

budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) which was promulgated in our August 15, 1997 final rule (62 FR 43780, 43781–43783). We have further described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004 final rule (69 FR 40004, 40038), and we used the information in these resources in making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 9, 2009.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*  
[FR Doc. E9–1110 Filed 1–21–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OECA–2008–0371; FRL–8763–9]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NESHAP for Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication, Renewal, EPA ICR Number 2027.04, OMB Control Number 2060–0516

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that an Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This is a request to renew an existing approved collection. The ICR which is abstracted below describes the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost.

**DATES:** Additional comments may be submitted on or before February 23, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, referencing docket ID number EPA–OECA–2008–0371, to (1) EPA online using [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (our preferred method), or by e-mail to [docket.oeca@epa.gov](mailto:docket.oeca@epa.gov), or by mail to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Environmental Protection Agency, Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center, mail code 2201T, 1200

Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB at: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), *Attention:* Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sounjay Gairola, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; *telephone number:* (202) 564–4003; *e-mail address:* [gairola.sounjay@epa.gov](mailto:gairola.sounjay@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On May 30, 2008 (73 FR 31088), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received no comments. Any additional comments on this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under docket ID number EPA–HQ–OECA–2008–0371, which is available for public viewing online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, in person viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the Enforcement and Compliance Docket is (202) 566–1927.

Use EPA's electronic docket and comment system at <http://www.regulations.gov>, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov>, as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

**Title:** NESHAP for Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication (Renewal)

**ICR Numbers:** EPA ICR Number 2027.04, OMB Control Number 2060–0516.

**ICR Status:** This ICR is scheduled to expire on March 31, 2009. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, and displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

**Abstract:** The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Flexible Polyurethane Foam Fabrication (40 CFR part 63, subpart M) were proposed on August 8, 2001 (66 FR 41729) and promulgated on April 14, 2003 (68 FR 18062).

The affected entities are subject to the General Provisions of the NESHAP at 40 CFR part 63, subpart A, and any changes, or additions to the General Provisions specified at 40 CFR part 63, subpart M.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities must submit a one-time-only report of any physical or operational changes, initial performance tests, and periodic reports and results. Owners or operators are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. Reports, at a minimum, are required semiannually.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 90 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and

requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* Flexible polyurethane foam fabrication facilities.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 11.

*Frequency of Response:* Initially, occasionally, semiannually, and annually.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 12,303.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* \$1,004,834, which includes: labor costs of \$1,002,163, annualized capital/startup costs of \$997, and \$1,674 in O&M costs.

*Changes in the Estimates:* There is no change in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. Apparent differences of less than 500 hours are attributable to rounding; in previous years, hours were rounded to the nearest thousand; this ICR presents more exact figures.

Dated: January 13, 2009.

**John Moses,**

*Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-1169 Filed 1-21-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

### Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application for a \$453 million direct loan to support the U.S. export of approximately \$372 million worth of mining equipment and services for a mining project in the Dominican Republic. The U.S. exports will enable the company in the Dominican Republic to produce approximately 28.35 metric tons of gold and 140 metric tons of silver per year on average during the 11-year repayment term of the loan. Available information indicates that most of this new gold and silver production will be sold internationally. Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to [economic.impact@exim.gov](mailto:economic.impact@exim.gov) or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 1238, Washington, DC 20571, within 14

days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

**Helene S. Walsh,**

*Vice-President, Policy Analysis Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-1164 Filed 1-21-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690-01-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[MB Docket No. 08-214; DA 08-2819]

### NFL Enterprises LLC, Complainant v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC, Defendant; File No. CSR-7876-P

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document finds that the Administrative Law Judge exceeded his authority by setting a hearing date beyond the 60-day deadline specified in the Hearing Designation Order for issuing a recommended decision regarding the above-captioned program carriage dispute and orders that the Media Bureau will proceed to resolve this dispute without the benefit of a recommended decision from the ALJ.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information on this proceeding, contact Steven Broecker, [Steven.Broeckaert@fcc.gov](mailto:Steven.Broeckaert@fcc.gov), or David Konczal, [David.Konczal@fcc.gov](mailto:David.Konczal@fcc.gov), of the Media Bureau, Policy Division, (202) 418-2120.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 08-2819, adopted and released on December 31, 2008. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document will also be available via ECFS (<http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>). (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Word 97, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. To request this document in accessible formats (computer diskettes, large print, audio recording, and Braille), send an e-mail to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

## Synopsis of the Order

1. On October 10, 2008, the Media Bureau issued a *Memorandum Opinion and Hearing Designation Order* ("HDO") in the above captioned matter. 73 FR 65312, November 3, 2008. The HDO, among other things, referred certain program carriage disputes, including the above-captioned matter, to an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") to resolve factual disputes as to whether the defendant cable operators had discriminated against the complainant video programmers or required a financial interest in the complainant video programmer's programming as a condition for carriage in violation of the Commission's program carriage rules. 73 FR 65312, 65322, 65323, November 3, 2008. The HDO ordered the ALJ to make and return a recommended decision to the Commission within 60 days of the release date of the HDO, *i.e.*, by December 9, 2008. Unfortunately, the ALJ has not issued a recommended decision by the deadline but, instead, has set a date to begin a hearing more than three months past the HDO's deadline without indicating when a recommended decision will be released. *Herring Broadcasting, Inc. v. Time Warner Cable Inc. et al.*, Order, MB Docket No. 08-214, FCC 08M-50 (rel. Dec. 2, 2008).

2. On December 24, 2008, the Media Bureau issued a *Memorandum Opinion and Order* (the "*Dec. 24th MO&O*") finding that the ALJ exceeded his authority by setting a hearing date beyond the HDO's 60-day deadline for issuing a recommended decision. *In the Matter of Herring Broadcasting Inc., d/b/a WealthTV, et al.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 08-2805, MB Docket 08-214 (rel. Dec. 24, 2008), at ¶¶ 2, 14-16 ("*Dec. 24th MO&O*"). In the *Dec. 24th MO&O*, the Media Bureau stated that the ALJ's limited authority to consider these matters extended through December 9, 2008. *See id.* The Media Bureau noted that this deadline has passed, and the ALJ's delegated authority over these hearing matters has thus expired under the terms of the HDO. *See id.* While the above-captioned matter was not included in the caption of the *Dec. 24th MO&O*, NFL Enterprises, LLC has filed a Motion for Clarification arguing that the logic and reasoning of that decision applies equally to the above-captioned matter. *See NFL Enterprises LLC, Motion for Clarification*, MB Docket No. 08-214, File No. CSR-7876-P (filed Dec. 29, 2008). We agree and therefore the Media Bureau will proceed to resolve the above-captioned program carriage