period of five years from the date of entry of this Order and thereafter shall be waived, provided that during the period of suspension, Well Being has committed no violation of the Act, or any regulation, order, or license issued thereunder and has made the payment of \$30,000, described above, in a timely manner. Additionally:

A. The timely payment of the civil penalty set forth above is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, license exception, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Well Being. Accordingly, if Well Being should fail to pay the civil penalty in a timely manner, the undersigned may enter an Order denying all of Well Being's export privileges for a period of five years from the date of entry of this Order.

Second, that for a period of twenty years from the date of entry of this Order, Well Being Enterprise Co., Ltd., 9 F, No. 170 Min Chuan E. Rd., Sec. 3, Taipei 10542, Taiwan, ("Well Being"), its successors or assigns, and, when acting for or on behalf of Well Being, its officers, representatives, agents or employees ("Denied Person(s)") may not participate, directly or indirectly, in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software, or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List, set forth in Supplement No. 1 to 15 CFR part 774, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves an item listed on the Commerce Control List, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document that involves an item that is subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves an item listed on the Commerce Control List; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves an item listed on the Commerce Control List.

Third, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the actions described below with respect to an item that is subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List that has been, will be, or is intended to be exported or reexported from the United States:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of a Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by a Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby a Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from a Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List that has been exported from the United States:

D. Obtain from a Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List that has been or will be exported from the United States and that is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations and listed on the Commerce Control List that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Fourth, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Well Being by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of the Order.

Fifth, that the charging letter, amended charging letter, the Settlement

Agreement, and this Order, and the record of the cases as defined by Section 766.20 of the Regulations shall be made available to the public.

Sixth, that the Administrative Law Judge shall be notified that this case is withdrawn from adjudication.

Seventh, that this Order shall be served on the Denied Person and on BIS, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Entered this 26th day of January, 2009. **Kevin Delli-Colli**,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E9–2168 Filed 1–30–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1391.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for March 2009

There are no Sunset Reviews scheduled for initiation in March 2009.

For information on the Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews, *See* 19 CFR 351.218. This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community. Guidance on methodological or

analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3, "Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders;" Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin"). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Dated: January 27, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9–2197 Filed 1–30–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-533-843

Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 2, 2009. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Moore, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3692.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 31, 2007, the U.S. Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India, covering the period April 17, 2006 to August 31, 2007. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 72 FR 61621 (October 31, 2007). On October 7, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of this review. See Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Notice of Preliminary Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 58548 (October 7, 2008). The final results of this review are currently due no later than February 4, 2009.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of a review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. See also 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Extension of Time Limit of Final Results

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Interested parties have raised complex accounting issues in their case and rebuttal briefs that require the Department to further analyze its positions with respect to these issues. Thus, additional time is necessary to complete the final results. Therefore, the Department is fully extending the final results by 60 days. The final results are now due no later than April 5, 2009. As this date falls on a Sunday, the final results are due April 6, 2009. See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant of the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: January 23, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9–2183 Filed 1–30–09; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-825]

Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: February 2, 2009. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Catherine Cartsos or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1757 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

At the request of interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel bar from Brazil for the period February 1, 2007, through January 31, 2008. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review, 73 FR 16837 (March 31, 2008). On October 27, 2008, we extended the time period for issuing the preliminary results of the review by 90 days until January 29, 2009. See Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 63695 (October 27, 2008).

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month. See also 19 CFR 351.213(h).

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the current deadline of January 29, 2009, for several reasons. Specifically, the Department has granted the respondent, Villares Metals S.A. (Villares), several extensions to respond to the original and supplemental questionnaires. 1 Thus, the Department needs additional time to review and analyze the responses submitted by Villares. Further, the Department requires additional time to review issues such as corporate affiliations and steel grades of products reported by Villares, as it will affect the Department's matching methodology in this case. Finally, in response to the petitioners' cost allegation submitted on November

¹ See, e.g., letters to Villares from Laurie Parkhill, dated April 18, 2008, May 22, 2008, July 11, 2008, July 30, 2008, and December 19, 2008.