

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 165****[Docket No. USCG–2009–0461]****RIN 1625–AA00****Safety Zones; Annual Events Requiring Safety Zones in the Captain of the Port Buffalo Zone****AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes establishment of safety zones for annual events in the Captain of the Port Buffalo Zone. This proposed rule adds events not previously published in Coast Guard regulations. These safety zones are necessary to protect spectators, participants, and vessels from the hazards associated with fireworks displays or other events.

**DATES:** Comments and related materials must reach the Coast Guard on or before July 20, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by Coast Guard docket number USCG–2009–0461 to the Docket Management Facility at the U.S. Department of Transportation. To avoid duplication, please use only one of the following methods:

(1) *Federal eRulemaking Port:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

(2) *Fax:* 202–493–2251

(3) *Mail:* Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001.

(4) *Hand delivery:* Room W12–140 on the Ground Floor of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** LT Brian Sadler, Waterways Management Division Chief, Sector Buffalo, 1 Fuhrmann Blvd., Buffalo, NY 14203; telephone 716–843–9573, e-mail [Brian.L.Sadler@USCG.MIL](mailto:Brian.L.Sadler@USCG.MIL). If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V.

Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Public Participation and Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided.

**Submitting Comments**

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG–2009–0461), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online (via <http://www.regulations.gov>) or by fax, mail, or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. If you submit a comment online via <http://www.regulations.gov>, it will be considered received by the Coast Guard when you successfully transmit the comment. If you fax, hand deliver, or mail your comment, it will be considered as having been received by the Coast Guard when it is received at the Docket Management Facility. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an e-mail address, or a telephone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, select the Advanced Docket Search option on the right side of the screen, insert “USCG–2009–0461” in the Docket ID box, press Enter, and then click on the balloon shape in the Actions column. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit comments by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and may change the rule based on your comments.

**Viewing Comments and Documents**

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, select the Advanced Docket Search option on the

right side of the screen, insert USCG–2009–0461 in the Docket ID box, press Enter, and then click on the item in the Docket ID column. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

**Privacy Act**

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008 issue of the **Federal Register** (73 FR 3316).

**Public Meeting**

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one using one of the four methods specified under **ADDRESSES**. Please explain why you believe a public meeting would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

**Background and Purpose**

We propose these safety zones to control vessel traffic within the immediate location of the fireworks launching area during annual fireworks displays.

The Coast Guard is now proposing to add 6 permanent safety zones in 33 CFR 165.939. Establishing permanent safety zones provides better notice than promulgating temporary rules annually, and decreases the amount of paperwork required for these events. The Coast Guard has not previously received notice of any impact caused by safety zones created for these events.

These safety zones are necessary to protect vessels and people from the hazards associated with fireworks displays or other events. Such hazards include obstructions to the waterway that may cause marine casualties and the explosive danger of fireworks and debris falling into the water that may cause death or serious bodily harm.

**Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The proposed rule and associated safety zones are necessary to ensure the safety of vessels and people during

annual firework events in the Captain of the Port Buffalo area of responsibility that may pose a hazard to the public.

The proposed safety zones will be enforced only immediately before, during, and after events that pose hazard to the public, and only upon notice by the Captain of the Port.

The Captain of the Port Buffalo will notify the public that the zones in this proposal are or will be enforced by all appropriate means to the affected segments of the public including publication in the **Federal Register** as practicable, in accordance with 33 CFR 165.7(a). Such means of notification may also include, but are not limited to Broadcast Notice to Mariners or Local Notice to Mariners. The Captain of the Port will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners notifying the public when enforcement of the safety zone established by this section is cancelled.

All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Buffalo or the designated representative. Entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Buffalo Zone, or his designated representative. The Captain of the Port Buffalo Zone or his designated representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

### Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

#### *Regulatory Planning and Review*

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary. The Coast Guard's use of these safety zones will be periodic, of short duration, and designed to minimize the impact on navigable waters. These safety zones will only be enforced immediately before, during, and after the time the events occur. Furthermore, these safety zones have been designed to allow vessels to transit unrestricted to portions of the waterways not affected by the safety zones. The Coast Guard expects

insignificant adverse impact to mariners from the activation of these safety zones.

### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This proposed rule would affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in the areas designated as safety zones during the dates and times the safety zones are being enforced.

These safety zones would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: This proposed rule would be in effect for short periods of time, and only once per year, per zone. The safety zones have been designed to allow traffic to pass safely around the zone whenever possible and vessels will be allowed to pass through the zones with the permission of the Captain of the Port.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this proposed rule would economically affect it.

### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the proposed rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact CDR Joseph Boudrow, Prevention Dept. Chief, Sector Buffalo, 1 Fuhrmann Blvd., Buffalo, NY 14203; telephone 716–843–9572, e-mail [Joseph.A.Boudrow@uscg.mil](mailto:Joseph.A.Boudrow@uscg.mil). The Coast

Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

### Collection of Information

This proposed rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule will not result in such expenditure, we nevertheless discuss its effects elsewhere in this preamble.

### Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule will not affect the taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

### Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

### Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive

Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

### Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination is

available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. This proposed rule involves the establishment of safety zones and as such should be categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g) of the Instruction from further environmental documentation.

We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Public Law 107-295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1

2. In § 165.939 revise paragraph (a) introductory text and add paragraphs (a)(27) through (32) to read as follows:

#### § 165.939 Safety Zones; Annual Fireworks Events in the Captain of the Port Buffalo Zone.

(a) *Safety Zones:*

\* \* \* \* \*

(27) *Independence Celebration Fireworks, Lake Ontario, Oswego Harbor, Oswego, NY*—(i) *Location*. All waters of Lake Ontario at within an 800-foot radius of position 43°28'05" N, 076°31'01" W; in Oswego Harbor, Oswego, NY. (DATUM: NAD 83).

(ii) *Enforcement date*. One day in the first week of July.

(28) *Rochester Harborfest, Lake Ontario at the Genesee River, Rochester, NY*—(i) *Location*. All waters of Lake Ontario at Genesee River, within a 500-foot radius of position 43°15'21" N, 077°36'19" W; in Rochester, NY. [DATUM: NAD 83]. Located on the Ontario Beach West pier.

(ii) *Enforcement date*. One weekend after Fathers Day weekend in June.

(29) *A Salute to Our Hero's, Lake Ontario, Hamlin, NY*—(i) *Location*. All waters of Lake Ontario within a 300-foot radius of position 43°16'27" N, 076°58'27" W; off Hamlin Beach State Park Area 1. (DATUM: NAD 83).

(ii) *Enforcement date*. One day in the first week of July.

(30) *Olcott NY Fireworks, Lake Ontario, Olcott, NY*—(i) *Location*. All waters of Lake Ontario within a 600-foot radius of position 43°20'24" N, 078°43'09" W; located on the West Federal Pier in Olcott, NY. (DATUM: NAD 83).

(ii) *Enforcement date*. One day in the first week of July.

(31) *Erie Summer Festival of the Arts, Lake Erie, Presque Isle Bay, Erie, PA*—(i) *Location*. All waters of Lake Erie, Presque Isle Bay within a 420-foot radius of position 42°07'45" N, 080°06'20" W; in Erie, PA (DATUM: NAD 83).

(ii) *Enforcement date*. One day in the last week of June.

(32) *Mercyhurst College "Old Fashion 4th of July," Lake Erie, Presque Isle Bay, Erie, PA*—(i) *Location*. All waters of Lake Erie, Presque Isle Bay 1,000 feet NW of the Chestnut Street Boat Launch in a 400-foot radius of position 42°08'41" N, 080°06'40" W; in Erie, PA. (DATUM: NAD 83).

(ii) *Enforcement date*. One day in the first week of July.

Dated: June 4, 2009.

**R.S. Burchell,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Buffalo.*

[FR Doc. E9-14381 Filed 6-18-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### 42 CFR Part 8

**RIN 0930-AA14**

#### **Opioid Drugs in Maintenance and Detoxification Treatment of Opiate Addiction; Buprenorphine and Buprenorphine Combination; Approved Opioid Treatment Medications Use**

**AGENCY:** Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Department of Health and Human Services.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule amends the Federal opioid treatment program regulations by modifying the dispensing requirements for buprenorphine and buprenorphine combination products approved by FDA for opioid dependence and used in federally certified and registered opioid treatment programs. Opioid treatment programs that use these products in the treatment of opioid dependence will adhere to all other Federal treatment standards established for methadone.