion of the ISSI interface in the Program. Discussion will focus on the timeline for inclusion of the interface as well as which tests should be required. Public comments on this topic can be submitted through the Web site prior to the meeting. Public comments will also be received during the session, as time permits.

More information about the P25 CAP is available at <http://www.safecomprogram.gov>.

Dated: June 8, 2009.

**Bradley I. Buswell,**

*Under Secretary (Acting), Science and Technology Directorate, U.S. Department of Homeland Security.*

[FR Doc. E9-14491 Filed 6-18-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-9F-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Federal Emergency Management Agency

[Docket ID: FEMA-2009-0001]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice; 30-day notice and request for comments; revision of a currently approved information collection; OMB No. 1660-0097; FEMA Form—None.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has submitted the information collection abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget for review and clearance in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The submission describes the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.*, the time, effort and resources used by respondents to respond) and cost, and includes the actual data collection instruments FEMA will use.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before July 20, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the Desk Officer for the Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, and sent via