

comments should reference the OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employment Training Administration.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Title of Collection:* Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund Activity.

*OMB Control Number:* 1205-0154.

*Agency Form Numbers:* ETA 8401, ETA 8403, ETA 8405, ETA 8413, ETA 8414, ETA 2112.

*Affected Public:* State, Local and Tribal Governments.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 53.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 1,802.

*Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden:* \$0.

*Description:* These data collection instruments comprise the Unemployment Trust Fund (UTF) management reports. These reports assure that UTF contributions collected are immediately paid over to the Secretary of the Treasury in conformity with Section 303(a)(4) of the Social Security Act (SSA) and section 3304(a)(3) of the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA); and that expenditure of all money withdrawn from the unemployment fund of a state is used exclusively for the payment of benefits, exclusive of refund (SSA, Section 303(a)(5), FUTA section 3304(a)(4)). A minor change is made to include new reporting required as a result of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (Pub. L. 111-1). For additional information, see related notice

published at Volume 73 FR 73958 on December 4, 2008.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E9-4298 Filed 2-27-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

February 23, 2009.

The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission of the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on 202-693-4223 (this is not a toll-free number)/ e-mail: [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor—ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316/Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), e-mail: [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the

use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employment Training Administration.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Title of Collection:* Evaluation of the Individual Training Account Experiment.

*OMB Control Number:* 1205-441.

*Agency Form Numbers:* N/A.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 3,360.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 1,120.

*Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden:* \$0.

*Description:* Approval is sought for an additional follow-up survey to be conducted as part of the Individual Training Account (ITA) Experiment. The experiment is designed to test three different approaches to providing ITA's. Data from this follow-up survey of ITA customers will be used by the Department to understand experiences inside the workforce system and labor market outcomes for ITA customers. Measures of these experiences and outcomes are necessary to the evaluation of the three approaches. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 73 FR 42597 on July 22, 2008.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E9-4305 Filed 2-27-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FM-P**

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### National Endowment for the Arts; National Council on the Arts 166th Meeting

Pursuant to section 10 (a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Council on the Arts will be held on March 27, 2009 in Room M-09 at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

This meeting, from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. (ending time is approximate), will be open to the public on a space available basis. After opening remarks and announcements, the meeting will include updates and presentations to be determined. After the presentations the

Council will review and vote on applications and guidelines, and the meeting will conclude with a general discussion.

If, in the course of the open session discussion, it becomes necessary for the Council to discuss non-public commercial or financial information of intrinsic value, the Council will go into closed session pursuant to subsection (c)(4) of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Additionally, discussion concerning purely personal information about individuals, submitted with grant applications, such as personal biographical and salary data or medical information, may be conducted by the Council in closed session in accordance with subsection (c)(6) of 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Any interested persons may attend, as observers, Council discussions and reviews that are open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of AccessAbility, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682-5532, TTY-TDD 202/682-5429, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from the Office of Communications, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, at 202/682-5570.

Dated: February 25, 2009.

**Kathy Plowitz-Worden,**

*Panel Coordinator, Office of Guidelines and Panel Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9-4327 Filed 2-27-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-P

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Request for Input (RFI)—National Cyber Leap Year

**AGENCY:** The National Coordination Office (NCO) for Networking Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD), NSF.

**ACTION:** Request for Input (RFI).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tomas Vagoun at [Vagoun@nitrd.gov](mailto:Vagoun@nitrd.gov) or (703) 292-4873. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**DATES:** To be considered, submissions must be received by April, 15, 2009.

**Overview:** This Request for Input No. 3 (RFI-3) is the third issued under the Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative (CNCI), established within

Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD)-23. RFI-3 was developed by the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Program Senior Steering Group (SSG) for Cybersecurity to invite participation in a National Cyber Leap Year whose goal is an integrated national approach to make cyberspace safe for the American way of life. Over 160 responses were submitted to the first RFI issued by the NITRD SSG (October 14, 2008), indicating a strong desire by the technical community to participate. RFI-2 (issued on December 30, 2008) expanded the opportunity for participation by permitting submitters to designate parts of submissions as proprietary. RFI-3 presents prospective cyber security categories derived from responses to RFI-1 for further consideration.

**Background:** We are a cyber nation. The U.S. information infrastructure—including telecommunications and computer networks and systems and the data that reside on them—is critical to virtually every aspect of modern life. This information infrastructure is increasingly vulnerable to exploitation, disruption, and destruction by a growing array of adversaries. The President's CNCI plan calls for leap-ahead research and technology to reduce vulnerabilities to asymmetric attack in cyberspace. Unlike many research agenda that aim for steady progress in the advancement of science, the leap-ahead effort seeks just a few revolutionary ideas with the potential to reshape the landscape. These *game-changing* technologies (or non-technical mechanisms that are made possible through technology), developed and deployed over the next decade, will fundamentally change the cyber game into one where the good guys have an advantage. Leap-ahead technologies are so-called because they enable us to leap over the obstacles preventing us from being where we want to be. These advances may require years of concerted research and development to be fully realized; good ideas often do. However, the intent is to *start now* and gain momentum as intermediate results emerge.

**Objective:** The National Cyber Leap Year has two main goals: (1) Constructing a national research and technology agenda that both identifies the most promising ideas and describes the strategy that brings those ideas to fruition; and (2) jumpstarting game-changing, multi-disciplinary development efforts. The Leap Year will run during fiscal year 2009, and will comprise two stages: *Prospecting and focusing*.

Stage One canvasses the cybersecurity community for ideas. Our aim is to hear from all those who wish to help.

The heart of Stage Two, which begins March 1, 2009, is a series of workshops to explore the best ideas from Stage One. As the year progresses, we will publish four types of findings: (1) *Game-changers*—descriptions of the paradigm-busters that technology will make possible; (2) *Technical Strategy*—as specifically as possible, the invention and/or research that needs to be done; (3) *Productization/Implementation*—how the capability will be packaged, delivered, and used, and by whom; and (4) *Recommendations*—prescriptions for success, to include funding, policies, authorities, tasking—whatever would smooth the way to realization of the game-changing capability.

**Deadline for Submission under this RFI-3:** The third, and final round of the Stage One cycle is covered by this RFI-3 and will close April 15, 2009.

#### Stage One Description

**What We are Looking for:** Contributors may submit up to 3 leap-ahead technology concepts. Multidisciplinary contributions from organizations with cybersecurity interests are especially encouraged. Cognizant of the limits of conventional studies and reports, we have given substantial thought to what framework and methodology might render the community's best ideas understandable, compelling, and actionable to those who need to support them, fund them, and adopt them. Since our search is for game-changing concepts, we ask that submitters explain their ideas in terms of a game. Many ideas will fall into the following three categories. Ideas that:

**Morph the Gameboard** (Change the defensive terrain [permanently or adaptively] to make it harder for the attacker to maneuver and achieve his goals.)

**Example:** Non-persistent virtual machines—every time the enemy takes a hill, the hill goes away.

**Change the Rules** (Lay the foundation for cyber civilization by changing network protocols and norms to favor our society's values.)

**Example:** The no-call list—direct marketers have to “attack” on customer terms now.

**Raise the Stakes** (Make the cost to play less advantageous to the attacker by raising risk, lowering value, etc.)

**Example:** Charging for email—making the SPAMmer ante up means a lot more fish have to bite for SPAM to pay.

Ideas that change the game in some other dimension are also welcome; just be sure to explain how. The rationale for