significant analysis in the plan/EIS are likely to include, but may not be limited to, native species declines, including effects of invasive species; degraded and lost habitat and prevention of future losses of habitat and resources; loss of ecosystem function including an altered hydrograph and reduced sediment load; statutory responsibilities, such as complying with the Endangered Species Act; criteria, goals and objectives and priorities for restoration; program, authority, and data gaps, including identification of new strategies for mitigation, recovery, and restoration efforts; and cumulative impacts. The plan/EIS will also include identification and analysis of the social, economic, and cultural impacts of the various alternatives, as well as important ecosystem functions.

5. Cooperating Agencies. Federal agencies, Tribes, and state governments are being invited to participate in the planning process as cooperating agencies under the NEPA.

6. Additional Review and Consultation. Additional public, scientific, and statutory review and consultation, which will be incorporated into the preparation of this EIS, will include, but shall not be limited to: Section 401 of Clean Water Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Historic Preservation Act; the Endangered Species Act, and the Clean Air Act. In addition, as directed by WRDA 2007, the development of this plan will be done in consultation with the Missouri River Recovery Implementation Committee.

7. Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is anticipated to be available as early as November of 2013 or, no later than January of 2014. A series of public meetings will be conducted following the release of the DEIS.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E9–1629 Filed 1–23–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3720-58-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Flood Control, Mississippi River & Tributaries, Yazoo River Basin, Yazoo Headwaters Project, Mississippi Tributaries Unit

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, in conjunction with the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta Levee District, the non-Federal sponsor, is undertaking studies to evaluate the authorized Yazoo Headwaters Project. As part of this work, a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) is being prepared to update existing National Environmental Policy Act documentation.

DATES: Initiate SEIS, February 2, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Correspondence may be sent to Mr. Matthew Mallard, U.S. Army Engineer District, Vicksburg, CEMVK– PP–PQ, 4155 Clay Street, Vicksburg, MS 39183–3435.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Matthew Mallard at U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, telephone (601) 631–5960, fax (601) 631–5115, or e-mail at

matthew.s.mallard@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Action. An SEIS will identify and evaluate impacts associated with construction in the remaining authorized Yazoo Tributaries subbasins, including channel improvement, levee construction and enlargement, associated water control structures, bank stabilization, grade control measures, and environmental design features.

Alternatives. Alternative urban and agricultural flood protection measures will be identified to meet existing and future flood protection needs and evaluated in cooperation with state and Federal agencies, local government, and the public.

Scoping. Scoping is the process for determining the range of the alternatives and significant issues to be addressed in the SEIS. For this analysis, a letter will be sent to all parties believed to have an interest in the analysis, requesting their input on alternatives and issues to be evaluated. The letter will also notify interested parties of the public scoping meeting that will be held in the local area. A notice will be sent to the local news media. All interested parties are invited to comment at this time, and anyone interested in the study should request to be included on the mailing list.

A public scoping meeting will be held March 2, 2009, from 7 to 9 p.m. at the Leflore County Civic Center, 200 Highway 7 North, Greenwood, MS 38930, and March 3, 2009, from 7 to 9 p.m. at the Marks Community House, Pecan Street, Marks, MS 38646.

Significant Issues. The tentative list of resources and issues to be evaluated in the SEIS includes aquatic resources, recreational and commercial fisheries, wildlife resources, water quality, air quality, threatened or endangered species, recreation resources, and cultural resources. Tentative socioeconomic items to be evaluated in the SEIS include business and industrial activity, tax revenues, population, community and regional growth, transportation, housing, community cohesion, and navigation.

Environmental Consultation and Review. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) will be asked to assist in the documentation of existing conditions, impact analysis of alternatives, and overall study review through the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) consultation procedures. The FWS would provide an FWCA report to be incorporated into the SEIS. The draft SEIS or a Notice of Availability will be distributed to all interested agencies, organizations, and individuals.

Estimated Date of Availability. The earliest that the draft SEIS is expected to be available is September 2012.

Dated: January 13, 2009.

Douglas J. Kamien,

Chief, Planning, Programs, and Project Management Division. [FR Doc. E9–1627 Filed 1–23–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Compliance Agreement

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of written findings and compliance agreement with the Nevada Department of Education.

SUMMARY: This notice is being published in the **Federal Register** consistent with section 457(b)(2) of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA). Section 457 of GEPA authorizes the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) to enter into a compliance agreement with a recipient that is failing to comply substantially with Federal program requirements. In order to enter into a compliance agreement, the Department must determine, in written findings, that the recipient cannot comply with the applicable program requirements until a future date.

On December 4, 2008, the Department entered into a compliance agreement with the Nevada Department of Education (NDE). Section 457(b)(2) of GEPA requires the Department to publish written findings leading to a compliance agreement, with a copy of the compliance agreement, in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sharon Hall, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3W214, Washington, DC 20202–6132. Telephone: (202) 260–0998.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1– 800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an accessible format (*e.g.*, braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, requires each State receiving Title I funds to satisfy certain requirements.

Ûnder Title I, each State is required to adopt academic content and student academic achievement standards in at least mathematics, reading or language arts, and science. These standards must include the same knowledge and levels of achievement expected of all public school students in the State. Content standards must specify what all students are expected to know and be able to do; contain coherent and rigorous content; and encourage the teaching of advanced skills. Achievement standards must be aligned with the State's academic content standards and must describe at least three levels of proficiency to determine how well students in each grade are mastering the content standards. A State must provide descriptions of the competencies associated with each student's academic achievement level and must determine the assessment scores ("cut scores") that differentiate among the achievement levels.

Title I also requires each State to implement a student assessment system to evaluate whether students are mastering the subject material reflected in the State's academic content standards. By the 2005–2006 school year, States were required to administer mathematics and reading or language arts assessments yearly during grades 3– 8 and once during grades 10–12. Further, beginning with the 2007–2008 school year, each State was required to administer a science assessment in at least one grade in each of the following grade spans: 3–5, 6–9, and 10–12.

In addition to a general assessment, Title I requires States to develop and administer at least one alternate assessment for students with disabilities who cannot participate in the general assessment, with or without accommodations. An alternate assessment may be based on grade-level academic achievement standards, alternate academic achievement standards, or modified academic achievement standards. Like the general assessment, any alternate assessment must satisfy the requirements for high technical quality, including validity, reliability, accessibility, objectivity, and consistency with nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

In June 2007, NDE submitted evidence of its standards and assessment system. The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education (Assistant Secretary) submitted that evidence to a panel of experts for peer review. Following that review, the Assistant Secretary concluded that NDE's standards and assessment system did not meet a number of the Title I requirements.

Section 454 of GEPA, 20 U.S.C. 1234c, sets out the remedies available to the Department when it determines that a recipient "is failing to comply substantially with any requirement of law" applicable to Federal program funds the Department administers. Specifically, the Department is authorized to—

(1) Withhold funds;

(2) Compel compliance through a cease and desist order;

(3) Enter into a compliance agreement with the recipient; or

(4) Take any other action authorized by law. 20 U.S.C. 1234c(a).

In a letter dated September 21, 2007, to Keith W. Rheault, Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Assistant Secretary notified NDE that, in order to remain eligible to receive Title I funds, it would have to enter into a compliance agreement with the Department. The purpose of a compliance agreement is "to bring the recipient into full compliance with the applicable requirements of law as soon as feasible and not to excuse or remedy past violations of such requirements." 20 U.S.C. 1234f (a). In order to enter into a compliance agreement with a recipient, the Department must determine, in written findings, that the recipient cannot comply until a future date with the applicable program requirements.

In accordance with the requirements of section 457(b) of GEPA, 20 U.S.C 1234f (b), on June 23, 2008, Department officials conducted a public hearing in Nevada to assess whether a compliance agreement with NDE might be appropriate. Keith W. Rheault, Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, testified at this hearing on behalf of NDE. The Department considered the testimony provided at the June 2008 public hearing and all other relevant information and materials and concluded that NDE would not be able to correct its non-compliance with Title I standards and assessment requirements immediately.

On January 12, 2009, the Assistant Secretary issued written findings holding that compliance by NDE with the Title I standards and assessment requirements is genuinely not feasible until a future date. Under Title I, NDE was required to implement its final assessment system no later than the 2005-2006 school year. The evidence that NDE submitted in June 2007 indicated that, well after the statutory deadline had passed, its standards and assessment system still did not fully meet Title I requirements. In addition, the compliance agreement sets out the action plan that NDE must implement to come into compliance with Title I requirements. Due to the enormity and complexity of the work that is needed to bring NDE's standards and assessment system into full compliance, NDE cannot immediately comply with all of the Title I requirements.

Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, Keith W. Rheault, signed the compliance agreement on December 1, 2008, and the Assistant Secretary signed the compliance agreement on December 4, 2008.

As required by section 457(b)(2) of GEPA, 20 U.S.C. 1234f (b)(2), the text of the Assistant Secretary's written findings is set forth as Appendix A and the compliance agreement is set forth as Appendix B of this notice.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: *http://www.ed.gov/ news/fedregister*. To use PDF, you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1– 888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/ index.html.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1234c, 1234f.

Dated: January 16, 2009.

Kerri L. Briggs,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

Appendix A

Written Findings of the Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education Regarding the Compliance Agreement Between the United States Department of Education and the Nevada Department of Education

I. Introduction

The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education (Assistant Secretary) of the U.S. Department of Education (Department) has determined, pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 1234c and 1234f, that the Nevada Department of Education (NVDOE) has failed to comply substantially with certain requirements of Title I, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 20 U.S.C. 6301 et seq., and that it is not feasible for NVDOE to achieve full compliance immediately. Specifically, the Assistant Secretary has determined that NVDOE did not meet, within the statutory timeframe, a number of the Title I requirements concerning the alignment of Nevada's High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE) to grade-level content standards as well as requirements concerning the academic achievement standards and alignment of the Nevada Alternate Scales of Academic Achievement (NASAA), Nevada's alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

For the following reasons, the Assistant Secretary has concluded that it would be appropriate to enter into a compliance agreement with NVDOE to bring it into full compliance as soon as feasible. During the effective period of the compliance agreement, which ends December 4, 2011, NVDOE will be eligible to receive Title I funds as long as it complies with the terms and conditions of the agreement as well as the provisions of Title I and other applicable Federal statutory and regulatory requirements.

II. Relevant Statutory and Regulatory Provisions

A. Title I, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as Amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

Title I provides financial assistance, through State educational agencies, to local educational agencies to provide services in high-poverty schools to students who are failing or at risk of failing to meet the State's student academic achievement standards. Under Title I, each State, including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, was required to adopt academic content and student academic achievement standards in at least mathematics, reading or language arts, and science. These standards must include the same knowledge and levels of achievement expected of all public school students in the State. Content standards must specify what all students are expected to know and be able to do; contain coherent and rigorous content; and encourage the teaching of advanced skills. Achievement standards must be aligned with the State's academic content standards and must describe at least three levels of proficiency to determine how well students in each grade are mastering the content standards. A State must provide descriptions of the competencies associated with each student's academic achievement level and must determine the assessment scores ("cut scores") that differentiate among the achievement levels.

Each State was also required to implement a student assessment system used to evaluate whether students are mastering the subject material reflected in the State's academic content standards. By the 2005–2006 school year, States were required to administer mathematics and reading or language arts assessments yearly during grades 3–8 and once during grades 10–12. Further, beginning with the 2007–2008 school year, each State was required to administer a science assessment in at least one grade in each of the following grade spans: 3–5, 6–9, and 10– 12. A State's assessment system must:

• Be the same assessment system used to measure the achievement of all public school students in the State;

• Be designed to provide coherent information about student attainment of State academic content standards across grades and subjects;

• Provide for the inclusion of all students in the grades assessed, including students with disabilities and limited English proficient (LEP) students;

• Be aligned with the State's academic content and student academic achievement standards;

• Express student results in terms of the State's student academic achievement standards;

• Be valid, reliable, and of adequate technical quality for the purposes for which they are used and be consistent with nationally recognized professional and technical standards;

• Involve multiple measures of student academic achievement, including measures that assess higher order thinking skills and understanding of challenging content; • Objectively measure academic achievement, knowledge, and skills without evaluating or assessing personal family beliefs and attitudes;

• Enable results to be disaggregated by gender, each major racial and ethnic group, migrant status, students with disabilities, English proficiency status, and economically disadvantaged students;

- Provide individual student reports; and
- Enable itemized score analyses.
- 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(3); 34 CFR 200.2.

In addition to a general assessment, States were required to develop and administer at least one alternate assessment for students with disabilities who cannot participate in the general assessment, with or without accommodations. 34 CFR 200.6(a)(2). An alternate assessment may be based on gradelevel academic achievement standards. alternate academic achievement standards, or modified academic achievement standards. Like the general assessment, any alternate assessment must satisfy the requirements for high technical quality, including validity, reliability, accessibility, objectivity, and consistency with nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

B. The General Education Provisions Act

The General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) provides a number of options when the Assistant Secretary determines a recipient of Department funds is "failing to comply substantially with any requirement of law applicable to such funds." 20 U.S.C. 1234c. In such a case, the Assistant Secretary is authorized to:

(1) Withhold funds;

(2) Compel compliance through a cease and desist order;

(3) Enter into a compliance agreement with the recipient; or

(4) Take any other action authorized by law.

20 U.S.C. 1234c(a).

Under section 457 of GEPA, the Assistant Secretary may enter into a compliance agreement with a recipient that is failing to comply substantially with specific program requirements. 20 U.S.C. 1234f. The purpose of a compliance agreement is "to bring the recipient into full compliance with the applicable requirements of law as soon as feasible and not to excuse or remedy past violations of such requirements." 20 U.S.C. 1234f(a). Before entering into a compliance agreement with a recipient, the Assistant Secretary must hold a hearing at which the recipient, affected students and parents or their representatives, and other interested parties are invited to participate. At that hearing, the recipient has the burden of persuading the Assistant Secretary that full compliance with applicable requirements of law is not feasible until a future date. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(b)(1). If, on the basis of all the evidence presented, the Assistant Secretary determines that full compliance is genuinely not feasible until a future date, the Assistant Secretary must make written findings to that effect and must publish those findings, together with the substance of any compliance agreement, in the Federal Register. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(b)(2).

A compliance agreement must set forth an expiration date, not later than three years

from the date of the written findings, by which time the recipient must be in full compliance with all program requirements. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(c)(1). In addition, a compliance agreement must contain the terms and conditions with which the recipient must comply during the period that agreement is in effect. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(c)(2). If the recipient fails to comply with any of the terms and conditions of the compliance agreement, the Assistant Secretary may consider the agreement to be no longer in effect, and may take any of the compliance actions set forth above. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(d).

III. Analysis

In deciding whether a compliance agreement between the Assistant Secretary and NVDOE is appropriate, the Assistant Secretary must determine whether compliance by NVDOE with the Title I standards and assessment requirements is genuinely not feasible until a future date. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(b)(2).

A. NVDOE Has Failed To Comply Substantially With Title I Standards and Assessment Requirements

In June 2007, NVDOE submitted evidence of its standards and assessment system. The Assistant Secretary submitted that evidence to a panel of experts for peer review. Following that review, the Assistant Secretary concluded that NVDOE's standards and assessment system did not meet a number of the Title I requirements. Specifically, the Assistant Secretary determined that, to demonstrate its compliance, NVDOE had to submit the following evidence regarding its alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards:

Academic Achievement Standards

1. A clear and complete description of the process and decisions made in the development of the Nevada Alternate Scales of Academic Achievement (NASAA) standards for reading and mathematics, including the qualifications of participants in the standards-setting activity.

2. Documentation confirming Board approval of the revised cut scores that were applied to the 2007 results of the NASAA. Technical Quality

1. Data that supports the current policy that accommodations yield valid scores and modifications do not.

Alignment

1. A detailed explanation of the actions that will be taken to ensure improved alignment between assessments and revised content standards as the basis for test validity.

2. Evidence of alignment of the High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE) with Nevada's academic content standards.

3. A plan for using alignment study results to guide future development activities to improve alignment of the tests to standards.

4. Documentation of alignment between the NASAA tasks administered by teachers and grade-level content standards. B. NVDOE Cannot Correct Immediately Its Noncompliance With the Title I Standards and Assessment Requirements

Under Title I, NVDOE was required to implement its final assessment system no later than the 2005–2006 school year. 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(3). The evidence that NVDOE submitted in June 2007 indicated that, well after the statutory deadline had passed, its standards and assessment system still did not fully meet Title I requirements. In addition, substantial work is required to bring NVDOE into compliance with the Title I requirements.

At the public hearing, which was held on June 23, 2008, NVDOE presented evidence that compliance is not feasible until a future date, particularly in light of the work necessary to come into full compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements. In particular, Dr. Keith W. Rheault, Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, testified that NVDOE is committed to resolving all outstanding issues related to the State's high school proficiency assessment (HSPE) and its alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards (NASAA) within three years, but that it would not be possible for Nevada to come into compliance with all applicable requirements sooner than the 2010-11 school year. Dr. Rheault stated that, during the period the compliance agreement is in effect, NVDOE plans to align the HSPE to the State's new reading and mathematics content standards and to field test both the reading and mathematics assessments. Dr. Rheault also testified that NVDOE remains committed to assessing student performance on the NASAA through a portfolio of student work, but that NVDOE needs time to ensure that districts and teachers receive all training necessary to implement the changes being made to the NASAA. Dr. Rheault's testimony is consistent with the comprehensive action plan that NVDOE developed and that is incorporated into the compliance agreement. That action plan sets out a very specific schedule that NVDOE has agreed to meet during the next three years for completing all of the work necessary to attain compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements.

Due to the enormity and complexity of the work that is needed to bring NVDOE's standards and assessment system into full compliance, NVDOE cannot immediately comply with all of the Title I requirements. As a result, the Assistant Secretary finds that it is not genuinely feasible for NVDOE to come into compliance until a future date.

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Assistant Secretary finds that full compliance by NVDOE with the standards and assessment requirements of Title I is genuinely not feasible until a future date. Therefore, the Assistant Secretary has determined that it is appropriate to enter into a compliance agreement with NVDOE.

Dated: Jan. 12, 2009.

/s/

Kerri L. Briggs, Ph.D.,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

Appendix B

Compliance Agreement Under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act Between the United States Department of Education and the Nevada Department of Education

Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, requires each State receiving Title I funds to satisfy certain requirements.

Each State was required to adopt academic content and achievement standards in at least mathematics, reading/language arts, and, beginning in the 2005-2006 school year, science. These standards must include the same knowledge and levels of achievement expected of all public school students in the State. Content standards must specify what all students are expected to know and be able to do; contain coherent and rigorous content; and encourage the teaching of advanced skills. Achievement standards must be aligned with the State's content standards and must describe at least three levels of proficiency to determine how well students in each grade are mastering the content standards. A State must provide descriptions of the competencies associated with each achievement level and must determine the assessment scores ("cut scores") that differentiate among the achievement levels.

Each State was also required to implement a student assessment system used to evaluate whether students are mastering the subject material reflected in the State's academic standards. By the 2005–2006 school year, States were required to administer mathematics and reading/language arts assessments yearly during grades 3–8 and once during grades 10–12. Further, beginning with the 2007–2008 school year, each State was required to administer a science assessment in at least one grade in each of the following grade spans: 3–5, 6–9, and 10– 12. A State's assessment system must:

• Be the same assessment system used to measure the achievement of all public school students in the State;

• Be designed to provide coherent information about student attainment of State standards across grades and subjects;

• Provide for the inclusion of all students in the grades assessed, including students with disabilities and limited-Englishproficient students;

• Be aligned with the State's content and achievement standards;

• Express student results in terms of the State's student achievement standards;

• Be valid, reliable, and of adequate technical quality for the purpose for which they are used and be consistent with nationally recognized professional and technical standards;

• Involve multiple measures of student academic achievement, including measures that assess higher order thinking skills and understanding of challenging content;

• Objectively measure academic achievement, knowledge, and skills without evaluating or assessing personal family beliefs and attitudes;

• Enable results to be disaggregated by gender, each major racial and ethnic group,

migrant status, students with disabilities, LEP students, and economically disadvantaged students;

Provide individual student reports; and

• Enable itemized score analyses.

In addition to a general assessment, States were required to develop at least one alternate assessment for students with disabilities who cannot participate in the general assessment, with or without accommodations. An alternate assessment may be based on grade-level achievement standards, alternate achievement standards, or modified achievement standards. Like the general assessment, any alternate assessment must satisfy the requirements for high technical quality, including validity, reliability, accessibility, objectivity, and consistency with nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

The Nevada Department of Education (NVDOE) failed to timely meet certain of the statutory and regulatory requirements for its standards and assessment system. In order to be eligible to continue to receive Title I funds while working to comply with the requirements, Keith Rheault, Superintendent of Education, indicated NVDOE's interest in entering into a compliance agreement with the United States Department of Education (Department). On June 23, 2008, the Department conducted a public hearing regarding: (1) whether NVDOE's full compliance with Title I is not feasible until a future date; and (2) whether NVDOE is able to come into compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements within three years.

Pursuant to this Compliance Agreement under 20 U.S.C. Section 1234f, NVDOE must be in full compliance with the outstanding requirements of Title I no later than three years from the date of the Assistant Secretary's written findings, a copy of which is attached to, and incorporated by reference into, this Agreement. To achieve compliance with the standards and assessment requirements, NVDOE must submit the following evidence:

2.0—Academic Achievement Standards

1. A clear and complete description of the process and decisions made in the development of the Nevada Alternate Scales of Academic Achievement (NASAA) standards for reading and mathematics, including the qualifications of participants in the standards-setting activity.

2. Documentation confirming Board approval of the revised cut scores that were applied to the 2007 results of the NASAA.

4.0—Technical Quality

1. Data that supports the current policy that accommodations yield valid scores and modifications do not.

5.0—Alignment

2. A detailed explanation of the actions that will be taken to ensure improved alignment between assessments and revised content standards as the basis for test validity.

3. Evidence of alignment of the High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE) with Nevada's academic content standards.

4. A plan for using alignment study results to guide future development activities to improve alignment of the tests to standards.

5. Documentation of alignment between the NASAA tasks administered by teachers and grade-level content standards. During the period that this Compliance Agreement is in effect, NVDOE is eligible to receive Title I, Part A funds if it complies with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, as well as the provisions of Title I, Part A and other applicable Federal statutory and regulatory requirements that are not specifically addressed by this Agreement. The attached action steps constitute a detailed plan and specific timeline for how NVDOE will come into compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements. The action steps are incorporated by reference into this Compliance Agreement as though fully set forth herein and may be amended by joint agreement of the parties, provided full compliance is still feasible by the expiration of the Agreement.

In addition to all of the terms and conditions set forth above, NVDOE agrees that its continued eligibility to receive Title I, Part A funds is predicated upon its compliance with all statutory and regulatory requirements of that program, including those that are not specifically addressed by this Agreement, including any amendments to the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

If NVDOE fails to comply with any of the terms and conditions of this Compliance Agreement, including the action steps attached hereto, the Department may consider the Agreement no longer in effect and may take any action authorized by law, including the withholding of funds or the issuance of a cease and desist order. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(d).

It is so agreed.

For the Nevada Department of Education:

/s/ Keith Rheault,

Superintendent of Education.

Date: Dec. 1, 2008.

For the United States Department of Education:

Kerri L. Briggs, Ph.D.,

Assistant Secretary, Office of Elementary and Secondary Education.

Date: Dec. 4, 2008.

Date this Compliance Agreement becomes effective: Dec. 4, 2008.

Expiration Date of this Agreement: Dec. 4, 2011.

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

Action Plan for Federal Compliance Agreement

=

		bocument(s) to USED	List of Participants & Agendas	Copy of Board Minutes & Minutes & from Academic Standards Council	List of Participants & Agendas	Draft Draft Acudemic Achievement Standards (not inctuding cut scores)	Copy of Board Minutes & Minutes & Minutes Minutes Arademic Standard Standard Council
	Da	# 2 S 🗄	0 : 8 Z > 0	2 5 8	° ; 80 N > 0	2 - 80	0 : 8 Z > 0
) Assessment Repor	S L V'L E L Z L I L					
	6) Inch	+9 29 79					
	5) Airgnment	v s s s + 's 					
Nevada Department of Education 2008-2011	4) Technical Quality	87 57 77 77 77					
Department o	3) Statewide Assessment Svstem	λε 6.ε 7.ε					
Nevada I	2) Academic vement Standards	5 2 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7				× × ×	
	rds	φ'Ι 	× ×	×	× ×		×
	7AC /Sta keho Ider	Inpu t and/ or Parti cipat ion				TAC & Stake holde rs	
		Resources Needed	Gather list of partcipants, agendas, support documents, & other matenals	Get copy of Board Minutes from Front Office	Gather list of Gather list of agends, upport documents, & documents, &	Mostly completed, but needs to be edited for changes related to DOK	Get copy of Board Minutes from Front Office
		Staff	Dave	Cindy	Tracy	Cindy Dave Tracy	Cindy
1	5	te B a E	B B B	8 7 90	9- 07	N N N N N N N	04- NG
	ť	z taga	05 r a	Ma 1-20	å 66 r å	Jan 07	N0 7 0 7
	¥.	Activity	Math Standar ds Revised 8 Revised 8 8 Approve 4 8 Academi c Candar c c ds Standar 5 Counci	Math Standar Approve Approve Boy State Board Of Educatio	Erguish Languag e Artis e Artis d Standar A Revised A Revised A A caderru C Counci	Create Draft Of Academ c c Acheve Acheve Math & Math & Math & ELA	English English e Artsuag e Artsuag Approve Approve d By Neved State Board Go Go Fducatio
		Gen	×	×	×	×	×

		sst s/S	uon ses re	ant for S	ent ng ers ng no ng ent te ent
		HSPE Test Blueprints/S pecs	Description of Process for Standards Revision	Copy of Document Describing Process for Pervecess for t of AAS	Document Describing Parameters & Guiding Assumptions the Atternate Assessment
		2 × 20	N - 80	₽ : 8 ₽ : 8	0 : 8 Z > C
×	×				L
		×			
		×			
×	×				
		×		······································	
					×
				×	
				^	
				×	
			×	×	
			×		
Neva da Scho ol distri cts	Neva da Scho distri tAC	TAC		TAC	Origi nai stake holde r from from from of existi ation ation ation t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from t from from from from from from from from
Study is being conducted by CRESST staff in cooperation with Nevada School Districts	of a consortium of a consortium participation participation of total and so with weater, a Exis Wester, a Exis Wester, a Exis Wester, a Exis Wester, a Exis Wester, a Exis Wester, a Exis Produce an aligned 7th from to be administered by administered by ad	In collaboration with WestEd, with WestEd, with WestEd, with with review revised standards & test design to ensure sufficient coverage of standards (TA Review)	Complete outline & get feedback from content specialists (TA Review)	Gather list of agenticipants, agendas, support documents, a Also complete Also complete feedback from specialists (TA Review)	Onsite TA
Cindy & Carol	Cindy & Carol	Tracy & Dave	Cmdy	Cindy Dave Save Tracy	Lusa, Frank Le, Carol Carol Carol Carol
84 Q	2 ÷ 8	8×2	20 1 B	ö ∻ 8	089 L
Jan 08	4 7 8 9 7 8	Ар 08 08	Ap 7 08	A	9 - 50 80
CRESST Testing Accomm odation Study	EAG Testing Accomm odation Study	Develop Test Biueprin t/Specrif cations For HSPE In Reading & Math	Create Docume nt Describi ng Content Standar & ds Revision Process	Create Docume Nescribi Describi No Ment Achieve Standar ds Are ds	At Meeting with TA Provider S, Re- Criteria Process es in Alt Assess en Lio Meet Critical Etement
					×
×	×	×	×	×	

	List of Participants (This process will be summarzed ummarzed Nevada Resport 2009-2010, which will be completed which will be completed which will be completed to the summary automarsion n December		Lists of Lists of Participants & Copries of Tranies of Materials/Re sources	(This process will summanzed in the Newall Rechnical Rechnical Rechnical Rechnical Problece Dy the preliminary December
	2 / 8 2 / 8		z ≻8	
			×	×
				×
			×	×
				×
	×	×		
			×	
TAC	Stake holde rs & Const (tuen rs		Neva da da teach rs, rs, rs, inclu drng drng provi geron al ders & & & & x tors tors tors	
	Select Select Gather Passege Passege Materials Materials Materials facilitates the meeting.	Work with WestEd	WestEd & content specialisis specialista development materals for use in statewide meetings	Select Partropants & Gather Traiming Maternais & Review to be Reviewd (WestEd)
	Cindy	Cindy & Tracy	Tracy & Dave	Cindy Dave , & Tracy
	Ma 08	л. 08	بن 80	luť Š0
	林 特 转 特	ي 1 80	ט - 50 80	ية ، 80
s; and Determi ne Need for Outside Contract or	Bias Review Of Reading Passage S	Review Results of Blass Review to Identify Gaps & Determi ne Solution	Draft Math & Math & Reading Items That Rentee Revised Revised Content Standar ds	Content Review OF Aligned To Revised Standar ds Reading (Math & Reading)
	×	×	×	×

Netes from Netes from Meeter AC Meeter AC Antraming Draft traming Draft traming Under Column Column
g, č
×
×
× ×
×
×
×
Tac
Will utilize TAC, Westfed, and Measured Progress exterting to meeting to sensure than steps have been been considered & considered &
Asses Asses Craft Lisa Lisa
ج 68 ج 68
र ७० २ ५४ २
At the At the At the Review Resurvey Resurvey Restrong Proceedu Proceedu Proceedu Proceedu Proceedu Proceedu Proceedu Athoug Ath
×

_

(This process will be summarzed in the Nevada Technical Report 2009-2010, which will by the by the completed by the submission in December		Description of Process of for Developmen t of Test Bluepmit & Sfor HSPE s for HSPE	Description of Process for Developmen t of Test t of Test Specification s for Alt Assessment	Draft of Draft of Standards Standards (and/or Essence Statements Alternate Alternate Standards, and Level
		Ž ; 8	°2,80 2,50	08 [,] Ct
		×	×	
×				
× ×		×	×	
×				
		×	×	
		×	×	
				×
				ر. بې تې
		TAC	TAC	TAC 8 Stake holde rs
	tance	Outline existing plan and work with TAC & WestEd to WestEd to process is not missing any elements (TA Input & Review)	Outline existing plan and work with TAC & WestEd to WestEd to process is not missing any critical Input & Review)	tance
	TA Assistance	autline e vith T. West nsure ti process missing critic element iput & F	uttime e lian and with T with T with T mure te nsure te nsure te missing element element kput & f	TA Assistance
Cindy Dáve , 8 , 1racy	trisa, Frank . ec. Cindy Cindy	Cindy Dave R R L	Lisa, Condy, Con	Lisa, Tracy Dave Richa Richa Richa Frank Frank Frank Carol
Au 08 08	Ng 48	9 4 8 	% F 8	ö≁8
96-28 86-1	A - 80 08	9-9-080 08-10-000	9 9 2 80 3 - 80	98 80 80
Reconcil iation Of Field Test Test Test Test March March March 2009 Operatio Rorm Form	Determin Scope of Work Work Work RFP for Coutside Coutside or (1f Determined to be be Necessa	Describe Device Develop ment of Test test test to Cations for Cations for Cations for Cations for Cations for Cations for Alignme Alignme Alignme Alignme Alignme Alignme	Describe Plan for Develop ment of Test V/Specifi dor Alt Assessim Assessim assessim assessim and plan to p Plan Includan Alginme Alginme Alginme	Create Draft Of Extende Standar Standar (And/Or Essence Stateme Alternat e
	×		×	×
×		×		

Descriptors for Math, Scence, & ELA	Draft of Draft of Study Plan essessment assessment activities to Academic Content Standards	Document of Auditing & Monitoring Activities	Copress of Alignment Study Specs, RFPs, and/or Deliverate Deliverate With USED
	08 - Ct	0 - 80 8 - 80	² م
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		× *	
		×	
		×	
		×	
			× ×
			×
			×
			×
			×
	<u> </u>		×
		×	
	TAC	AYP Subc Ommi ttee & Direc tors	TAC
	Independent Evaluator (TA Assistance)	Work with AYP, Test Securitatirty, & Accountatirty, & Caff to Describe Process	Will utilize TAC, WestEd, and Wessured Progress expertise during and after meeting to ensure that all custored & considered & considered &
	Lisa	Caroi	AN Assee Start Start Lisa
	8 ئ 2	842	ద్ది స జి
	99 Å	Å 0.00 1.80	\$ 580
Achieve ment Standar Standar Perform ance ance ance bescript Descript Rath, Rath, Rath, Rath, Rath, Rath, August Policy Meeting			With with of TAC. Develop Dev
<u>۲۵۶۶۶۶۵۶۵۶۵۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶</u>	× 995455828280030038	00205894°×555	
	*		×
		×	×

	Alt Technical Manual	Alf Assessment Test Blueprints/S pecs	Copres of Copres of Modules Modules	
	5 ° D	2 ; 8	ک د 8	
			× ×	
			×	
			×	
		×		
		^		
×				
×		×		
			×	
	×			
×	×		×	
	× ×			
	×			
	×	×		
	×			
				
	TAC	TAC	Regio nai serone and and for trann distri- dis	
After selection by WestEd, terns are evaluated & approved by NDE	Measured Progress	In collaboration with WestEd, with WestEd, will review revised standards & test design to ensure sufficient coverage of standards (TA	PowerPoint, & Other Training Materials	
Tracy & Dave	esı	Lisa, Tracy Dave Richa Richa	Ail Asses smen Staff Staff	
ڭ ئ	10 10	849 8	591	
9 - 9 80	9,50 9,80	s és	9 0 0 8 0	
New Field Test (Aligned To Standar Standar ds') Are ds') Are ds') Are ds') Are form for all	Develop Technic Manual For Alternat e Assessm	Develop Test Blueprin VSpecifi VSpecifi For Alt Assessm ent In Reading & Math, & Math, Science	Provide Renaid R 2 Provide R 2 Profession Pr	
	×	×		
×			×	

(This process will summanzed in the summanzed rehnical Report Report Report Report Report Py the completed by the completed by the completed of the completed o		List of List of Participants & Final Document of Achternate Achternate Standards, ecc	Accommodat Innodat Report Report
		a ب ک	03 - Oct
······			
		×	
		×	
			×
×	×		
West Ed, Stake holde rs & Const ituen fs		grou Gen Spec alists	Stake Ins & TAC
Select Partopants & Gather Passges, itens & Tranng Materials	Work with WestEd	Determine a meeting place and logatics for travel (TA Assistance)	Gather data from natuonal and Neveda studies and studies and dectsion-making process for process for p
Cindy	Cindy Dave , & Tracy	Lisa, Tracy Dave Richa Richa	All Asses smen t c t f sped Repr esent esent ation
۵ ¢S	<u>қ</u> ç8	ద్ది స	3 PQ
ې بې ۵ : 8	8 8 6 8	0 ° 0 8	85 ^م کې 86
Bias Bias Review Of Items For Reading & Math	Review Results of Blas Review to Identify Gaps & Determi ne Solution	Convene Gorup Group Najadito Vajadato Vajadato Vajadato Standar Roj Esse Roj Esse Roj Esse Roj Esse Roj Alternat Alternat Alternat Roj Standar Roj Sta	Convene Meeting s of Statehol dens To dens To dens To Accomm Stude Staten Stude Appropri Appropri Appropri Appropri Appropri Appropri Staten S
		×	
×	×		×

		T	1	T	1	1
x Constrained and constrained and constraine constrained constraine constrained constrained constr	List of Participants			Algoment Study Report		Pilot Test Design
x Constrained and constrained and constraine constrained constraine constrained constrained constr	4 - 7 - 20			\$-160		d. 7.700
x X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td> </td>						
x X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
x X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
x X <td></td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td> </td>						
x X <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>l</td> <td></td> <td> </td>				l		
x X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
x X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td>						<u> </u>
x X <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td>				×		
X <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
x X <td>×</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	×					
x X <td></td> <td><u>├</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td>		<u>├</u>			×	×
X Servers Comment Comment Comment Servers Y Y Y Y Y X Refersion Comment Comment Servers Y Y Y Y Y X Refersion Comment Servers Y Y Y Y Y X X Y Y Y Y Y X X Y Y Y Y X Y Y Y Y X Y Y Y Y X Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	×	·				
x X Keening Control Contro Contro Control Contro Control Contro Control Control Control Con			×			
x X Keening Control Contro Contro Control Contro Control Contro Control Control Control Con						
x X Keening Control Contro Contro Control Contro Control Contro Control Control Control Con						
x X Keening Control Contro Contro Control Contro Control Contro Control Control Control Con	ļ					
Non-state Comment Comment Comment Science Sci		×			×	×
Non-state Comment Comment Comment Science Sci						
Non-state Comment Comment Comment Science Sci						
Converse Construction Construction Sectors No. List Sectors Determine Construction Sectors List Sectors X Sectors No. No. No. X No. No. No.<	×			·····		
Converse Construction Construction Sectors No. List Sectors Determine Construction Sectors List Sectors X Sectors No. No. No. X No. No. No.<						
X Control Liste, Control Determine (Control Control X X Kervery Reverse No No X X Kervery Reverse No No X X Control Us V X Kervery Reverse No No Determine						
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Raves Reveal Activities No No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Scale Activities No No No X Scale Activitie No No No X Activitie No N			×			
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Reversion Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No No X Reversion Activities 08 Va Determine Bission Control of the Activities Determine Signation Activities Determine Signation Activities Determine Activities X Reveal Activities No No No No No X Solution Activities 08 03 Solution Control Activities No No X Solution Activities No No Fe Lisa Processed Fielden X Activities 09 09 09 1 Solution Fielden X Activities No No No No X Activities No No No X Activities 09 09 1 X Activities No No No X Actities No No No						
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Reversion Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No No X Reversion Activities 08 Va Determine Bission Control of the Activities Determine Signation Activities Determine Signation Activities Determine Activities X Reveal Activities No No No No No X Solution Activities 08 03 Solution Control Activities No No X Solution Activities No No Fe Lisa Processed Fielden X Activities 09 09 09 1 Solution Fielden X Activities No No No No X Activities No No No X Activities 09 09 1 X Activities No No No X Actities No No No						
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Reversion Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No No X Reversion Activities 08 Va Determine Bission Control of the Activities Determine Signation Activities Determine Signation Activities Determine Activities X Reveal Activities No No No No No X Solution Activities 08 03 Solution Control Activities No No X Solution Activities No No Fe Lisa Processed Fielden X Activities 09 09 09 1 Solution Fielden X Activities No No No No X Activities No No No X Activities 09 09 1 X Activities No No No X Actities No No No						
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Raves Reveal Activities No No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Scale Activities No No No X Scale Activitie No No No X Activitie No N						
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Raves Reveal Activities No No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Scale Activities No No No X Scale Activitie No No No X Activitie No N						
Converse reart. Converse Converse Converse Converse Converse Create Sector (18, Peer Sector) No No No X Raves Reveal Activities No No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Raves Activities No No No X Scale Activities No No No X Scale Activitie No No No X Activitie No N	Gen Ed & Sped spect	TAC	TAC	TAC	West Ed & Meas ured Progr	
Converte ment communit		TA	te o	A		
Converte ment communit	ermine eeting tron an- inge foi sistics & el of al	asured ess & 1 priew	pender Lator (1 stance	asured ess & 1 eview	oleted t	asured
Converte ment communit	Det me focat arra logi travi parti	Mei Re Re	Indel Evalu Assi:	Progr Re	Comp Ve	Mex
Converte menti, menti	Lusa, Tracy Dave Richa rd					Lısa
X Converse Converse Converse Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Content Con		578 2	50 20	특 년 60		ē 7 6
Converte Converte Converte Rest Converte Converte Converte Converte Converte Converte Converte Converte Advorte Scale Content Conten						
x x x x x						
	h				ZEFAZEDÃÖË2	
	×	×	×	×		×
					×	

	Training Materiais		Scoring Guideline				TAM, TCM, NPEP Procedural Manual
	4 ⁴ - 20		\$~5				3 , <mark>Ç</mark>
			x-0				
							×
		<u> </u>					×
					ļ		×
							×
			<u> </u>				
				······································			
	×	×		×	×	×	
ļ	×	×	<u> </u>	×	×	×	
			×				×
	×	×		×	×	×	×
<u> </u>							
			[
	9 E. 8 T	2587			9 5 8 m		88.8.5
	Scho Olstri Perso nnel	Scho Distri Perso nnel		West Ed & Meas Progr ess	Scho ol Distri Perso nnel		Stake holde r & Vend or Input
	s ed	ss ed	ss ed	و م		s ed	Gathering all information (national & state) to inform procedures
	Measured Progress	Measured Progress	Measured Progress	Completed by Vendors		Progress	atherin Iformal nationa ce) to ir rocedu
			-			_	ي تي تي م ي تي تي م
	Lısa	Lisa	esij	All Asses Smen t Staff	Lisa	rsa	All Asses smen t, & & & Eped LEP Staff
	4Å 09	Ma 4 ' 09	2:68	9 6 S	368	2 ÷ 8	3 4 8
	Ap 09	a+ 50	4: 4: 7: 7: 7:	林 韩 邦 孙	5 68	2 ÷ 8	5:6
Pilot Testing	Provide Training to Test administ rators For Smali Focused Pilot Testing	Condu Small- Scale Focuse Pilot Or Testing Activiti	Develo Trainin Manua For Scoring Scoring Scoring Scoring Scorie For Pilot Testing	Items Are Fvaluate d Foulate Statistic al Bias Determis Determis ne Eligibilit Y For As Core Iten	Condu Scoring Of Small- Scale Focuse Pilot Testing	Analyz Data From Scale Focuse Pilot Testing & Make Adjuskr ents As	Develop Procedu ral & Administ ration Manuals (This Indudes
	×	×	×		×	×	
				×			×
L			L		L		

	Procedural, Scorroy, and Administrati on Manuals	(This process will be summarized in the twoda Technical Report 2009-2010, which will be which will be completed by the preliminary submission in December 2030)	Traming Materials
	\$ °85 		ja , ct
		1	
	×		×
			×
	×		× ×
	and a sum of a construction of the formation of the forma		
		×	
		× ×	
······································		×	
		×	
	×		×
	×		×
	×		
			Scho oi Test Coor dinat ors & Distri Cd Test Test tors tors
	Measured Progress	After selection by WestEd, terns are evaluated & approved by NDE	Determine Determine iccations of regional trainings & notify district test directors
	Aull Asses Smen Staff & Lisa Lisa	Tracy & Dave	All Asses smen t, Sped LEP Staff
	S e O	500	Ϋ́ς 5
	12 · 6	jú , 60	∩¥ 60 60
All Test Administ Administ Activitie Includin Includin Accomm Accomm Accomm Oriations Oriations Oriations Oriations Oriations Accomm Accom Accomm Accomm Accomm Accomm Accomm Accomm Accomm Accomm Aco	Develop Procedu Tral, cedu Scoring Administ Administ Administ Franuals Based Based Deratio Operatio Operatio Deratio Analysis From From Frouted Procesed	Selectio Operatio Operatio anal Forms Forms Forms Includin J N Forew Sign-Off M Operatio I all	Annual Test Test Fatton & Test Franning Training Training Training Mil Test Administ Administ
	×		
		×	×

	Atternate Assessment Tranning/Sco Manual(s), NPEP Procedural Manual, Teshing Administrati on Manual			Copies of All Reports	Section from Accountabilit V borkbook Describing Plan for Inclusion in AYP
	03 - OC			₩ N N N N N	Δ.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		×	
				×	
				×	
				×	
	×	×		× ×	
				×	
······································	×	×		×	
	×	×		×	
	····			×	
					<u> </u>
			×		
			×	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	×	×			
				l	
	×	×	×		
					×
······································	×	×			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	a = 0-	0 5 9 7	0 7 9 7		
	Scho ol Distri ct Perso nnel	Scho of Distri Distri Perso nnet	Scho ol Distri Ct Perso nnel		AYP Subc Subc ommi ttee k Test Direc tors tors
	βø			d TA	tt to ditty (If Y)
	Measured Progress	Meeting Facilities & Training Materials		Measured Progress & TA Review	Submit Arrendment to Accountability Workbook (If Necessary)
	Σσ	~ n ⊢ Σ		ε ο ε	Arne Acct Woi Ne
	Lisa	Lisa	Lisa	All Asses smen t Staff & Lisa Lisa	Carol & AYP Staff
	842	678	Ja 10 10	₽ć9	R 5 3
9	9 × 8	94°0	3 t Q	8 7 8	£ ; 6
Solution Soluti		Conduct Training Of Test Administ rators for Fully Operatio nal Pilot		Design Student & and School/ District District School/ District Indudi Indudi Indudi Indudi Schorrs Perform ance Level Level Level Cors)	
	X	×	×	×	×
				×	×

	Training Materials			Standard Setting Report		Copies of Minutes	Standard Setting Report	HSPE Alignment Study	Inter-rater Reliability Report
	10, Å			9-50		Au 10 10	S 2 5	₿ <u>,</u> 01	9 P 01
	ļ								
								<u> </u>	
								×	
	×		×						×
	<u> </u>								
			L						
								×	
				×	×	× ×	×		
	Scho ol Distri ct Perso nnel	Neva da High Scho ols	Scho ol Distri ct Perso nnel			State Boar d	TAC	TAC	
	Meeting Facilities & Traiming Materials	Measured Progress	Meeting Facilities & Scoring Materials	Measured Progress	Measured Progress		Written by Measured Progress & Reviewed by NDE & TAC	Independent Evaluator (TA Assistance)	Measured Progress
	Lisa	All Asses smen t Staff	risa	Lisa	Cindy Dave Tracy	Carol Rank Rank	Ali Asses smen t Staff	Cindy Dáve , & Tracy	Lisa
	₹°0	Ma 10	44.7.0	Ma Y- 10	Ma 7.	969	8 4 0	Ju 10-11	9 - 5 G
	573 573	£ 7 3	₩r0	Ma 10-1	Ma 10	₽ż9	M 70	51 F	363
Process	Train Scorers Of Fully Operatio nal Pilot	Administ ration of Fully Aligned Operatio nal Test	Scoring Session For Fully Operatio nal Pilot	Conduct Standar d Setting Of Fully Operatio nal Pilot	Standar d Setting Of Test Of Test ed Of Items Algned Revised Standar ds Reading)	State Board Approva I Of Results Of Standar d Settings	Write HSPE Standar d Setting Report	Indepen dent Alignme nt Of Intact Test Form	Conduct Analysis Of Pilot Data Of Fully Operatio nal Pilot, Includin
	×		×	×		×			×
L	L	×			×	×	×	×	

	Results of Alignment Study Reverv, Recommend atons for atons for Improvemen	HSPE Technical Manual	Copres & Lunks for all Reporting Documents	Revised Revised Scoring, & Test Administrati on Manuals		Submission of All Evidence
		.		+		
 	10 <u>5</u> S	899	÷÷ ×	A 95		80 <u>9</u>
			×			
			×			
			×			
ļ			×			
		<u> </u>	× ×			
		+	×			
			×			
		<u> </u>	×			ļ
		1				
		<u> </u>				
		×		×	×	
		×				
		×				
ļ		×				
		×				
		×				
		ļ				
		TAC	Distri ct Acco unta bility 8 8 Asses anen 8 Asses Asses Asses Asses anen a Neva Neva da Depa rint of Infor no of Asses Asse Asses Asses Asses Asses Asses Asses Asses Asses Asses Asse Asses Asse As Asse Asse As As As As As As As As As A As A As A A As A As A A As A As A A As A A As A As A A As A A A A A A A A A A A As A			
	Independent Contractor and/or Nevada Alexators who have not verter involvad in the Item Development Process	Measured Progress	Service-Level Agreements are the Newwith the Newwith The Newwith The Newwith The Newwith The Newwith Information Technology for necessary technical activities	Outside Contractor	Outside Contractor	
	Ali Asses smen Staff & Lusa	Caroi Hénr Tom	All Al Alsses Assess armen t & t & bility Staff	es J	Lisa	All Asses smen t Staff & Lisa
	5 °C	පීරත	A 804 0 50	å ç5	₩¥.	20 pe
	3 5 8	363	10-9 Q	9.9 10	94 10	8, 0 2, 2
g Inter- Rater Reliabilit Y	On the Advice of TAC, NDE Will Use the Results to to to to to to to to to to to to to				Ongoing Support And Technic Assistan Assistan Assistan Assistan Assistan Assistan Teacher Administ	
	×		×	×	×	× ×
	×	×	×			×
L						

	1		T
TBD	Standard Setting Report	Copies of Minutes	Sutimission of Ail Evidence (If Necessary)
۴a	9 P. 1	9 Å	9-9-11 11
			1
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
			1
			1
	ļ	l	
			ļ
			i
	×	×	<u> </u>
		×	
		State Boar d	
		v.e.	
	iç e		
	Outside Contractor		
	- 0		
All Asses Asses Smen t Staff Lisa	t. isa	Frank le	All Asses smen t Staff & & Lrsa
2.1	₩ 1.2 g	₩×1	9- 11 11
he . 1	월 구급	eM -y 11	9-9-11 11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
Addition al Submiss ion of Evidenc e (1f Necessa Necessa rion of Submiss Require d by USED	Stand d Settin Of Altern Assess ent (If Necess ry)	State Board Appro Appro Cor Alt Standi G d Standi C Standi C Standi S Standi S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Finat Submi ion (If Neces: ry) of Final Eviden e
×	×	×	×
×			×
L	l	L	L]

[FR Doc. E9–1552 Filed 1–23–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–C