

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 1, 2009.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E9-13020 Filed 6-3-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 4, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha Douthit, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

Background

On April 27, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the preliminary results of several new shipper reviews of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China, covering the periods of review of November 1, 2007 through April 30, 2008 for three companies, and November 1, 2007 through June 9, 2008, for three companies. See *Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary*

Results of New Shipper Reviews, 74 FR 20452 (May 4, 2009).

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated, and final results of review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued (19 CFR 351.214(i)(1)). However, if the Secretary concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, the Secretary may extend the 180-day period to 300 days, and may extend the 90-day period to 150 days. See 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department determines that these new shipper reviews involve extraordinarily complicated methodological issues, including the continued evaluation of the *bona fide* U nature of each company's sales. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for these final results which is 150 days from the date on which the preliminary results were issued, until September 24, 2009.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 29, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-13068 Filed 6-3-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-893, A-552-802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People's Republic of China and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Third Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 4, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker (China) and Irene Gorelik (Vietnam), AD/CVD Operations, Office

9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0413 and (202) 482-6905, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On March 9, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published notices for the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"), covering the period February 1, 2007, through January 31, 2008. See *Third Administrative Review of Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 10026 (March 9, 2009); and *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results, Preliminary Partial Rescission and Request for Revocation, in Part, of the Third Administrative Review*, 74 FR 10009 (March 9, 2009). The final results for these administrative reviews are currently due no later than July 7, 2009, the next business day after 120 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results of review.

Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), requires the Department issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to a maximum of 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published.

With respect to shrimp from the PRC, the Department requires additional time to properly consider the numerous and complex issues raised by interested parties in their case briefs, including the surrogate country selection. Similarly, with respect to shrimp from Vietnam, the Department requires additional time to consider the issues raised in case briefs from multiple interested parties, including the separate-rate status for numerous non-mandatory companies, and to conduct a public hearing requested by multiple interested parties.

Thus, it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the original time