

Council will review and vote on applications and guidelines, and the meeting will conclude with a general discussion.

If, in the course of the open session discussion, it becomes necessary for the Council to discuss non-public commercial or financial information of intrinsic value, the Council will go into closed session pursuant to subsection (c)(4) of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Additionally, discussion concerning purely personal information about individuals, submitted with grant applications, such as personal biographical and salary data or medical information, may be conducted by the Council in closed session in accordance with subsection (c)(6) of 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Any interested persons may attend, as observers, Council discussions and reviews that are open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of AccessAbility, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682-5532, TTY-TDD 202/682-5429, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from the Office of Communications, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, at 202/682-5570.

Dated: February 25, 2009.

**Kathy Plowitz-Worden,**

*Panel Coordinator, Office of Guidelines and Panel Operations.*

[FR Doc. E9-4327 Filed 2-27-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-P

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Request for Input (RFI)—National Cyber Leap Year

**AGENCY:** The National Coordination Office (NCO) for Networking Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD), NSF.

**ACTION:** Request for Input (RFI).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tomas Vagoun at [Vagoun@nitrd.gov](mailto:Vagoun@nitrd.gov) or (703) 292-4873. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**DATES:** To be considered, submissions must be received by April, 15, 2009.

**Overview:** This Request for Input No. 3 (RFI-3) is the third issued under the Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative (CNCI), established within

Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD)-23. RFI-3 was developed by the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Program Senior Steering Group (SSG) for Cybersecurity to invite participation in a National Cyber Leap Year whose goal is an integrated national approach to make cyberspace safe for the American way of life. Over 160 responses were submitted to the first RFI issued by the NITRD SSG (October 14, 2008), indicating a strong desire by the technical community to participate. RFI-2 (issued on December 30, 2008) expanded the opportunity for participation by permitting submitters to designate parts of submissions as proprietary. RFI-3 presents prospective cyber security categories derived from responses to RFI-1 for further consideration.

**Background:** We are a cyber nation. The U.S. information infrastructure—including telecommunications and computer networks and systems and the data that reside on them—is critical to virtually every aspect of modern life. This information infrastructure is increasingly vulnerable to exploitation, disruption, and destruction by a growing array of adversaries. The President's CNCI plan calls for leap-ahead research and technology to reduce vulnerabilities to asymmetric attack in cyberspace. Unlike many research agenda that aim for steady progress in the advancement of science, the leap-ahead effort seeks just a few revolutionary ideas with the potential to reshape the landscape. These *game-changing* technologies (or non-technical mechanisms that are made possible through technology), developed and deployed over the next decade, will fundamentally change the cyber game into one where the good guys have an advantage. Leap-ahead technologies are so-called because they enable us to leap over the obstacles preventing us from being where we want to be. These advances may require years of concerted research and development to be fully realized; good ideas often do. However, the intent is to *start now* and gain momentum as intermediate results emerge.

**Objective:** The National Cyber Leap Year has two main goals: (1) Constructing a national research and technology agenda that both identifies the most promising ideas and describes the strategy that brings those ideas to fruition; and (2) jumpstarting game-changing, multi-disciplinary development efforts. The Leap Year will run during fiscal year 2009, and will comprise two stages: *Prospecting and focusing*.

Stage One canvasses the cybersecurity community for ideas. Our aim is to hear from all those who wish to help.

The heart of Stage Two, which begins March 1, 2009, is a series of workshops to explore the best ideas from Stage One. As the year progresses, we will publish four types of findings: (1) *Game-changers*—descriptions of the paradigm-busters that technology will make possible; (2) *Technical Strategy*—as specifically as possible, the invention and/or research that needs to be done; (3) *Productization/Implementation*—how the capability will be packaged, delivered, and used, and by whom; and (4) *Recommendations*—prescriptions for success, to include funding, policies, authorities, tasking—whatever would smooth the way to realization of the game-changing capability.

**Deadline for Submission under this RFI-3:** The third, and final round of the Stage One cycle is covered by this RFI-3 and will close April 15, 2009.

#### Stage One Description

**What We are Looking for:** Contributors may submit up to 3 leap-ahead technology concepts. Multidisciplinary contributions from organizations with cybersecurity interests are especially encouraged. Cognizant of the limits of conventional studies and reports, we have given substantial thought to what framework and methodology might render the community's best ideas understandable, compelling, and actionable to those who need to support them, fund them, and adopt them. Since our search is for game-changing concepts, we ask that submitters explain their ideas in terms of a game. Many ideas will fall into the following three categories. Ideas that:

**Morph the Gameboard** (Change the defensive terrain [permanently or adaptively] to make it harder for the attacker to maneuver and achieve his goals.)

**Example:** Non-persistent virtual machines—every time the enemy takes a hill, the hill goes away.

**Change the Rules** (Lay the foundation for cyber civilization by changing network protocols and norms to favor our society's values.)

**Example:** The no-call list—direct marketers have to “attack” on customer terms now.

**Raise the Stakes** (Make the cost to play less advantageous to the attacker by raising risk, lowering value, etc.)

**Example:** Charging for email—making the SPAMmer ante up means a lot more fish have to bite for SPAM to pay.

Ideas that change the game in some other dimension are also welcome; just be sure to explain how. The rationale for

why the idea is game-changing should be the central focus of each submission.

Submitters are encouraged to explore the following categories, which were derived by the NITRD SSG from the review of RFI-1 submissions. These categories encompass promising concepts identified by compelling submissions and may be fruitful themes for additional game-changing insights:

**Attribution**—Technologies and methods to establish that a particular entity (person, host, event) is the originator of an object (e.g. data) or the cause of an effect.

**Cyber Economics**—Security decision-making frameworks that incorporate economic insights; understanding and altering economic value-chains to make cyber security exploits increasingly expensive for attackers.

**Disaster Recovery**—Recovery in the event of a large-scale disruption of national cyber assets.

**Network Ecology**—Incorporating end-to-end network management techniques to control access to and allocation of network resources; modeling of acceptable host and network activities.

**Policy-based Configuration/Implementation**—Standards-based security policy definitions and enforcement frameworks; architectures and techniques for implementing fine-coarse access and permission controls.

**Randomization/Moving Target**—Software diversity that randomizes code structure; virtualization techniques that hide, obscure, move, and alter; randomizing and obfuscating network resources, IP addresses, and the operating system; time-varying, crypto-based identities to identify services, hosts, interfaces, networks and users.

**Secure Data**—Building provenance and access controls into the fabric of digital data.

**Software Assurance**—Security-focused system assurance programming languages.

**Virtualization**—Cloud-based virtual desktops for stateless thin clients; high-security hypervisors; least-authority execution via adaptive sandboxes.

Submissions in areas outside these categories will also be considered.

**Who can Participate:** This RFI-3 is open to all and we especially encourage public- and private-sector groups (e.g., universities, government laboratories, companies, non-profit groups, user groups) with cybersecurity interests to participate. Collaborative, multidisciplinary efforts are also highly encouraged. Participants in Stage One must be willing to participate in Stage Two should one of their ideas be selected. Excluding proprietary information, participants must also be

willing to have their ideas posted for discussion on a public Web site and/or included in our final report.

**How We Will Use It:** The best ideas from Stage One will go on to Stage Two. Non-proprietary elements of Stage One submissions may be posted on our Web site for elaboration and improvement, as a key goal of the Leap Year is to engage diverse sectors (e.g., government, academia, commercial, international) in identifying multidimensional strategies and, where it makes sense, in rolling up their sleeves and starting to work. Submissions crafted with that larger community in mind will be the most compelling and influential.

Leap Year interim results and emerging guidance will be posted at: <http://www.nitrd.gov/leapyear/>.

Questions and submissions should be addressed to: [leapyear@nitrd.gov](mailto:leapyear@nitrd.gov).

In accordance with FAR 15.202(3), responses to this notice are not offers and cannot be accepted by the Government to form a binding contract. Responders are solely responsible for all expenses associated with responding to this RFI-3, including any subsequent requests for proposals.

All responses must be no more than two pages long (12 pt font, 1" margins) and in this form:

**RFI Name:** RFI-3—National Cyber Leap Year.

**Title of Concept**

**RFI Focus Area** (Morph the gameboard, Change the rules, Raise the stakes.)

**Submitter's Contact Information**—Name, Organization, Address, Telephone number, E-mail address.

**Summary of Who You are**—Credentials, group membership.

**Concept**—What is the idea? Explain why it would change the game. Introducing a good idea alone is not sufficient; you must explain how it changes the game.

**Vision**—Make us believe in your idea. (What would the world look like if this were in place? How would people get it, use it? What makes you think this is possible? What needs to happen for this to become real? Which parts already exist; which parts need to be invented?)

**Method**—What process did you use to formulate and refine your concept? What assumptions or dependencies underlie your analysis?

**Dream Team**—Who are the people you'd need to have on your team to make this real? If you just know disciplines that's okay. If you have names, explain what those people do. If your idea is selected for further consideration, we will do our best to bring these people together for a Stage Two workshop.

**Labeling of Proprietary Information**—Clearly label any part of the submission designated as proprietary. The proprietary information will be restricted to government use only. If the submission is selected for Stage Two, we will work with the submitter to determine exactly what information warrants proprietary protection and to establish appropriate controls for managing, protecting, and negotiating as appropriate the relevant intellectual property rights.

Responses must be submitted via <http://www.nitrd.gov/leapyear/> or e-mailed to [leapyear@nitrd.gov](mailto:leapyear@nitrd.gov), and must be received by April 15, 2009. Appendix A contains a sample submission and review considerations.

#### Appendix A—Sample Submission

**Who You Are**—[http://](http://quieteveningathome.org)

[quieteveningathome.org](http://quieteveningathome.org)—We are a 501c3 group with 50,000 members dedicated to the preservation of the dinner hour as the core of American civilization.

**Game-changing Dimension**—Change the rules.

**Concept**—Telemarketers are using our resources and time to market their products. They can call and interrupt our dinners and use our own telephones to reach us. What if we changed the rules to “don't call us, we'll call you?” Changing this rule changes the game to one where we decide which marketers to contact and when, returning control of the dinner hour to us.

**Vision**—The vision is a national do-not-call register. People should be able to go to <http://donotcall.gov> and register their phone number. It would be illegal for telemarketers who have not been given permission to call someone. If a telemarketer makes an illegal call, the recipient should be able to report them to a government agency and they should be fined. The technology to do this is easy, we are not sure about the laws and policies. Courts have ruled differently on this issue at different times. We think the political climate is friendly today for Federal legislation.

**Method**—We announced our search for ideas on our website and submissions were made there. We also publicized through restaurant and catering associations with whom we often partner, who offered interruption-free meals for brainstorming sessions. Participation was not limited to members, but could not be anonymous, since it was our intention to follow up with submitters. The Board of Directors of QEAH enlisted the aid of Prandia University to work with the submitters of the best ideas to develop them into even better ideas. The Board

ensured all the aspects described in the Leap Year RFI were addressed in our final submissions.

*Dream Team*—Federal Trade Commission, Federal Communications Commission, constitutional lawyer, Telemarketers' Association, Consumers Union, Oracle or other database company.

#### Review Considerations

Submissions will be reviewed by the NITRD Senior Steering Group for Cybersecurity using the following considerations:

Would it change the game?

How clear is the way forward?

What heights are the hurdles that may be found in the way forward?

Submitted by the National Science Foundation for the National Coordination Office (NCO) for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) on February 25, 2009.

Dated: February 25, 2009.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. E9-4321 Filed 2-27-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2009-0054]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB's approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:*

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 140, "Financial Protection Requirements and Indemnity Agreements.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0039.

3. *How often the collection is required:* As necessary in order for NRC

to meet its responsibilities called for in Sections 170 and 193 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act).

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Licensees authorized to operate reactor facilities in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50 and licensees authorized to construct and operate a uranium enrichment facility in accordance with 10 CFR Parts 40 and 70.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 91.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 1307.

7. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 140 of the NRC's regulations specifies information to be submitted by licensees to enable the NRC to assess (a) the financial protection required of licensees and for the indemnification and limitation of liability of certain licensees and other persons pursuant to Section 170 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and (b) the liability insurance required of uranium enrichment facility licensees pursuant to Section 193 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Submit, by May 1, 2009, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2009-0054. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods. Electronic comments: Go to <http://>

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and search for Docket No. NRC-2009-0054. Mail comments to NRC Clearance Officer, Gregory Trussell (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Gregory Trussell (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-6445, or by e-mail to [INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV](mailto:INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of February 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
**Gregory Trussell**  
*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.*

[FR Doc. E9-4334 Filed 2-27-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52-027 and 52-028; NRC-2008-0441]

### South Carolina Electric and Gas Company Acting for Itself and as Agent for the South Carolina Public Service Company (Also Referred to as Santee Cooper) Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station Units 2 and 3 Combined License Application; Notice of an Extension to the Environmental Scoping Period

South Carolina Electric and Gas Company (SCE&G) acting for itself and as an agent for South Carolina Public Service Company (also referred to as Santee Cooper) has submitted an application for combined licenses (COLs) to build Units 2 and 3 at its Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station (VCSNS) site, located on approximately 3,600 acres in Fairfield County, South Carolina, on the Broad River, approximately 15 miles west of the county seat of Winnsboro and 26 miles northwest of Columbia, South Carolina. The application for the COLs was submitted to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on March 27, 2008, pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 52.

A notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) and conduct scoping was published in the **Federal Register** on January 5, 2009 (74 FR 323-324) and scoping meetings were held in Winnsboro and Blair, South Carolina on January 27 and 28, 2009, respectively. After the meetings, Mayor Gregrey Ginyard of Jenkinsville,