date payment of the amount was initially due, consistent with § 199.11 of

this part.

(4) Remedies. In the case of the failure of a manufacturer of a covered drug to make or honor an agreement under this paragraph (q), the Director, TMA, in addition to other actions referred to in this paragraph (q), may take any other action authorized by law.

(5) Beneficiary transition provisions. In cases in which a pharmaceutical is removed from the uniform formulary or designated for preauthorization under paragraph (q)(2) of this section, the Director, TMA may for transitional time periods determined appropriate by the Director or for particular circumstances authorize the continued availability of the pharmaceutical in the retail pharmacy network or in MTF pharmacies for some or all beneficiaries as if the pharmaceutical were still on the uniform formulary.

Dated: March 10, 2009.

### Patricia L. Toppings,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. E9-5702 Filed 3-16-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

**Coast Guard** 

33 CFR Part 110

[Docket No. USCG-2008-0155]

RIN 1625-AA01

Anchorage Regulations; Port of New York; Correction

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is correcting the preamble to a final rule that appeared in the Federal Register of March 11, 2009 (74 FR 10484). The preamble incorrectly referred to Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 5100.1, instead of Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 0023.1.

DATES: Effective April 10, 2009. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LT

Edward Munoz, Chief, Waterways Management Division, telephone 718– 354–2353.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc. E9–5095 appearing on page 10484 of the **Federal Register** of Wednesday, March 11, 2009, the following correction is made:

1. On page 10486, in the second column, correct the "Environment"

section to read: "We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 0023.1 and Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(f), of the Instruction. This rule involves a regulation reducing the size of an anchorage ground.

Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(f), of the Instruction, an environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are not required for this rule."

Dated: March 12, 2009.

#### Steve G. Venckus,

Chief, Office of Regulations and Administrative Law.

[FR Doc. E9-5757 Filed 3-16-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

#### POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

# 39 CFR Part 3020

[Docket Nos. MC2009-17 and CP2009-24; Order No. 187]

## **Domestic Mail Product**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is adding Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 to the competitive product list. This action is consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations. Republication of the lists of market dominant and competitive products is also consistent with new requirements in the law.

**DATES:** Effective March 17, 2009 and is applicable beginning March 10, 2009. **ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Regulatory History, 74 FR 9316 (March 2, 2009).

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 to the Competitive Product List. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Request.

#### I. Background

On February 20, 2009, the Postal Service filed a formal request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq. to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 to the Competitive Product List.¹ The Postal Service asserts that the Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 product is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). This Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2009–17.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2009–24.

In support of its Request, the Postal Service filed the following materials: (1) A redacted version of the Governors' Decision authorizing the new product which also includes an analysis of Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 and certification of the Governors' vote; 2 (2) a redacted version of the contract which, among other things, provides that the contract will expire 3 vears from the effective date, which is proposed to be 1 day after the Commission issues all regulatory approvals; 3 (3) requested changes in the Mail Classification Schedule product list; 4 (4) a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32; 5 and (5) certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).6

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Kim Parks, Manager, Sales and Communications, Expedited Shipping, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to coverage of institutional costs, and will increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. Request, Attachment D, at 1. W. Ashley Lyons, Manager, Corporate Financial Planning, Finance Department, certifies that the contract complies with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). See id. Attachment E.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the unredacted Governors' Decision and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 4 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, February 20, 2009 (Request).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Attachment A to the Request. The analysis that accompanies the Governors' Decision notes, among other things, that the contract is not risk free, but concludes that the risks are manageable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Attachment B to the Request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Attachment C to the Request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Attachment D to the Request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Attachment E to the Request.