report the revenues, and remit the fees to the Commission on a quarterly basis.

The regulations of the Commission and the preliminary rate being adopted today are effective for calendar year 2009. Therefore, all gaming operations within the jurisdiction of the Commission are required to self administer the provisions of these regulations, and report and pay any fees that are due to the Commission by March 31, 2009.

Dated: February 24, 2009.

Philip N. Hogen,

Chairman, National Indian Gaming Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–4410 Filed 3–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7565-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC-2009-0040]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on February 9, 2009 (74 FR 6421) that informs the public of a notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment on the collection "Billing Instructions for NRC Cost Type Contracts (3150–0109)."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Trussell, NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services; telephone (301) 415–6445 or infocollects.resource@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 6421, first column, the subject heading is corrected to read "Docket No. NRC–2009–0040" instead of "Docket No. NRC–2008–0040." The same change should be made in the second column, last paragraph, where the docket number appears twice.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of February 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gregory Trussell,

NRC Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E9–4566 Filed 3–3–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-289; NRC-2009-0097]

Exelon Generation Company, LLC Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of exemptions from Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix R, Section III.G, "Fire Protection of Safe Shutdown Capability," for the use of operator manual actions in lieu of the requirements specified in Section III.G.2 as requested by Exelon Generation Company, LLČ (the licensee, formerly AmerGen Energy Company, LLC), for operation of Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (TMI-1), located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant exemptions to 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, Section III.G.2 based on two operator manual actions contained in the licensee's Fire Protection Program (FPP). The licensee's FPP requires that the identified operator manual actions be performed outside of the control room to achieve shutdown following fires in certain fire areas. The licensee states that each of the manual actions were subjected to a manual action feasibility review for TMI–1 that determined that the manual actions are feasible and can be reliably performed.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated February 4, 2008, as supplemented on January 28, 2009, Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) accession numbers ML080350369 and ML090280577, respectively. In the January 28, 2009, supplement, the licensee withdrew one of the three originally proposed manual actions from the exemption request, since they have determined that action is no longer required.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption from 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, was submitted in response to the need for an exemption as identified by NRC Regulatory Information Summary (RIS) 2006–10, "Regulatory Expectations with Appendix R Paragraph III.G.2 Operator

Manual Actions." The RIS noted that NRC inspections identified that some licensees had relied upon operator manual actions, instead of the options specified in Paragraph 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, III.G.2, as a permanent solution to resolve issues related to Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barriers. The licensee indicates that the operator manual actions, referenced in the February 4, 2008 application, were previously included in correspondence with the NRC and found acceptable in a fire protection-related Safety Evaluation (SE) dated September 7, 1988, ADAMS accession number 8809150224. However, RIS 2006-10 identifies that an exemption under 10 CFR 50.12 is necessary for use of the manual actions in lieu of the requirements of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, III.G.2, even if the NRC previously issued an SE that found the manual actions acceptable. The proposed exemption provides the formal vehicle for NRC approval for the use of the specified operator manual actions instead of the options specified in 10 CFR part 50, Appendix R, III.G.2.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC staff evaluated the manual operator actions presented in the proposed exemption in an NRC SE dated September 7, 1988, (ADAMS accession number 8809150224) and found that they maintained a safe shutdown capability that satisfies the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, III.G. In addition, the licensee supplemented the February 4, 2008 request for exemption on January 28, 2009, with additional information to confirm that the operator manual actions addressed in the 1988 SE for which the exemptions are sought, are feasible and that the safety basis for these actions remains valid. Therefore, the proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released off site. There is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. The NRC staff, thus, concludes that granting the proposed exemption would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-