- (8) Any individual or entity and any Related Party (as such term is defined in the Qualification Statement) of such individual or entity that is a mortgagor in any of HUD's multifamily housing programs and that is in default under such mortgage loan or is in violation of any regulatory or business agreements with HUD, unless such default or violation is cured on or before January 27, 2009;
- (9) Any entity or individual that serviced or held any Mortgage Loan at any time during the 2-year period prior to January 1, 2009, is ineligible to bid on such Mortgage Loan or on the pool containing such Mortgage Loan, but may bid on loan pools that do not contain Mortgage Loans that they have serviced or held at any time during the 2-year period prior to January 1, 2009; and
- (10) Also ineligible to bid on any Mortgage Loan are: (a) Any affiliate or principal of any entity or individual described in the preceding sentence (paragraph 9); (b) any employee or subcontractor of such entity or individual during that 2-year period; or (c) any entity or individual that employs or uses the services of any other entity or individual described in this paragraph in preparing its bid on such Mortgage Loan.

Prospective bidders should carefully review the Qualification Statement to determine whether they are eligible to submit bids on the Mortgage Loans in MHLS 2009–1.

## **Freedom of Information Act Requests**

HUD reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to disclose information regarding MHLS 2009-1, including, but not limited to, the identity of any successful bidder and its bid price or bid percentage for any pool of loans or individual loan, upon the closing of the sale of all the Mortgage Loans. Even if HUD elects not to publicly disclose any information relating to MHLS 2009–1, HUD will have the right to disclose any information that HUD is obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and all regulations promulgated there under.

#### Scope of Notice

This notice applies to MHLS 2009–1 and does not establish HUD's policy for the sale of other mortgage loans.

Dated: January 23, 2009.

### Ronald Y. Spraker,

Acting General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

[FR Doc. E9–1927 Filed 1–28–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Land Management**

[L10200000-MJ0000-LLORL00100; HAG 09-0062]

## Southeast Oregon Resource Advisory Council: Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Southeast Oregon Resource Advisory Council: Meeting.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the following advisory committee meeting:

Name: Southeast Oregon Resource Advisory Council (SEORAC).

Time and Date: 1 p.m. February 26, 2009; 8 a.m. February 27, 2009.

Place: Best Western Rory and Ryan Inns, 534 Highway 20 N, Hines, Oregon 97738

Status: Open to the public. **SUMMARY:** The SEORAC will be briefed on BLM's wild horse and burro program, BLM's sagebrush habitat treatments and the current status of the Oregon Explorer grant. Council members will also provide orientation to new members, conduct chair elections, establish their 2009 annual work plan and meeting schedule, receive organizational updates from designated federal officials, give interest area updates, implement a subgroup establishment process, identify new subgroup members, present active subgroup reports and develop agenda items for the next meeting. Any other matters that may reasonably come before the SEORAC may also be addressed.

The public is welcome to attend all portions of the meeting and may contribute during the public comment period at 11 a.m. on February 27, 2009. Those who verbally address the SEORAC during the public comment period are asked to provide a written statement of their comments or presentation. Unless otherwise approved by the SEORAC chair, the public comment period will last no longer than 30 minutes, and each speaker may address the SEORAC for a maximum of five minutes.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Program information, meeting records and a roster of council members may be obtained from Scott Stoffel, Public Affairs Specialist, 1301 South G Street, Lakeview, OR 97630, (541) 947–6237. The meeting agenda will be posted at <a href="http://www.blm.gov/or/rac/seorrac-minutes.php">http://www.blm.gov/or/rac/seorrac-minutes.php</a> when available.

Should you require reasonable accommodation, please contact the Lakeview District BLM at (541) 947–2177 as soon as possible.

Dated: January 20, 2009.

#### Carol A. Benkosky,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. E9-1896 Filed 1-28-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### **National Park Service**

Notice of Availability for the Draft White-Tailed Deer Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, IN

**AGENCY:** National Park Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability for the Draft White-tailed Deer Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, Indiana.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)), the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of a draft White-tailed Deer Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, Indiana (Lakeshore).

DATES: The draft EIS will remain available for public review for 60 days following the publishing of the notice of availability in the Federal Register by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. A public meeting will be held during the 60-day review period, but the specific date and location will be announced in local and regional media sources of record and on the Lakeshore Web site.

You may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You may comment via the Internet through the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment Web site (http:// parkplanning.nps.gov/indu); simply click on the link to the White-tailed Deer Management Plan. You may mail comments to Superintendent Constantine Dillon, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, 1100 North Mineral Springs Road, Porter, Indiana 46304. You may send comments by facsimile to 219-395-1550. Finally, you may handdeliver comments to the Lakeshore headquarters at the address above.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the draft EIS are available from the Superintendent, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, 1100 North Mineral Springs Road, Porter, Indiana 46304.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This EIS** and plan describes four alternatives for the management of deer at the Lakeshore. Action is needed at this time to ensure that the local deer population does not become a dominant force that negatively influences ecosystem components within the Lakeshore, such as sensitive vegetation or other wildlife. Impacts to these Lakeshore resources would compromise the Lakeshore's purpose to preserve the exceptional biodiversity found within its boundaries. The Lakeshore staff currently implements resource management actions to protect other resources but no specific deer management plan exists.

Under Alternative A (no action), current deer management actions (including limited fencing, limited use of repellents, and inventorying and monitoring efforts) would continue; no new deer management actions would be taken. Alternative B would include all actions described under alternative A, but would also incorporate non-lethal actions to possibly reduce deer numbers in the Lakeshore. The additional actions would include the construction of additional small- and new large-scale exclosures, more extensive use of repellents in areas where fenced exclosures would not be appropriate or feasible, and phasing in reproductive control of does when there is a federally approved fertility control agent for application to free-ranging populations that provides multi-year (more than four years) efficacy for does. Alternative C would include all actions described under alternative A, but would also incorporate a direct reduction of the deer herd size through sharpshooting and capture/euthanasia, where appropriate. Alternative D would also include all the actions described under alternative A, but would incorporate a combination of specific lethal and nonlethal actions from alternatives B and C. These actions would include the reduction of the deer herd through sharpshooting, in combination with capture/euthanasia and phasing in reproductive control of does (as described in alternative B) for longerterm maintenance of lower herd numbers when there is a federally approved fertility control agent for application to free-ranging populations that provides multi-year (more than four years) efficacy for does.

The potential environmental consequences of the alternatives are addressed for vegetation, soils and water quality, white-tailed deer, other wildlife and wildlife habitat, sensitive and rare species, archeological resources, cultural landscapes, visitor use and

experience, social values, visitor and employee health and safety, soundscapes, socioeconomic conditions, and national Lakeshore management and operations.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Superintendent Dillon at the address above or by telephone at 219–926–7561.

Before including your address, telephone number, electronic mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment (including your personal identifying information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials, of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: October 20, 2008.

#### Ernest Quintana,

Director, Midwest Region.

Editorial Note: This document was received in the Office of the Federal Register on January 26, 2009.

[FR Doc. E9–1887 Filed 1–28–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–FH–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1014, 1016, 1017 (Review)]

In the Matter of Polyvinyl Alcohol From China, Japan, and Korea; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing in camera

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing.

SUMMARY: Upon its own initiative, the Commission has determined to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned reviews scheduled for January 27, 2009, in camera. See Commission rules 207.24(d), 207.66(b), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 207.24(d), 207.66(b), 201.13(m) and 201.36(b)(4)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission has determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35(a), (c)(1) (19 CFR 201.35(a), (c)(1)).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Jane Alves, Office of the General Counsel, United States International Trade Commission, 202–708–2969. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–3105.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In these reviews, there are only three domestic PVA producers, of which only two sell in the commercial market. There is only one producer of subject merchandise in Korea. Only one of several foreign producers in China and only one of four producers of subject merchandise in Ĵapan submitted questionnaire responses in these reviews. In addition, there are only a limited number of importers of polyvinyl alcohol into the United States. Because much of the data in these reviews is confidential, the Commission believes that a closed session is justified by the need to discuss data that involve business proprietary information (BPI) concerning imports, individual foreign industries, the domestic industry, and prices. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by parties supporting continuation of the antidumping duty orders and those in support of revocation of these orders, with questions from the Commission. In addition, the hearing will include a ten minute in camera session for a confidential presentation by parties supporting revocation of the antidumping duty orders. This session will be followed by questions from the Commission relating to the BPI and a ten-minute in camera rebuttal presentation by parties supporting continuation of the orders, if needed. Following the in camera session, the Commission will reopen the hearing to the public for the public rebuttal/closing statements. During the in camera session, the room will be cleared of all persons except those who have been granted access to BPI under a Commission administrative protective order (APO) and are included on the Commission's APO service list in these reviews. See 19 CFR 201.35(b). The time for the parties' presentations and rebuttals in the in camera session will be taken from their respective overall time allotments for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the in camera portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.