This Delegation includes all authorities and functions that have been or may be delegated or redelegated to other Department officials but does not repeal delegations to such officials.

Notwithstanding this delegation of authority, the Secretary of State may exercise any function or authority delegated by this delegation.

The Deputy Secretary or Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources may, to the extent consistent with law, (1) redelegate such functions and authorities and authorize their successive redelegation, and (2) promulgate such rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out such functions.

This Delegation of Authority supersedes Delegation of Authority 245, dated April 23, 2001.

This memorandum shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 13, 2009.

Hillary Rodham Clinton,

Secretary of State, Department of State.
[FR Doc. E9–4142 Filed 2–25–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Delegation of Authority 284-1]

Delegation of Authority to the Under Secretary for Political Affairs

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Secretary of State by the laws of the United States, including 22 U.S.C. 2651a, I hereby delegate to the Under Secretary for Political Affairs, to the extent authorized by law, all authorities and functions vested in the Secretary of State or the head of agency by any act, order, determination, delegation of authority, regulation, or executive order, now or hereafter issued. This delegation includes all authorities and functions that have been or may be delegated or redelegated to other Department officials but does not repeal delegations to such officials.

This delegation shall apply only when the Secretary, the Deputy Secretary, and the Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources are absent or otherwise unavailable or when the Secretary or either Deputy Secretary requests that the Under Secretary exercise such authorities and functions.

Notwithstanding this delegation of authority, the Secretary of State, the Deputy Secretary of State and the Deputy Secretary of State for Management and Resources may exercise any function or authority delegated by this delegation. This Delegation of Authority supersedes Delegation of Authority 284, dated August 26, 2005.

This memorandum shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 13, 2009.

Hillary Rodham Clinton,

Secretary of State, Department of State. [FR Doc. E9–4143 Filed 2–25–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Delegation of Authority No. 280-1]

Delegation by the Secretary of State to the Under Secretary for Political Affairs of Authorities Regarding Congressional Reporting Functions

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Secretary of State by the laws of the United States, including 22 U.S.C. 2651a, I hereby assign to the Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs, to the extent authorized by law, the function of approving submission of reports to the Congress.

This delegation covers the decision to submit to the Congress both one-time reports and recurring reports, including but not limited to those recurring reports identified in Section 1 of Executive Order 13313 (Delegation of Certain Congressional Reporting Functions) of July 31, 2003. However, this delegation shall not be construed to authorize the Under Secretary to make waivers, certifications, determinations, findings, or other such statutorily required substantive actions that may be called for in connection with the submission of a report. The Under Secretary shall be responsible for referring to the Secretary, the Deputy Secretary, or the Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources any matter on which action would appropriately be taken by such official.

Any authority covered by this delegation may also be exercised by the Deputy Secretary and the Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources, to the extent authorized by law, or by the Secretary of State.

This Delegation of Authority supersedes Delegation of Authority 280, dated May 2, 2005. This delegation does not repeal delegations to other Department officials.

This delegation of authority shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 13, 2009.

Hillary Rodham Clinton,

Secretary of State, Department of State. [FR Doc. E9-4145 Filed 2-25-09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710-10-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Eighth Meeting, RTCA Special Committee 216: Aeronautical System Security

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of RTCA Special Committee 216 meeting Aeronautical Systems Security.

SUMMARY: The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public of a meeting of RTCA Special Committee 216: Aeronautical Systems Security.

DATES: The meeting will be held on March 18–20, 2009. March 18–19, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and March 20, from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m.

ADDRESSES: RTCA, Inc., 1828 L Street, NW., Suite 805, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

RTCA Secretariat, 1828 L Street, NW., Suite 805, Washington, DC 20036–5133; telephone (202) 833–9339; fax (202) 833–9434; Web site http://www.rtca.org.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for a Special Committee 216 meeting. The agenda will include:

- Opening Session (Welcome, Introductions and Administrative Remarks, Agenda Overview).
- Approval of Summary of the Seventh meeting held on 14–16 January, RTCA Paper No. 039–09/SC216–015.
 - Report on PMC Action on TOR.
 - Subgroup and Action Item Reports.
 - EUROCAE WG-72 Report.
- Other Industry Activities Related to Security—Reports and Presentations.
 - Subgroup Breakout Sessions.
 - Subgroups Report on Breakouts.
- Establish Dates, Location and Agenda for Next Meeting.
- Closing Session (Any Other Business, Assignment/Review of Future Work, Establish Agenda, Date and Place of Next Meeting, Closing Remarks, Adjourn).

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairmen, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 19, 2009.

Bob Bostiga,

Program Manager, RTCA Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. E9–4075 Filed 2–25–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Approvals and Disapprovals

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Monthly Notice of PFC Approvals and Disapprovals. In January 2009, there were three applications approved. This notice also includes information on one application, approved in December 2008, inadvertently left off the December 2008 notice. Additionally, 16 approved amendments to previously approved applications are listed.

SUMMARY: The FAA publishes a monthly notice, as appropriate, of PFC approvals and disapprovals under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). This notice is published pursuant to paragraph d of § 158.29.

PFC Applications Approved

Public Agency: Gallatin Airport Authority, Belgrade, Montana.

Application Number: 09–04–C–00– BZN.

Application Type: Impose and use a PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

TOTAL PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$2,200,000.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: February 1, 2010.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: February 1, 2012.

Class of Air Carriers not Required to Collect PFC'S: Air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Gallatin Field.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

Terminal expansion, preliminary design

Purchase express ramp loading bridge. *Decision Date:* December 30, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dave Stelling, Helena Airports District Office, (406) 449–5257.

Public Agency: Indian Wells Valley Airport District, Inyokern, California. Application Number: 09–06–C–00–

Application Type: Impose And Use A PFC.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved in This Decision: \$502,105.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: March 1, 2009.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: March 1, 2019.

Class of Air Carriers not Required to Collect PFC's: None.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:

PFC application costs.

Terminal building improvements. Airfield electrical improvements. Power sweeper truck.

Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection:

Runway 2/20, taxiway, apron, and access road rehabilitation. Runway 2/20 reconstruction. Taxiway Al construction. Runway 15/33 reconstruction.

Decision Date: January 16, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Darlene Williams, Los Angeles Airports District Office, (310) 725–3625.

Public Agency: City Of Chicago, Illinois.

Applications Number: 07-12-C-00-Mdw.

Application Type: Impose And Use A Pfc.

PFC Level: \$4.50.

Total PFC Revenue Approved In This Decision: \$501,933,168.

Earliest Charge Effective Date: September 1, 2038.

Estimated Charge Expiration Date: November 1, 2053.

Class of Air Carriers not Required to Collect PFC's: Air Taxi.

Determination: Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Chicago Midway International Airport.

Brief Description of Partially Projects Approved for Collection and Use at a \$4.50 PFC Level: Residential soundproofing (2005–2011).

Determination: The public agency did not provide sufficient documentation to justify the level of financing and interest costs requested. Therefore, the FAA reduced the requested financing costs. North security hall expansion.

Determination: The public agency did not provide sufficient documentation to justify the level of financing and interest costs requested. Therefore, the FAA reduced the requested financing costs.

Cyclical airfield rehabilitation.

Determination: The public agency requested that this project be solely funded with PFC revenue. However. after the application had been submitted, the public agency received two Airport Improvement Program (AlP) grants providing partially funding for two project components. Therefore, the FAA reduced the approved PFC amount to account for the two AlP grants. In addition, the public agency requested, by letter dated December 19, 2008, that three proposed components be withdrawn from the project. Finally, the public agency did not provide sufficient documentation to justify the level of financing and interest costs requested. Therefore, the FAA reduced the requested financing costs.

Explosive detection system in-line baggage system.

Determination: Offices and training rooms for the Transportation Security Administration are not PFC eligible, in accordance with paragraph 611 of FAA Order 5100/.38C, AlP Handbook (June 28, 2005). In addition, the public agency did not provide sufficient documentation to justify the level of financing and interest costs requested. Therefore, the FAA reduced the requested financing costs.

School soundproofing 2005–2008.

Determination: The public agency provided revised, reduced costs to the FAA on January 8, 2009. Therefore, the approved amount was reduced from that requested in the application. In addition, the public agency did not provide sufficient documentation to justify the level of financing and interest costs requested. Therefore, the FAA reduced the requested financing costs.

Brief Description of Projects Partially Approved for Collection and Use at a \$3.00 PFC Level: Vehicle acquisitions 2005–2011.

Determination: The public agency did not provide cost information for the purchase of one runway broom nor was there evidence that the acquisition of this vehicle had been discussed in the airline consultation. Therefore, the acquisition of one runway broom was not approved. In addition, the public agency did not provide sufficient documentation to justify the level of financing and interest costs requested. Therefore, the FAA reduced the requested financing costs.