e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions:

Type of Review: Extension. *Title:* Investigative Data Collection Requirements for the Trade Act of 1974 as amended by the Trade and Globalization Adjustment Assistance Act of 2009.

OMB Number: 1205–0432. *Affected Public:* Individuals or households; businesses or other for profits; and State, Local or Tribal Governments.

Forms: ETA–9042, 9042a, 9043a, 9043b, 9043c, 9118, 8562a, 8562b, 8562c, 8562d.

Total Respondents: 6916. *Frequency:* Once. *Total Responses:* 85675.

Average Time per Response: 2.22 Hours.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 18642. Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintaining): \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 10, 2009.

Erin FitzGerald,

Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Office of National Response, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. E9–14337 Filed 6–17–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,396]

Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Sauget, IL; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated February 11, 2009, the United Steelworkers International Union (USW), District 7 requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on January 14, 2009. The Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on February 2, 2009 (74 FR 5871). The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of copper tubing did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding imports of copper tubing and alleged that the customers might have increased imports of copper tubing in the relevant period.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of June 2009.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E9–14329 Filed 6–17–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,725]

Weather Shield Manufacturing, Inc., Corporate Office, Medford, WI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 26, 2009, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 29, 2009 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 18, 2009 (74 FR 23214).

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that imports of windows did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject facility and there was no shift of production to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's declining domestic customers. The survey of the major declining customers revealed no increasing imports of windows in 2008 when compared with 2007. The subject firm did not import windows during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleged that Weather Shield has experienced declines in sales on the corporate-wide scale throughout the United States.

The petition was filed specifically for the workers of the Weather Shield Manufacturing, Inc., Corporate Office in Milford, Wisconsin. The Department determined that workers of the subject firm are engaged in support functions such as administrative, human resources, accounting, sales, and marketing operations. It was also revealed that the workers of the subject firm support production of windows at various Weather Shield Manufacturing facilities. The Department has conducted investigation to determine there were shifts in production of windows from the production facilities to foreign countries, or whether imports of windows contributed importantly to worker separations. The investigation revealed that none of the production facilities which the workers of the subject firm support are import impacted and there was no shift in production from these facilities to a foreign country.

A careful review of previouslysubmitted material shows that one of the facilities supported by workers of the Weather Shield Manufacturing, Inc., Corporate Office in Milford, Wisconsin, may have produced articles in addition to windows. Therefore, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of June 2009.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E9–14331 Filed 6–17–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P