An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2008 (73 FR 19833).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070046, ERP No. D-BLM-J65476–CO, Little Snake Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Moffat, Routt and Rio Blanco Counties, Craig, CO

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential for visibility impacts to the Mt. Zirkel Wilderness and Black Canyon Class I areas and potential adverse impacts to water quality and the sagebrush ecosystem. The Final EIS should include measures to address potential ozone impacts, avoid visibility impairment, and the use of phased development to mitigate impacts to areas with high wildlife and scenic value. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080413, ERP No. D-FHW-K40269-CA, Mid County Parkway Project, Construct a New Parkway between Interstate 15 (I–15) in the West and State Route 79 (SR–79) in the East, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit, Riverside County, CA

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to environmental justice communities and the lack of sufficient mobile source air toxics analysis to inform decisions regarding alternatives, design, and mitigation. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080441, ERP No. D-FHW-L40236-OR, Sellwood Bridge Project, Rehabilitate or Replace the Bridge Crosses the Willamette River on Southeast Tacoma Street and Oregon State Highway 43, Funding, Multnomah County, OR

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20080448, ERP No. D-NPS-K61169-AZ, Fire Management Plan, Management of Wildland and Prescribed Fire, Protection of Human Life and Property Restoration and Maintenance of Fire Dependent Ecosystems, and Reduction of Hazardous Fuels, Grand Canyon National Park, Coconino County, AZ Summary: EPA does not object to the

Preferred Alternative. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20080486, ERP No. D-AFSL65561-AK, Logjam Timber Sale
Project, Proposes Timber Harvesting
from 4 Land Use Designations,
Tongass Land and Resource
Management Plan, Thorne Bay Ranger
District, Tongass National Forest,
Prince Wales Island, AK

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential for adverse impacts to aquatic and wildlife resources from road construction, ground-based harvest methods, stream crossings, and cumulative effects from past timber harvest activities. EPA recommends the selection of an alternative that minimizes these impacts. Rating EC1. EIS No. 20080497, ERP No. D-STA-

F03012–00, Alberta Clipper Pipeline Project, Application for a Presidential Permit to Construction, Operation and Maintenance of Facilities in ND, MN and WI

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to waters and wetlands, and recommended that the final EIS consider additional alternatives and identify additional mitigation measures, including voluntary upland forest mitigation and strategies to reduce diesel emissions during construction. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080401, ERP No. DS-FHW-L40186-OR, Sunrise Project, Proposes to Build a New East-West Oriented, Limited-Access Highway between I– 205 to Rock Creek Junction, Funding and US Army COE Section 404 Permit, Clackamas County, Oregon

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the impacts to locally important habitats and open space, as well as wetlands. Furthermore, EPA has concerns regarding environmental justice, stimulated travel and growth effects, air toxics and greenhouse gas emissions, ground water resources, and water quality and quantity impacts that could affect threatened fish species. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20080475, ERP No. DS-FHW-K50015-CA, Schuyler Heim Bridge Replacement and SR-47 Expressway Improvement Project, New Information related to Health Risk Associated with Air Toxics, Funding, U.S. Coast Guard Bridge Permit, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, CA

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed projects air quality impacts. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20080505, ERP No. F-FHW-F40415-IN, U.S. 31 Improvement Project (I–465 to IN–38), between I– 465 North Leg and IN–38, NPDES Permit and US Army Section 10 and 404 Permits, Hamilton County, IN Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20080507, ERP No. F-FHW-K40265–CA, CA–76 Corridor Project, Transportation Improvements from Melrose Drive to South Mission Road, San Diego County, CA

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts from mobile source air toxics.

EIS No. 20080510, ERP No. F-STB-F53019-00, Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railroad (Finance Docket No. 35087) Proposed Acquisition by Canadian National (CN) Railway and Grand Trunk Corporation to connect all Five of CN's Rail lines, Chicago, Illinois and Gary, Indiana

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the noise impacts, impacts to wetlands, and insufficient assessments of natural resources, long-term rail operations, and indirect and cumulative impacts.

EIS No. 20080514, ERP No. F-AFS-

L65551–ID, Corralled Bear Project, Management of Vegetation, Hazardous Fuels, and Access, Plus Watershed Improvements, Palouse Ranger District, Clearwater National Forest, Latah County, ID

Summary: EPA does not object to the selected action.

EIS No. 20080515, ERP No. F–BLM– J65507–WY, West Antelope Coal Lease Application (Federal Coal Lease Application WYW163340), Implementation, Converse and Campbell Counties, WY

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about air quality impacts.

Dated: February 10, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9–3139 Filed 2–12–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8590-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–1399 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 02/02/2009 Through 02/06/2009 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20090033, Final Supplement, COE, AR, Fourche Bayou Basin Project, 1,750 Acre Bottomland
Acquisition with Nature Appreciation
Facilities, Development, Funding,
City of Little Rock, Pulaski County,
AR, Wait Period Ends: 03/16/2009,
Contact: Jim Ellis 501–324–5629.
EIS No. 20090034, Draft EIS, COE, MS,
PROGRAMMATIC EIS—Mississippi
Coastal Improvements Program
(MsCIP), Comprehensive Plan,
Implementation, Hancock, Harrison
and Jackson Counties, MS, Comment
Period Ends: 03/30/2009, Contact:
Jennifer Jacobson 251–690–2724.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20080177, Final EIS, USN, HI, Hawaii Range Complex (HRC) Project, Preferred Alternative is 3, To Support and Maintain Navy Pacific Fleet Training, and Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Operations, Kauai, Honolulu, Maui and Hawaii Counties, HI, Contact: Jolie Harrison, at 301-713-2289. The U.S. Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (DOC/NOA) has adopted the U.S. Navy's FEIS #20080177 filed on 05/02/2008. DOC/ NOA was a Cooperating Agency for the above project. Recirculation of the FEIS is not necessary under 40 CFR 1506.3(c).

EIS No. 20080528, Draft EIS, USN, 00,
Northwest Training Range Complex
(NWTRC), To Support and Conduct
Current, Emerging, and Future
Training and Research, Development,
Test and Evaluation (RDT&E)
Activities, WA, OR and CA, Comment
Period Ends: 02/18/2009, Contact:
Kimberly Kler 360–396–0927.
Revision to FR Notice Published 12/
29/2008: Extending the Comment
from 2/11/2009 to 02/18/2009.

EIS No. 20080533, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Plumas National Forest Public Motorized Travel Management, Implementation, Plumas National Forest, Plumas County, CA, Comment Period Ends: 03/13/2009, Contact: Jane Beaulieu 530–283–7742. Revision to FR Notice Published 12/29/2008: Extending Comment Period from 02/11/2009 to 03/13/2009.

EIS No. 20080542, Draft EIS, AFS, NV,
Martin Basin Rangeland Project,
Reauthorizing Grazing on Eight
Existing Cattle and Horse Allotments:
Bradshaw, Buffalo, Buttermilk,
Granite Peak, Indian, Martin Basin,
Rebel Creek, and West Side Flat
Creek, Santa Rosa Ranger District,
Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest,
NV, Comment Period Ends: 04/17/
2009, Contact: Vern Keller 775–355–
5356. Revision to FR Notice Published
01/02/2009: Extending Comment

Period from 02/17/2009 to 04/17/2009.

Dated: February 10, 2009.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9-3137 Filed 2-12-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval, Comments Requested

February 6, 2009.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before March 16, 2009. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via Internet at Nicholas_A._Fraser@omb.eop.gov or via fax at (202) 395–5167 and to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or via

Internet at Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov or PRA@fcc.gov.

To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page http:// www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain, (2) look for the section of the Web page called "Currently Under Review," (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box, (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the title of this ICR (or its OMB control number, if there is one) and then click on the ICR Reference Number to view detailed information about this ICR.'

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0161.

Title: Section 73.61, AM Directional
Antenna Field Strength Measurements.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Extension of a
currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business and other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 2,268.

Estimated Time per Response: 4–50 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 36,020 hours. Total Annual Costs: None.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this information collection is contained in Sections 154(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Privacy Impact Assessment(s): No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR 73.61 requires that each AM station using directional antennas to make field strength measurement as often as necessary to ensure proper directional antenna system operation. Stations not having approved sampling systems make field strength measurements every three months. Stations with approved sampling systems must take field strength measurements as often as necessary. Also, all AM station using directional signals must take partial proofs of performance as often as