

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.02.

Estimated Total Number of Annual Responses: 15,585.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 11,522 hours.
Estimate of Burden for Each Form:*

Form No.	Burden estimate per form (in minutes)	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses	Annual burden on respondents (in hours)
Form 7-2180	60	4,124	4,206	4,206
Form 7-2180EZ	45	425	434	326
Form 7-2181	78	1,205	1,229	1,598
Form 7-2184	45	32	33	25
Form 7-2190	60	1,620	1,652	1,652
Form 7-2190EZ	45	96	98	74
Form 7-2191	78	777	793	1,031
Form 7-2194	45	4	4	3
Form 7-21PE	75	146	149	186
Form 7-21PE-IND	12	4	4	1
Form 7-21TRUST	60	882	900	900
Form 7-21VERIFY	12	5,434	5,543	1,109
Form 7-21FC	30	214	218	109
Form 7-21XS	30	144	147	74
Form 7-21FARMOP	78	172	175	228
Totals		15,279	15,585	11,522

Comments.

Comments are invited on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical use;

(b) The accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Reclamation will display a valid OMB control number on the RRA forms. A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 63509, Oct. 24, 2008). No public comments were received.

OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove this information collection, but may respond after 30 days; therefore, public comment should be submitted to OMB within 30 days in order to assure maximum consideration.

Before including your address, telephone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—

may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: April 21, 2009.

Richard W. Rizzi,

*Acting Director, Program and Policy Services,
Denver Office.*

[FR Doc. E9-12924 Filed 6-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Draft Environmental Impact Statement; Prisoners Harbor Coastal Wetland Restoration Project, Channel Islands National Park; Notice of Availability

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 81-190 as amended), the National Park Service, Department of Interior, has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Prisoners Harbor Coastal Wetland Restoration. The Draft EIS evaluates alternative methods for ecological restoration and cultural resource protection. Appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated, and an “environmentally preferred” course of action is identified. The “action” alternatives are based upon information gained during public scoping, as well as park values, effective restoration strategies, National Park Service policy, and applicable laws.

Background: Prisoners Harbor and Canada del Puerto creek are located on the north side of Santa Cruz Island, Santa Barbara County, California. The project’s area of potential effect encompasses the lower ¾ mile of Canada del Puerto extending to the 19-acre triangular shaped Prisoners Harbor area. This area includes a beach, cobble bar, lower stream channel and the Park’s largest coastal floodplain wetland highly-valued archeological resources, historic resources associated with the island’s ranching history, and stands of invasive eucalyptus trees along the riparian corridor in Canada del Puerto. Historically the Prisoners Harbor area has been extensively modified by direct filling of the coastal floodplain wetland, placement of a berm on the west bank of the associated Canada del Puerto creek, and introduction of the invasive fennel, eucalyptus, and kikuyu grass. Combined, these extensive modifications resulted in the loss of approximately 50%, or 3 acres, of wetland, altered channel hydraulics essentially disconnecting the creek from its floodplain wetland, and inadvertently directed the erosive power of flood flows toward highly-valued archeological resources and caused the loss of approximately 20 acres of southern oak riparian woodland. The purpose of the project is to restore a functional ecosystem including wetland and riparian components, protect archeological resources and the historic scale house, control invasive species, and provide a compatible visitor experience. Under current conditions the coastal wetland habitat is degraded

and relegated to 3 acres. A berm created without engineering specifications in the 1960's inadvertently directs the erosive power of flood flows toward highly-valued archeological resources, and during high flows flood water breaches the creek at a low water crossing and threatens the historic warehouse and other park infrastructure. There is no on-site visitor interpretation signage in the project area. Channel Islands National Park has determined that certain restoration activities at the project site will improve the condition of resources and the visitor experience.

Range of Alternatives: This Draft EIS describes and analyzes one No Action Alternative and two Action Alternatives. The No Action Alternative (Alternative A) would continue current management practices. Alternatives B and C (action alternatives) contain a varying mix of four main components: (1) Ecological restoration, including removing fill and controlling invasive species; (2) restoring hydraulic function; (3) protecting sensitive archeological resources; and (4) improving the visitor experience. Each of the action alternatives incorporates the following elements: (1) Remove fill from the former wetland; (2) remove a section of berm along the west bank of Canada del Puerto creek; (3) remove cattle corrals; (4) relocate scale house to pre-1960's location; (5) construct a protective barrier around a highly-valued archeological site; (6) remove eucalyptus from the lower Canada del Puerto; (7) control other priority invasive species; and (7) improve the visitor experience of coastal wetlands, associated wildlife, and historic human uses.

Alternative B (agency-preferred) would restore 3.1 acres of palustrine wetlands and deepwater habitat by removing approximately 13,000 yds³ 20% fill material, removing all cattle corrals, relocating the scale house out of the 100-year floodplain to its pre-1960s location adjacent to the warehouse, and removing 250 ft of berm thereby reconnecting the creek to its floodplain. Alternative B would protect highly valued archeological resources by constructing a protective barrier around a portion of the archeological site. Twenty acres of riparian woodland would be restored by removing 1700 eucalyptus trees and controlling invasive fennel and kikuyu grass in the riparian corridor. Alternative B would improve the visitor experience through the installation of interpretive signage and placement of benches in wildlife viewing areas.

Alternative C would restore 2.1 acres of palustrine wetlands and deepwater habitat by removing approximately 11,000 yds³ 20% fill material, retaining two cattle corrals adjacent to the access road, removing 250 ft of berm thereby reconnecting the creek to its floodplain, and also would restore 20 acres of riparian woodland by removing 1700 eucalyptus trees and controlling invasive fennel and kikuyu grass in the riparian corridor. The scale house would remain in its current location within the 100-year floodplain. Alternative C would protect highly valued archeological resources by constructing a protective barrier around a portion of the archeological site. Finally, this alternative would improve the visitor experience through the placement of interpretive signage.

Scoping and Public Involvement: A site visit was held in April 2007 to solicit preliminary issues and concerns regarding the project concept. The agenda for the meeting included introductions, site orientation with an informal walking tour of the site, followed by a round-robin discussion with opportunity to ask questions and express concerns. A Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS and conduct public scoping was published in the **Federal Register** on June 11, 2008. On June 12, 2008, a press release announcing public scoping was distributed to the Ventura County Star and the Santa Barbara News-Press, as well as 73 other media outlets, including newspapers, radio stations, and television stations. The press release explained the public scoping process, announced two public open houses, and provided the Web sites for Channel Islands National Park and NPS park planning. The NOI and press release were posted on the park Web site. Notices of the public scoping open houses were printed in the Ventura County Star and Santa Barbara News-Press on June 23, 2008. Approximately 240 public scoping announcements were distributed including details of date, time, and location of the public open houses. These outreach activities elicited pertinent information from interested individuals, agencies, and organizations, which aided the alternatives formulation and environmental impact analysis processes.

Comments: Copies of the Draft EIS will be sent to affected Federal, Tribal, State and local government agencies, to interested parties, and those requesting copies. Paper and digital copies (compact disc) of the document will also be available at park headquarters and at local libraries. The complete

document will be posted on the Channel Islands National Park Web site (<http://www.nps.gov/chis/>) and on the NPS Planning, Environment and Public Comment Web site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/chis/>). All written comments must be postmarked or transmitted no later than 60 days from the publication date of EPA's notice of filing in the **Federal Register**—immediately upon confirmation of this date, this information will be posted on the project Web sites and announced via regional and local press media.

Written comments may be submitted by letter sent to: Channel Islands National Park, Prisoners Harbor Coastal Wetland Restoration, 1901 Spinnaker Drive, Ventura, CA 93001 (or may be transmitted electronically at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/chis/>). Two public meetings will be held approximately 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Questions regarding status of project planning may be directed to Paula Power (805) 658-5784 (or via e-mail paula_power@nps.gov). All comments are maintained in the administrative record and will be available for public review at Channel Islands National Park Headquarters. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Decision Process: Following the analysis of all comments received concerning the Draft EIS, at this time it is anticipated that the Final EIS would be completed in the summer 2009. The availability of the final document will be similarly announced in the **Federal Register**, and also publicized via local and regional press media, direct mailings, and Web site postings. Not sooner than thirty days after the distribution of the Final EIS, a Record of Decision may be executed (at this time it is anticipated a recommended decision would be developed in fall 2009). As a delegated EIS the approving official responsible for the final decision is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region. Subsequently, the official responsible for implementing the approved wetland and restoration plan will be the Superintendent, Channel Islands National Park.

Dated: March 9, 2009.

Jonathan B. Jarvis,

Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. E9-12725 Filed 6-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FY-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Draft Environmental Impact Statement; Yosemite Institute Environmental Education Campus; Yosemite National Park; Mariposa and Tuolumne Counties, California; Notice of Availability

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), and the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR part 1500-1508), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service (NPS), has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Draft EIS) identifying and evaluating three alternatives for managing the Yosemite Institute Environmental Education Campus in Yosemite National Park, California. The Draft EIS for the proposed Environmental Education Campus identifies and analyzes two "action" alternatives and a "no-action" alternative. The full spectrum of foreseeable environmental consequences are assessed and suitable mitigation strategies are considered; an "environmentally preferred" course of action is also identified. Concurrently completion of the EIS process will fulfill the public review requirements of § 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Range of Alternatives: Under the "no-action" alternative (Alternative 1), there would be no change in the management direction, program, location, or conditions at the Crane Flat campus. Necessary maintenance and repairs would continue, but no major rehabilitation of facilities, construction of buildings, or improvements to utilities would occur. There would be no change in size of facilities—the number of student and staff beds (76 and 8, respectively) would remain the same. The overall number of students in the park per session would remain the same (361 students), with the majority of students in commercial lodging in Yosemite Valley.

Under both "action" alternatives, new energy-efficient, sustainable facilities would be constructed to accommodate more students on campus, rather than using additional commercial lodging in Yosemite Valley. These improvements

would provide a safer environment and provide more opportunities for students from diverse backgrounds to participate in the program. All facilities would achieve fire, health, safety and accessibility standards.

Under Alternative 2, the Crane Flat campus would be redeveloped, doubling its capacity (to 154 students, 14 staff), and greatly reducing reliance upon commercial lodging in Yosemite Valley. Most campus buildings would be removed and replaced. Historic structures on the campus would be retained, and some new facilities would be constructed. Utilities would be upgraded to conserve water, meet additional capacity, and achieve health, safety, and accessibility standards. The new campus would be reconstructed largely in its existing location (shifting the campus cabins upslope, away from a sensitive meadow). Under Alternative 3 (agency-preferred), a new campus would be located at Henness Ridge. New facilities would be constructed to accommodate 224 students and 20 staff and to meet park operational needs.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public scoping was initiated in 2002; the park conducted two public meetings on June 26 and June 29, 2002 at the East Auditorium in Yosemite Valley. A Notice of Intent to prepare a Draft EIS for the "Crane Flat Environmental Education Campus Redevelopment" published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 2002 established a 45-day scoping period (comments were accepted through November 14, 2002). During the scoping period, NPS held discussions and briefings with: tribes, park staff, elected officials, public service organizations, and other interested members of the public. The feedback received helped broaden the range of alternatives to include consideration of additional sites for the campus; a Scoping Summary is available and may be obtained by contacting the park as noted below.

Copies of the Draft EIS will be distributed to the general public, sent directly to those who have requested it, as well as to congressional delegations, state and local elected officials, federal agencies, tribes, organizations, local businesses, public libraries, and the news media. Reference copies will be available at park headquarters in Yosemite Valley, the Office of Environmental Planning and Compliance at the NPS Maintenance Complex in El Portal, and at local and regional libraries in El Portal, Mariposa, Oakhurst, Sonora, San Francisco, and Los Angeles. The complete document will be posted on the Yosemite National

Park Web page at <http://www.nps.gov/yose/parkmgmt/planning.htm>.

Additional copies can be requested by contacting the park through one of the methods listed below. Public meetings and project site visits will be scheduled during the public review period; details regarding specific dates, locations and time will be posted on the park's planning Web page (address above) and announced via local and regional news media.

Review and Comment: All written comments must be postmarked or transmitted not later than July 15, 2009 (this information will also be posted on the project Web site and announced via local and regional media). All comments received will become available for public review in the park's planning and compliance office. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All comments should be addressed to the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park, and may be mailed to Superintendent, Yosemite National Park, Attn: Environmental Education Campus DEIS, P.O. Box 577, Yosemite, California 95389 (comments may also be sent by facsimile to (209) 379-1294, Attn: Environmental Planning and Compliance, YIEEC; or transmitted electronically to Yose_Planning@nps.gov with YIEEC typed in the subject line).

Decision Process: All comments as may be received on the draft EIS will be analyzed and fully considered in preparing the Final EIS, which is anticipated to be available for public release in Fall-Winter 2009. Availability of the Final EIS will be announced in the **Federal Register** and via local and regional press media and direct mailings. Following a minimum 30-day waiting period, a Record of Decision will be prepared and notice of approval similarly published in the **Federal Register**. As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for approval of the project is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region; subsequently the official responsible for project implementation would be the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park.