Highway, Three Rivers, CA 93271 or emailed to *seki\_planning@nps.gov*.

Copies of the completed NEPA analysis will be available at the Office of the Superintendent Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, online at the Web site *http:// parkplanning.gov.nps/seki*, or can be requested by writing to Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, Planning and Compliance Office, 47050 Generals Highway, Three Rivers, CA 93271, or by e-mail addressed to *seki planning@nps gov* 

seki\_planning@nps.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine Smith, 559–565–3105

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Comments

Before including an address, phone number, e-mail address or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Anonymous comments will not be accepted.

Dated: February 9, 2009.

#### Daniel E. Blackwell,

Acting Superintendent, Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks.

[FR Doc. E9–7058 Filed 3–31–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–X2–P

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-605]

In the Matter of Certain Semiconductor Chips With Minimized Chip Package Size and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision To Request Additional Briefing on Remedy and To Extend the Target Date

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

## ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to request additional briefing on remedy and to extend the target date to May 20, 2009, in the above captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2301. Copies of non-confidential

documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 21, 2007, based on a complaint filed by Tessera, Inc. of San Jose, California against Spansion, Inc. and Spansion, LLC, both of Sunnyvale, California; QUALCOMM, Inc. of San Diego, California; AT1 Technologies of Thornhill, Ontario, Canada; Motorola, Inc. of Schaumburg, Illinois ("Motorola"); STMicroelectronics N.V. of Geneva, Switzerland; and Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. of Austin, Texas. 72 FR 28522 (May 21, 2007). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor chips with minimized chip package size or products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,852,326, and 6.433.419.

On December 1, 2008, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued his final ID finding no violation of section 337 by Respondents. The ID included the ALI's recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In his ID, the ALJ found that Respondents' accused products do not infringe the asserted claims the '326 patent or the asserted claims of the '419 patent. The ALJ additionally found that the asserted claims of the '326 and '419 patents are not invalid for failing to satisfy the enablement requirement or the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112 ¶ 1. The ALJ further found that the asserted claims of the '326 and '419 patents are not invalid as indefinite of 35 U.S.C. 112 ¶ 2. The ALJ also found that the asserted claims of the '326 and '419 patents are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. 102 for anticipation or under 35 U.S.C. 103 for obviousness. Finally, the ALJ found that an industry in the United States exists with respect to the

'326 and '419 patents as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2) and (3). In his recommended determination, the ALJ recommended that, should the Commission determine that a violation exists, a limited exclusion order ("LEO") would be properly directed to Respondents' accused chip packages and to the downstream products of Motorola, a named respondent.

On January 30, 2009, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part and requested briefing on the issues it determined to review, remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 74 FR 6175-6 (Feb. 5, 2009). The Commission determined to review: (1) The ALI's finding that Respondents' accused devices do not infringe the asserted claims the '326 and '419 patents; (2) the ALJ's finding that Tessera has waived any argument that the accused products indirectly infringe the '419 patent; (3) the ALJ's finding that Motorola\*s invention of the 1989 68HC11 OMPAC chip ("OMPAC") does not anticipate the asserted patents under 35 U.S.C. 102(b); and (4) the ALJ's finding that the Motorola's OMPAC invention does not anticipate the asserted patents under 35 U.S.C. 102(g). Id. The Commission determined not to review the remaining issues decided in the ID.

On February 23, 2009, the parties filed initial written submissions regarding the issues on review, remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On March 5, the parties filed response submissions. Several respondents in copending investigation Certain Semiconductor Chips with Minimized Chip Package Size and Products Containing Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-649, also filed reply briefs on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. In its initial submission on remedy, Tessera requested that the Commission issue a "tailored" general exclusion order ("GEO") should the Commission determine that there is a violation of Section 337. See Complainant Tessera, Inc.'s Brief on the Issues of Remedy, the Public Interest and Bonding (Public Version) (March 5, 2009) ("Tessera Remedy Br.") (available on EDIS). Tessera also requested that, should the Commission determine that the current record is not adequate to support issuance of a GEO, the Commission should issue immediately the LEO recommended by the ALJ and then conduct further proceedings regarding the availability of a tailored GEO. See Tessera Remedy Br. at 5–6. The Commission investigative attorney ("IA") concurred. See Response of Office of Unfair Import Investigations to Complainant's and Respondents' Briefs on Issues Under Review and on

Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding (Public Version) (March 16, 2009) (available on EDIS) at 33–34.

The Commission requests additional briefing regarding the appropriateness of Tessera's proposed remedy. Specifically the Commission is particularly interested in responses to the following questions:

1. Please address whether Tessera is entitled to a GEO under 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(2).

2. Please address whether the Commission has the authority under the statute to issue a "tailored GEO," which would ostensibly reach only specified downstream products and manufacturers while subjecting a complainant to the additional requirements of 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(2).

3. Please address whether the Commission has the authority to issue two different exclusion orders at two different times, specifically whether the Commission can issue an LEO immediately and then issue a GEO at a later date.

The Commission has also determined that the target date be extended to May 20, 2009.

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the questions posed by the Commission above, as well as the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding.

The written submissions must be filed no later than close of business on Friday, April 10, 2009. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on Monday, April 20, 2009. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 210.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: March 26, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

# Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E9–7231 Filed 3–31–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Engineering Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name*: Engineering Advisory Committee Meeting, #1170.

*Date/Time*: April 22, 2009: 12 p.m. to 6 p.m., April 23, 2009: 8 a.m. to 12 p.m.

*Place*: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1235, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Type of Meeting: Open.

*Contact Person*: Deborah Young, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 505, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice, recommendations and counsel on major goals and policies pertaining to engineering programs and activities.

Agenda:

### Wednesday, April 22

• Introductions and Directorate Updates.

• Broadening Participation Update and Performance.

• Emerging Frontiers in Research and Innovation Update.

• Discussion—Methods for Evaluating Success.

• Sustainable Systems Presentation.

#### Thursday, April 23

- Industry-University Partnerships.
- Partnerships with Agencies.

• Discussion with Director and Deputy Director, NSF.

Dated: March 26, 2009.

### Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. E9–7194 Filed 3–31–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555-01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2008-0607]

Commonwealth of Virginia: Discontinuance of Certain Commission Regulatory Authority Within the State; Notice of Agreement Between the NRC and the Commonwealth of Virginia; Notice of Waiver Termination

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Agreement between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Commonwealth of Virginia.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is announcing that on March 4, 2009, Dr. Dale E. Klein, Chairman of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and on March 18, 2009, Governor Timothy M. Kaine of the Commonwealth of Virginia signed an Agreement as authorized by Section 274b of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act). The Agreement provides for the Commission to discontinue its regulatory authority and for Virginia to assume regulatory authority over the possession and use of byproduct material as defined in Sections 11e.(1), 11e.(2), 11e.(3), and 11e.(4) of the Act, source material, and special nuclear materials (in quantities not sufficient to form a critical mass). Under the Agreement, a person in Virginia possessing these materials is exempt from certain Commission regulations. The exemptions have been previously published in the Federal **Register** (FR) and are codified in the Commission's regulations as 10 CFR Part 150. The Agreement is published here as required by Section 274e of the Act.

Notice of Waiver Termination: On March 31, 2009, the Commission terminated the time-limited waivers of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 requirements granted by the Commission (70 FR 51581; August 31, 2005) to Virginia for byproduct material as defined in Sections 11e.(3), and 11e.(4) of the Act.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Monica Orendi, Division of Materials Safety and State Agreements, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. Telephone (301) 415–3938; e-mail: monica.orendi@nrc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NRC published the draft Agreement in the FR for comment once each week for 4 consecutive weeks on November 20, 2008 (73 FR 70384), November 26, 2008