

ITC of the margins likely to prevail were the order revoked. *See Barium Carbonate from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 74 FR 882 (January 9, 2009). On January 21, 2009, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the AD order on barium carbonate from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. *See Barium Carbonate From China*, 74 FR 10278 (March 10, 2000); and *Barium Carbonate from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1020 (Review)*, ITC Publication 4060 (January 2009).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is barium carbonate, regardless of form or grade. The product is currently classifiable under subheading 2836.60.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Continuation of Order

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the AD order on barium carbonate from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the AD order on barium carbonate from the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect AD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. This review covers imports from all manufacturers and exporters of barium carbonate from the PRC.

The effective date of continuation of this AD order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than February 2013. See also 19 CFR 351.218(c)(2).

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 10, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-5743 Filed 3-16-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting an administrative review and two new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam"). *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 68 FR 47909 (August 12, 2003) ("Order"). The administrative review and new shipper reviews includes three companies, including QVD Food Company Ltd. ("QVD"), the mandatory respondent, and the two new shipper review companies are Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Co. ("Binh An") and Southern Fishery Industries Company, Ltd. ("South Vina"). We preliminarily found that Binh An and QVD did not sell subject merchandise at less than normal value ("NV") and thus received zero margins during the period of review ("POR"), August 1, 2006, through July 31, 2007. We also preliminarily rescinded South Vina. *See Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review and Fourth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of the Fourth Administrative Review*, 73 FR 52015 (September 8, 2008) ("Preliminary Results"). We conducted verifications of Binh An and South Vina and subsequently issued a post-preliminary calculation for South Vina. *See New Shipper Review of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Post-Preliminary Results Analysis for Southern Fishery Industries Co., Ltd.* dated January 13, 2009. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*

and the Post-preliminary results for South Vina. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the dumping margin calculations for the final results. *See Memorandum to the File from Alan Ray, Case Analyst, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, Final Results Analysis for QVD and its Affiliates* (March 9, 2009); *Memorandum to the File from Matthew Renkey, Senior Case Analyst, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, Final Results Analysis for Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Company ("Binh An")* (March 9, 2009); and *Memorandum to the File from Javier Barrientos, Senior Case Analyst, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, Final Results Analysis for Southern Fishery Industries Co., Ltd. ("South Vina")* (March 9, 2009). The final dumping margins are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Reviews."

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 17, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan Ray or Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5403 and (202) 482-2243, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Case History

On September 8, 2008, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of this new shipper and administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from Vietnam. Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred.

From September 17-19, 2008, the Department conducted the verification of Binh An in Can Tho City, Vietnam. From September 22-24, 2008, the Department verified South Vina in Can Tho City, Vietnam.

South Vina and An Xuyen Company Ltd. ("An Xuyen") submitted case briefs on January 5 and 23, 2009, respectively. On February 3, 2009, Petitioners, Catfish Farmers of America and individual U.S. catfish processors, and QVD Food Company ("QVD") submitted case briefs. On February 10, 2009, Petitioners, South Vina, Binh An, and QVD submitted rebuttal briefs.

On October 20, 2008, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review by 60 days. *See Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Extension of Time Limit for*

Final Results of the New Shipper and Fourth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 63435 (October 24, 2008).

On February 25, 2009, the Department conducted a public and a closed hearing. On March 3, 2009, the Department placed additional information on the record. Petitioners and QVD submitted comments regarding this additional information on March 5, 2009.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is frozen fish fillets, including regular, shank, and strip fillets and portions thereof, whether or not breaded or marinated, of the species *Pangasius Bocourti*, *Pangasius Hypophthalmus* (also known as *Pangasius Pangasius*), and *Pangasius Micronemus*. Frozen fish fillets are lengthwise cuts of whole fish. The fillet products covered by the scope include boneless fillets with the belly flap intact ("regular" fillets), boneless fillets with the belly flap removed ("shank" fillets), boneless shank fillets cut into strips ("fillet strips/finger"), which include fillets cut into strips, chunks, blocks, skewers, or any other shape. Specifically excluded from the scope are frozen whole fish (whether or not dressed), frozen steaks, and frozen belly-flap nuggets. Frozen whole dressed fish are deheaded, skinned, and eviscerated. Steaks are bone-in, cross-section cuts of dressed fish. Nuggets are the belly-flaps. The subject merchandise will be hereinafter referred to as frozen "basa" and "tra" fillets, which are the Vietnamese common names for these species of fish. These products are classifiable under tariff article codes 1604.19.4000, 1604.19.5000, 0305.59.4000, 0304.29.6033 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS").¹ The order covers all frozen fish fillets meeting the above specification, regardless of tariff classification. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this

¹ Until July, 2004, these products were classifiable under tariff article codes 0304.20.60.30 (Frozen Catfish Fillets), 0304.20.60.96 (Frozen Fish Fillets, NESOI), 0304.20.60.43 (Frozen Freshwater Fish Fillets) and 0304.20.60.57 (Frozen Sole Fillets) of the HTSUS. Until February 1, 2007, these products were classifiable under tariff article code 0304.20.60.33 (Frozen Fish Fillets of the species *Pangasius* including basa and tra) of the HTSUS.

proceeding and to which we have responded are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Final Decision Memo"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this administrative review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room 1117 of the main Department building. In addition, a copy of the Final Decision Memo can be accessed directly on our Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Final Decision Memo are identical in content.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act, as amended ("Act"), we conducted verification of the information submitted by Binh An and South Vina for use in our final results. See Memorandum to the File, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, from Matthew Renkey, Senior Case Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Verification of Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Company, dated December 9, 2008 and Memorandum to the File, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, from Javier Barrientos, Senior Case Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Verification of Southern Fishery Industries Co., Ltd., dated December 10, 2008. For all companies, we used standard verification procedures, including examination of relevant accounting and production records, as well as original source documents provided by the respondents.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record as well as comments received from parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have made revisions to the margin calculation for QVD, South Vina, and Binh An for the final results. For all changes to the calculations of QVD, Binh An and South Vina, see the Final Decision Memo and company specific analysis memoranda. For changes to the surrogate values see Memorandum to the File, through Alex Villanueva, Program Manager, AC/CVD Operations, Office 9, from Alan Ray, case analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, New Shipper Review and Fourth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets

from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Surrogate Values for the Final Results.

Final Results of the Reviews

The weighted-average dumping margins for the POR are as follows:

CERTAIN FROZEN FISH FILLETS FROM VIETNAM

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin
QVD ²	0.52
South Vina	0.00
Binh An	0.00
Agifish ³	0.52
Anvifish ³	0.52
Vietnam-Wide Entity ⁴	63.88

Assessment

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b). We have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on a per-unit basis. Specifically, we divided the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between normal value and export price or constructed export price) for each importer by the total quantity of subject merchandise sold to that importer during the POR to calculate a per-unit assessment amount. In this and future reviews, we will direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit (*i.e.*, per-kilogram) rates by the weight in kilograms of each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of these administrative and new shipper reviews.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these administrative and new shipper reviews for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn

² This rate is applicable to the QVD Single Entity which includes QVD, QVD Dong Thap, and Thuan Hung Co. Ltd.

³ For the exporters subject to review that are determined to be eligible for separate-rate status, but were not selected as mandatory respondents, the Department normally establishes a weighted-average margin based on an average of the rates it calculated for the mandatory respondents, excluding any rates that are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available. In this proceeding, there is only one such mandatory respondent, QVD. Accordingly, the rate calculated for QVD is applied as the rate for Agifish and Anvifish.

⁴ This includes An Xuyen.

from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each of the reviewed companies that received a separate rate in this review will be the rate listed in the final results of review (except that if the rate for a particular company is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, no cash deposit will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period of review; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be the Vietnam-wide rate of 63.88 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the final results of these administrative and new shipper reviews and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and (2) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 9, 2009.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Decision Memorandum

COMMENT 1: SURROGATE FINANCIAL RATIOS

A. Bionic⁵

B. Gemini⁶

COMMENT 2: SURROGATE VALUE FOR WHOLE LIVE FISH

COMMENT 3: SURROGATE VALUE FOR BROKEN FILLETS

COMMENT 4: INFLATORS FOR CERTAIN FACTORS OF PRODUCTION

COMMENT 5: QVD

A. QVD'S U.S. SALES DATA

B. INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT CALCULATION

C. DUTY ABSORPTION

D. COLLAPSING QVD/DONG THAP AND THUAN HUNG

E. LABELS SURROGATE VALUE

F. DIESEL FUEL SURROGATE VALUE

COMMENT 6: AGIFISH SEPARATE RATE MARGIN

COMMENT 7: AN XUYEN SEPARATE RATE MARGIN

COMMENT 8: SOUTH VINA

A. BONA FIDE SALES

B. SURROGATE VALUE FOR HYDRATECH

C. SURROGATE VALUE FOR WHITECH

COMMENT 9: BINH AN

A. BONA FIDE SALES

B. INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT

C. DIESEL

D. ELECTRICITY

[FR Doc. E9-5744 Filed 3-16-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-930

Antidumping Duty Order: Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 17, 2009.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce (the "Department") and the International Trade Commission ("ITC"), the Department is issuing an antidumping duty order on circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa Blackledge or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import

⁵ Bionic Sea Food ("Bionic").

⁶ Gemini Sea Food Ltd. ("Gemini").

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3518 and 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), on January 28, 2009, the Department published its final determination in the antidumping duty investigation of circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe from the PRC. See *Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 74 FR 4913 (January 28, 2009). On March 12, 2009, the ITC notified the Department of its affirmative final determination of material injury to a U.S. industry. See *Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from China*, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-454 and 731-TA-1144 (Final), USITC Publication, 4064 (March 2009).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe not greater than 14 inches in outside diameter. This merchandise includes, but is not limited to, the American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM") A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications. ASTM A-358 products are only included when they are produced to meet ASTM A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications. Excluded from the scope are: (1) welded stainless mechanical tubing, meeting ASTM A-554 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications; (2) boiler, heat exchanger, superheater, refining furnace, feedwater heater, and condenser tubing, meeting ASTM A-249, ASTM A-688 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications; and (3) specialized tubing, meeting ASTM A-269, ASTM A-270 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications.

The subject imports are normally classified in subheadings 7306.40.5005; 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). They may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 7306.40.1010; 7306.40.1015; 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.5090. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes