31/97 (i.e., week 31 of 1997) or a manufacturing date of 37/07 (i.e., week 37 of 2007) or higher and marked 'Amdt:A.', in accordance with Saab Service Bulletin 340–28–027, Revision 01, dated July 7, 2008.

(4) As of 15 months after the effective date of this AD, installing an illuminated placard of the refuel and defuel panel is prohibited on any airplane, unless it has a manufacturing date before 31/97, or unless it has a manufacturing date of 37/07 or higher and is marked 'Amdt:A'.

(5) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Saab Service Bulletin 340–28–027, dated April 30, 2008, are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding actions specified in paragraphs (f)(1), (f)(2), and (f)(3) of this AD.

FAA AD Differences

Note 1: This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

Other FAA AD Provisions

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Shahram Daneshmandi, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1112; fax (425) 227–1149. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your principal maintenance inspector (PMI) or principal avionics inspector (PAI), as appropriate, or lacking a principal inspector, your local Flight Standards District Office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

Related Information

(h) Refer to MCAI European Aviation Safety Agency Airworthiness Directive 2008– 0127R1, dated August 7, 2008; and Saab Service Bulletin 340–28–027, Revision 01, dated July 7, 2008, for related information. Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 6, 2009.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E9–11281 Filed 5–13–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 93

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0517; Notice No. 09-03]

RIN 2120-AJ48

Congestion Management Rule for John F. Kennedy International Airport and Newark Liberty International Airport

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rescission.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rescind the final rule Congestion Management Rule for John F. Kennedy International Airport and Newark Liberty International Airport. The final rule established procedures to address congestion in the New York City area by assigning slots at John F. Kennedy (JFK) and Newark Liberty (Newark) International Airports, assigning to existing operators the majority of slots at the airports, and creating a market by annually auctioning off a limited number of slots in each of the first five years of the rule. The final rule also contained provisions for minimum usage, capping unscheduled operations, and withdrawal for operational need. The rule was scheduled to sunset in ten years.

DATES: Send your comments on or before June 15, 2009.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments identified by Docket Number FAA–2008–0517 using any of the following methods:

• *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

• *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Bring comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

• *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251. For more information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http:// *www.regulations.gov,* including any personal information you provide. Using the search function of our docket Web site, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department of Transportation's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78) or you may visit http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov.

Docket: To read background documents or comments received, go to *http://www.regulations.gov* at any time and follow the online instructions for accessing the docket. Or, go to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions concerning this rulemaking, contact: Molly W. Smith, Office of Aviation Policy and Plans, APO–200, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3275; e-mail

molly.w.smith@faa.gov. For legal questions concerning this rulemaking, contact: Rebecca MacPherson, FAA Office of the Chief Counsel, 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3073; e-mail *rebecca.macpherson@faa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Later in this preamble, under the Additional Information section, we discuss how you can comment on this proposal and how we will handle your comments. Included in this discussion is related information about the docket and the handling of proprietary or confidential business information. We also discuss how you can get a copy of this proposal and related rulemaking documents.

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA has broad authority under 49 U.S.C. 40103 to regulate the use of the navigable airspace of the United States. This section authorizes the FAA to develop plans and policy for the use of navigable airspace and to assign the use that the FAA deems necessary for its safe and efficient utilization. It further

22715

directs the FAA to prescribe air traffic rules and regulations governing the efficient utilization of the navigable airspace.

I. Background

The final rule Congestion Management Rule for John F. Kennedy International Airport and Newark Liberty International Airport was published in the Federal Register on October 10, 2008 (73 FR 60544). The final rule established procedures to address congestion in the New York City area by assigning slots at John F. Kennedy (JFK) and Newark Liberty (Newark) International Airports, assigning to existing operators the majority of slots at the airports, and creating a market by annually auctioning off a limited number of slots in each of the first five years of the rule. The final rule also contained provisions for minimum usage, capping unscheduled operations, and withdrawal for operational need. The rule was scheduled to sunset in ten years and was to become effective December 9, 2008.

The rulemaking was highly controversial. Thirty-eight interested parties filed comments to the docket addressing the NPRM. The majority of comments were consistent in rejecting the proposal. Many commenters said that the FAA had failed to demonstrate how the proposal would achieve any significant relief from congestion. Rather, according to the commenters, a final rule would impose an untested and unproven auction process on airlines that would not address the fundamental airspace congestion issues in the New York metro area.

The final rule was challenged by several parties before it could take effect. Petitioners included the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, the Air Transport Association of America, Inc. (ATA), the International Air Transport Association (IATA), Continental, and U.S. Airways. The petitioners sought a stay of the final rule pending judicial review, arguing that they would likely succeed on the merits of the underlying litigation, they would suffer irreparable harm, a stay would not harm other parties, and a stay was in the public interest. On December 8, 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit determined that the petitioners had satisfied the standards for a stav and issued an order staying the rule. Accordingly, the rule has never been implemented. On January 22, 2009, the ATA requested that the Secretary of Transportation, Ray LaHood, withdraw the final rule in light of the court's stay.

At present, operations at both airports remain capped by order at 81 scheduled operations per hour until October 2009. Order Limiting Scheduled Operations at John F. Kennedy International Airport (73 FR 3519 (Jan. 18, 2008), as amended 73 FR 8737 (Feb. 14, 2008)); Order Limiting Scheduled Operations at Newark Liberty International Airport (73 FR 29550 (May 21, 2008)). The FAA is in the process of considering its options with regard to managing congestion at the airport in ways that provide a means for carriers to either commence or expand operations at the airport, thereby introducing more competition and service options to benefit the traveling public.

On March 11, 2009, the President signed Public Law 111–8, Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009. That legislation provides several departments within the executive branch, including the Department of Transportation, with the funds to operate until the end of this fiscal year. That legislation also contains a provision in Division I, section 115 that states in pertinent part:

No funds provided in this Act may be used by the Secretary of Transportation to promulgate regulations or take any action regarding the scheduling of airline operations at any commercial airport in the United States if such regulation or action involves:

(1) the auctioning by the Secretary or the FAA Administrator of rights or permission to conduct airline operations at such an airport, * * *

(3) either:

(A) withdrawal by the Secretary or Administrator of a right or permission to conduct operations at such an airport (except when the withdrawal is for operational reasons or pursuant to the terms or conditions of such operating right or permission), * * *

At the same time, the nation's economy has continued to suffer under the current recession, which is both deeper and longer than was first assumed. In recognition of the extent of the economic emergency, President Obama recently signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009¹ (ARRA), which provides an extraordinary amount of emergency funds to address the unprecedented global recession and to promote economic recovery.

The Omnibus Appropriations Act prevents the FAA from implementing the slot auction rule or conducting slot auctions. However, we recognize that the restriction in section 115 of the Act applies only until the end of this fiscal year, or September 30, 2009. The restriction in section 115 means the rule adopted last year can no longer operate in the way that the agency had planned. The halt in funding for this fiscal year makes it impossible for the rule to have the 10-year life originally contemplated, even without considering the challenging and widespread change in current economic conditions that led to the adoption of ARRA.

Because of the complexity of the issues, the uncertainty caused by the Omnibus Appropriations Act, and the possible impact of the significantly changed economic circumstances on the slot auction program, the FAA believes it would be better to rescind the rule rather than propose to extend it. Rescission would also eliminate the potential for wasting resources of all parties in the pending litigation. We specifically request comments and data from affected interests on whether and how the changed circumstances bear on this proposed rescission.

The current orders for JFK and Newark airports presently address congestion and delay associated with scheduled operations. However, the orders do not address all issues associated with market access at capped airports. Accordingly, the FAA believes it may need further work to address these concerns and limit operations at the two airports.

The FAA seeks comment on this proposal. DOT's Regulatory Policies and Procedures contemplate at least a 60day comment period for a significant rulemaking, unless otherwise justified. The final rule was subject to notice and comment less than 12 months ago, and those comments were fully considered by the agency in issuing that rule. Since comments should be limited to any change in circumstances, including the statutory restriction discussed above, the FAA believes that a 30-day comment period is sufficient in this instance.

II. Regulatory Notices and Analyses

Changes to Federal regulations must undergo several economic analyses. First, Executive Order 12866 directs that each Federal agency shall propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that the benefits of the intended regulation justify its costs. Second, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires agencies to analyze the economic impact of regulatory changes on small entities. Third, the Trade Agreements Act (19 U.S.C. 4 2531-2533) prohibits agencies from setting standards that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. In developing U.S. standards, this Trade Act requires agencies to consider international standards and, where appropriate, to be the basis of U.S. standards. Fourth, the

¹Public Law 111–5, 123 Stat. 115 (Feb. 17, 2009).

Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the costs, benefits, and other effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more annually (adjusted for inflation). The FAA currently uses an inflationadjusted value of \$136.1 million in lieu of \$100 million.

The FAA conducted all of these analyses when it originally issued the final rule. This proposed rescission is not economically significant under Executive Order 12866.

The paperwork burden anticipated under the rule would not be imposed on any parties. The FAA determined that the original final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Rescission of the rule would likewise impose no such burden. The FAA has assessed the potential effect of this proposal and determined that it would impose no costs on international entities and thus would have no trade impact. Nor would the rescission impose a Federal mandate that may result in an expenditure of \$100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, and the requirements of Title II of the Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995 do not apply.

The proposed rescission of the final rule is not an economically "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; however, it is "significant" as defined in DOT's Regulatory Policies and Procedures. Accordingly, it has been reviewed by OST and OMB.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

The FAA has analyzed this proposed rescission under the principles and criteria of Executive Order 13132, Federalism. We have determined that this action would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, and, therefore, would not have federalism implications.

Environmental Analysis

FAA Order 1050.1E, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures" identifies FAA actions that are normally categorically excluded from preparation of an environmental assessment or

environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in the absence of extraordinary circumstances. The FAA previously determined that the final rule qualified for the categorical exclusions identified in paragraph 312d "Issuance of regulatory documents (e.g., Notices of Proposed Rulemaking and issuance of Final Rules) covering administration or procedural requirements (does not include Air Traffic procedures; specific Air traffic procedures that are categorically excluded are identified under paragraph 311 of this Order)" and paragraph 312f, "Regulations, standards, and exemptions (excluding those which if implemented may cause a significant impact on the human environment." It has further been determined that no extraordinary circumstances exist that may cause a significant impact and therefore no further environmental review is required. The FAA documented this categorical exclusion determination. A copy of the determination and underlying documents has been included in the Docket for the rule. The FAA has determined that the rescission of the final rule would also qualify for a categorical exclusion since it would have no impact on the environment.

Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

The FAA has analyzed this notice under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use (May 18, 2001). The agency has determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under executive order 12866 because it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

Additional Information

Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. The agency also invites comments relating to the economic, environmental, energy or federalism impacts that might result from adopting the proposals in this document. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, please send only one copy of written comments, or if you are filing comments electronically, please submit your comments only one time.

The FAA will file in the docket all comments we receive, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed rulemaking. Before acting on this proposal, the agency will consider all comments received on or before the closing date for comments. The FAA will consider comments filed after the comment period has closed if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay.

Proprietary or Confidential Business Information

Do not file in the docket information that you consider to be proprietary or confidential business information. Send or deliver this information directly to the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document. You must mark the information that you consider proprietary or confidential. If you send the information on a disk or CD–ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD–ROM and also identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is proprietary or confidential.

Under 14 CFR 11.35(b), when the FAA is aware of proprietary information filed with a comment, it does not place it in the docket. The agency holds it in a separate file to which the public does not have access, and places a note in the docket that it has received it. If the FAA receives a request to examine or copy this information, it is treated as any other request under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and such requests are processed under the DOT procedures found in 49 CFR part 7.

Availability of Rulemaking Documents

You can get an electronic copy of rulemaking documents using the Internet by—

1. Searching the Federal eRulemaking Portal (*http://www.regulations.gov*);

2. Visiting the FAA's Regulations and Policies Web page at *http://*

www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/; or 3. Accessing the Government Printing Office's Web page at http://

www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html. You can also get a copy by sending a

You can also get a copy by sending a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Rulemaking, ARM–1, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–9680. Make sure to identify the docket number, notice number, or amendment number of this rulemaking.

You may access all documents the FAA considered in developing this proposed rule, including economic analyses and technical reports, from the internet through the Federal eRulemaking Portal referenced in paragraph (1).

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 93

Air traffic control, Airports, Navigation (air), Recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Chapter I of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 93—SPECIAL AIR TRAFFIC RULES

1. The authority citation for part 93 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40109, 40113, 44502, 44514, 44701, 44719, 46301.

Subpart N—[Removed and Reserved]

2. Remove and reserve Subpart N.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 7, 2009.

Nan Shellabarger,

Director of Aviation Policy and Plans. [FR Doc. E9–11293 Filed 5–13–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 93

[Docket No. FAA-2006-25709; Notice No. 09-04]

RIN 2120-AJ49

Congestion Management Rule for LaGuardia Airport

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rescission.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rescind the final rule Congestion Management Rule for LaGuardia Airport. The final rule established procedures to address congestion in the New York City area by assigning slots at LaGuardia Airport (LaGuardia), assigning to existing operators the majority of slots at the airports, and creating a market by annually auctioning off a limited number of slots in each of the first five years of the rule. The final rule also contained provisions for minimum usage, capping unscheduled operations, and withdrawal for operational need. The rule was scheduled to sunset in ten years.

DATES: Send your comments on or before June 15, 2009.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments identified by Docket Number FAA–2006–25709 using any of the following methods:

• *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

• *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Bring comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

• Fax: Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251. For more information on the

rulemaking process, see the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Privacy: We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. Using the search function of our docket web site, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Department of Transportation's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78) or you may visit http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov.

Docket: To read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov at any time and follow the online instructions for accessing the docket. Or, go to the Docket Operations in Room W12-140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions concerning this rulemaking, contact: Molly W. Smith, Office of Aviation Policy and Plans, APO-200, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267–3275; e-mail molly.w.smith@faa.gov. For legal questions concerning this rulemaking, contact: Rebecca MacPherson, FAA Office of the Chief Counsel, 800 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3073; e-mail rebecca.macpherson@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Later in this preamble under the Additional Information section, we discuss how you can comment on this proposal and how we will handle your comments. Included in this discussion is related information about the docket, privacy, and the handling of proprietary or confidential business information. We also discuss how you can get a copy of this proposal and related rulemaking documents.

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA has broad authority under 49 U.S.C. 40103 to regulate the use of the navigable airspace of the United States. This section authorizes the FAA to develop plans and policy for the use of navigable airspace and to assign the use that the FAA deems necessary for its safe and efficient utilization. It further directs the FAA to prescribe air traffic rules and regulations governing the efficient utilization of the navigable airspace.

I. Background

The final rule *Congestion* Management Rule for LaGuardia Airport was published in the Federal Register on October 10, 2008 (73 FR 60574). The final rule established procedures to address congestion in the New York City area by assigning slots at LaGuardia Airport (LaGuardia), assigning to existing operators the majority of slots at the airports, and creating a market by annually auctioning off a limited number of slots in each of the first five years of the rule. The final rule also contained provisions for minimum usage, capping unscheduled operations, and withdrawal for operational need. The rule was scheduled to sunset in ten years and was to become effective December 9, 2008.

The rulemaking was highly controversial. On August 29, 2006, the FAA had published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) proposing continuation of the cap on hourly operations at the airport as well as a new method of allocating capacity (71 FR 51360). The industry response to the new allocation method proposed in the NPRM was universally negative, although very few commenters argued that a cap on operations at the airport was unnecessary. The FAA received comments from 61 different commenters, with some commenters making multiple submissions. The FAA then published a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM) on April 17, 2008 (73 FR 20846). Twenty-six interested parties filed comments to the docket addressing the SNPRM. The majority of comments were consistent in