

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8594-5]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-1399 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 06/08/2009 Through 06/12/2009. Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20090188, Draft EIS, AFS, AZ, Pinaleno Ecosystem Restoration Project, Proposed On-the-Ground Treatments to Improve Forest Health and Improve or Protect Red Squirrel Habitat, Coronado National Forest, Graham County, AZ, Comment Period Ends: 08/04/2009, Contact: Craig Wilcox, 928-348-1961.

EIS No. 20090189, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Beaverslide Timber Sale and Fuel Treatment Project, Proposing to Harvest Commercial Timber and Treat Hazardous Fuels, Six Rivers National Forest, Mad River Ranger District, Trinity County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 08/04/2009, Contact: Keith A. Menasco, 928-774-6594.

EIS No. 20090190, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest Travel Management Plan, Designate Roads Trails and Areas for Motor Vehicle User, Baker, Grant, Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties, OR, Comment Period Ends: 08/17/2009, Contact: Cindy Whitlock, 541-962-8501.

EIS No. 20090191, Final EIS, NOA, 00, Amendment 1 to the Consolidated Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Fishery Management Plan, (FMP), Updating and Revising Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) for Atlantic Highly Migratory Species (HMS) consider additional Habitat Area of Particular Concern (HAPC) and Analyze Fishing Impacts, Chesapeake Bay, MD, Delaware Bay, DE, Great Bay, NJ and Outer Bank off NC, Wait Period Ends: 07/20/2009, Contact: Margo Schulze-Haugen 301-713-2347.

EIS No. 20090192, Draft EIS, FHW, ID, Idaho 16, I-84 to Idaho 44 Environmental Study, Proposed Action is to Increase the Transportation Capacity, Funding, Ada and Canyon Counties, ID, Comment Period Ends: 08/07/2009, Contact: Ross Blanchard, 203-334-9180.

EIS No. 20090193, Final EIS, AFS, WI, Camp Four Vegetation Project, Proposes Vegetation and Road

Management Activities, Desired Future Condition (DFC), Medford-Park Falls Ranger District, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Price County, WI, Wait Period Ends: 07/20/2009, Contact: Ann Hoefflerle, 715-748-4878 Ext 24.

EIS No. 20090194, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Moonlight and Wheeler Fires Recovery and Restoration Project, Analysis of the No-Action and Action Alternatives, Mt. Hough Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA, Wait Period Ends: 07/20/2009, Contact: Rich Bednarski, 530-283-7641.

EIS No. 20090195, Final EIS, COE, LA, Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet (MRGO), Louisiana, and Lake Borgne Wetland Creation and Shoreline Protection Project, Proposes to Construct Shoreline Protection Features Along the Lake Borgne Shoreline to Restore and Nourish Wetlands, Lake Borgne, LA, Wait Period Ends: 07/20/2009, Contact: Dr. William P. Klein, Jr., 504-862-2540.

Dated: June 16, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9-14462 Filed 6-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8594-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7146.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 17, 2009 (74 FR 17860).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20090032, ERP No. D1-FHW-F40446-IN, I-69 Evansville to Indianapolis, Indiana Project, Section 3, Washington to Crane NSWC (US 50 to US 231), Daviess, Greene, Knox and Martin Counties, IN.

Summary: While EPA has no objections to the proposed action, it did request clarification on some air quality,

floodplain and watershed/wetland issues. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20090061, ERP No. D-AFS-L65568-OR, D-Bug Hazard Reduction Timber Sales Project, To Lessen the Fuel and Safety Hazards Associated with the On-going Outbreak of Mountain Pine Beetles, Diamond Lake Ranger District, Umpqua National Forest, Douglas County, OR.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20090101, ERP No. D-AFS-K65360-CA, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit South Shore Fuel Reduction and Healthy Forest Restoration, To Manage Fuel Reduction and Forest Health in the Wildland Urban Intermit (WUI), El Dorado County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water quality monitoring, cumulative watershed effects, and impacts to stream environment zones (SEZs). EPA recommended consideration of an alternative that further reduces adverse effects on SEZs and watersheds already over the cumulative watershed effects threshold. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20090112, ERP No. D-NPS-K65362-00, Long Walk National Historic Trail Feasibility Study, To Evaluate the Suitability and Feasibility of Designating the Routes, Implementation, Apache, Coconino, Navajo Counties, AZ; Bernalillo, Cibola, De Baca, Guadalupe, Lincoln, McKinley, Mora, Otero, Santa Fe, Sandoval, Tarrant, Valencia Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA does not object to the preferred plan of action. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20090117, ERP No. D-COE-E39078-FL, C-111 Spreader Canal Western Project, To Restore Ecosystem Function in Taylor Slough and Florida Bay Areas, Central and Southern Florida Project, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP), Everglades National Park, Miami-Dade County, FL.

Summary: While EPA has no objections to the proposed action, it did request clarification of some water quality issues. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20090125, ERP No. D-SFW-K99042-AZ, Town of Marana Habitat Conservation Plan, Issuance of an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) to Authorize the Incidental Take of Species Protected by the Endangered Species Act (ESA), Pima County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to the inclusion of incidental take coverage of

bank stabilization activities for the remaining unmodified banks of the Santa Cruz River. Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20090056, ERP No. DB-TPT-K61154-CA, Presidio Trust Management Plan (PTMP), Updated Information on the Preferred Alternative for the Main Post District of the Presidio of San Francisco, Implementation, City and County of San Francisco, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about air emissions from demolition and construction activities, and recommended the Final EIS provide emissions projections for these activities and additional information on mitigation measures. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080489, ERP No. DR-DOE-C06012-NY, West Valley Demonstration Project and Western New York Nuclear Service Center Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship, (DOE/EIS-0226-D Revised) City of Buffalo, Erie and Cattaraugus Counties, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about air quality, sole source aquifer and surface water impacts. Rating EC1.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20090163, ERP No. F-NPS-J61113-SD, Minuteman Missile National Historic Site, General Management Plan, Implementation, Jackson and Pennington Counties, SD.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 16, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9-14463 Filed 6-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget, Comments Requested

June 11, 2009.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520. An agency may not conduct or sponsor

a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before July 20, 2009. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via fax at 202-395-5167 or the Internet at Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to Judith.B.Herman@fcc.gov, Federal Communications Commission, or an e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page <http://reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>, (2) look for the section of the Web page called "Currently Under Review", (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box, and (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the title of this ICR (or its OMB Control Number, if there is one) and then click on the ICR Reference Number to view detailed information about this ICR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at Judith.B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0398.

Title: Sections 2.948 and 15.117(g)(2)—Equipment Authorization Measurement Standards.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 320 respondents; 320 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 5-30 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion, one time and every three year reporting requirements, recordkeeping requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 4(i), 302, 303(c), 303(f), 303(g), 303(r) and 309(a).

Total Annual Burden: 9,100 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:

There is a minimal exemption from the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and 47 CFR 0.459(d) of the Commission's rules that is granted for trade secrets, which may be submitted to the Commission as part of the documentation of the test results. No other assurances of confidentiality are provided to respondents.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this information collection (IC) to the OMB as an extension during this comment period to obtain the full three-year clearance from them. There is no change in the Commission's estimated respondents/responses and/or total annual burden hours.

The Commission established uniform technical standards for various non-licensed equipment operating under the guidelines established in 47 CFR parts 2 and 15 of FCC rules, which include personal computers, garage door openers, baby monitors, microwave ovens, etc. In order to ensure that technical standards are applied uniformly to non-licensed equipment, the Commission requires manufacturers to follow the standardized measurement procedures and practices:

(a) 47 CFR part 2 of the Commission's rules requires each Electro-Magnetic Compatibility (EMC) testing facility that performs equipment testing in support of any request for equipment authorization to file a test site description, either with the Commission or with a Commission-approved accrediting body.

(b) The test site description and the supporting information documents that the EMC testing facility complies with