

NSN: 7530-01-502-6822—DAYMAX System, JR Version, 2005, Black.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6822L—DAYMAX System, JR Version, 2005, Black w/Logo.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6821—DAYMAX System, JR Version, 2005, Burgundy.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6823—DAYMAX System, JR Version, 2005, Navy.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6823L—DAYMAX System, JR Version, 2005, Navy w/Logo.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6809—DAYMAX System, LE, 2005, Black.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6809L—DAYMAX System, LE, 2005, Black w/Logo.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6807—DAYMAX System, LE, 2005, Burgundy.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6807L—DAYMAX System, LE, 2005, Burgundy w/Logo.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6808—DAYMAX System, LE, 2005, Navy.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6808L—DAYMAX System, LE, 2005, Navy w/Logo.  
 NSN: 7530-01-502-6816—DAYMAX System, Woodland Camouflage Planner 2005.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-7963—DAYMAX, GLE Day at a View, 2005, 7-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6819—DAYMAX, GLE Month at a View, 2005, 7-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6824—DAYMAX, GLE Week at a View, 2005, 7-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6820—DAYMAX, IE/LE Day at a View, 2005, 3-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6817—DAYMAX, IE/LE Month at a View, 2005, 3-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6818—DAYMAX, IE/LE Week at a View, 2005, 3-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-7964—DAYMAX, JR, Day at a View, 2005, 6-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6828—DAYMAX, Tabbed Monthly, 2005, 3-hole.  
 NSN: 7510-01-502-6829—DAYMAX, Tabbed Monthly, 2005, 7-hole.  
 NPA: The Easter Seal Society of Western Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, PA.  
 Contracting Activity: GSA/FSS Ofc Sup Ctr—Paper Products, New York, NY.

**Barry S. Lineback,**  
 Director, Business Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-13131 Filed 6-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

#### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0071]

#### Federal Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Price Redetermination

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for reinstatement of an information

collection requirement regarding an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Regulatory Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a reinstatement of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning Price Redetermination.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary; whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before August 4, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (VPR), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4041, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0071, Price Redetermination, in all correspondence.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Warren Blankenship, Procurement Analyst, Contract Policy Division, GSA, (202) 501-1900.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Purpose

FAR 16.205, Fixed-price contracts with prospective price redetermination, provides for firm fixed prices for an initial period of the contract with prospective redetermination at stated times during performance. FAR 16.206, Fixed price contracts with retroactive price redetermination, provides for a fixed ceiling price and retroactive price redetermination within the ceiling after completion of the contract. In order for the amounts of price adjustments to be determined, the firms performing under these contracts must provide information to the Government regarding their expenditures and anticipated costs. The information is used to establish fair price adjustments to Federal contracts.

##### B. Annual Reporting Burden

*Respondents:* 3,500.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 2.

*Annual Responses:* 7,000.

*Hours Per Response:* 1.

*Total Burden Hours:* 7,000.

##### *Obtaining Copies of Proposals:*

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (VPR), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4041, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0071, Price Redetermination, in all correspondence.

Dated: June 1, 2009.

**Al Matera,**

Director, Office of Acquisition Policy.

[FR Doc. E9-13119 Filed 6-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

#### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0043]

#### Federal Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Delivery Schedules

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for reinstatement of an information collection requirement regarding an existing OMB clearance.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), Regulatory Secretariat (VPR) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Delivery Schedules. A request for public comments was published in the **Federal Register** at 73 FR 31071, on May 30, 2008. No comments were received.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary; whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the