

efforts, evaluate membrane bioreactor (MBR) technology as a competitive alternate to conventional activated sludge system (aeration basin and clarifier). This will be done by conducting pilot scale testing of a MBR system to treat refinery wastewater alongside conventional biological treatment. This setup will allow a quality comparison to be conducted. Preferably, both normal conditions as well as various upset conditions will be evaluated. Simulated upset conditions to be considered may include oil & grease upsets and possibly pH swing, high organic or nitrogen loading, and excessive debris or other solids that could potentially foul membrane. Current plans are that effluent from the MER will be analyzed for potential recycle/reuse applications (e.g., cooling tower makeup). The project will attempt to determine if this wastewater treatment technology is comparable or superior in operability and effluent quality to conventional biological treatment. Information regarding participation in this venture may be obtained from: Mr. Ryan Couture; ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company; 3225 Gallows Road; Fairfax, VA 22037.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-7366 Filed 4-2-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—NIST Tip Joint Venture on Cyber-Enabled Smart Infrastructure

Notice is hereby given that, on February 27, 2009, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), NIST TIP Joint Venture on Cyber-enabled Smart Infrastructure (“CSI”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: The Regents of the

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; SC Solutions, Sunnyvale, CA; Weidlinger Associates, Inc., New York, NY; Li, Fisher, Lepech & Associates, Ann Arbor, MI; Monarch Antenna, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI; and Prospect Solutions, LLC, Loudonville, NY. The general area of CSI’s planned activity is to conduct research to formulate and demonstrate a cost effective, cyber-enabled comprehensive structural monitoring system assembled from transformative sensor technologies to detect and analyze bridge health.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-7365 Filed 4-2-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Executive Office for Immigration Review

[OMB Number 1125-0012]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-day notice of information collection under review: Request for recognition of a non-profit religious, charitable, social service, or similar organization (Form EOIR-31).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 74, Number 18, page 5184 on January 29, 2009, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until May 4, 2009. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments also may be

submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement with change.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Request for recognition of a non-profit religious, charitable, social service, or similar organization.

(3) *Agency Form Number, if Any, and the Applicable Component of the Department of Justice Sponsoring the Collection:* Form Number: EOIR-31. Executive Office for Immigration Review, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected Public Who Will Be Asked or Required To Respond, as Well as a Brief Abstract:* Primary: Non-profit organizations seeking to be recognized as legal service providers by the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) of the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR). Other: None. Abstract: This information collection is necessary to determine whether the organization meets the regulatory and relevant case law requirements for recognition by the Board as a legal service provider, which then would allow its designated representative or representatives to seek full or partial accreditation to practice before the EOIR and/or the Department of Homeland Security.

(5) *An Estimate of the Total Number of Respondents and the Amount of Time Estimated for an Average Respondent to Respond/Reply:* It is estimated that 110