

Likewise, the FTC is not adding new adjustments for other statutory civil penalty amounts that have been enacted since the last adjustments, such as the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 section 814(a). This authority is too recent to warrant adjustments for inflation. Similarly, the FTC is not adjusting section 1115(a) of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 because the amount of inflation since the inception of this authority is insufficient to warrant adjustment.

In light of the ministerial nature of the adjustments, the public comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) do not apply to this action. 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) (exception when public comment is unnecessary). For this reason, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act also do not apply. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604 (no regulatory flexibility analyses required where the APA does not require public comment).

#### List of Subjects for 16 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Penalties, Trade practices.

■ For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Federal Trade Commission amends Title 16, chapter I, subchapter A, of the Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

### PART 1—GENERAL PROCEDURES

#### Subpart L—Civil Penalty Adjustments Under the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, as Amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996

■ 1. The authority citation for subpart L continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 28 U.S.C. 2461 note.

■ 2. Revise § 1.98 introductory text, paragraphs (a) through (e), (l) and (m) and add paragraph (n) to read as follows:

#### § 1.98 Adjustment of civil monetary penalty amounts.

This section makes inflation adjustments in the dollar amounts of civil monetary penalties provided by law within the Commission's jurisdiction. The following civil penalty amounts apply to violations occurring after February 9, 2009.

(a) Section 7A(g)(1) of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a(g)(1)—\$16,000;

(b) Section 11(l) of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 21(l)—\$7,500;

(c) Section 5(l) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 45(l)—\$16,000;

(d) Section 5(m)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 45(m)(1)(A)—\$16,000;

(e) Section 5(m)(1)(B) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 45(m)(1)(B)—\$16,000;

\* \* \* \* \*

(l) Sections 525(a) and (b) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, 42 U.S.C. 6395(a) and (b), respectively—\$7,500 and \$16,000, respectively;

(m) Section 621(a)(2) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. 1681s(a)(2)—\$3,500; and

(n) Civil monetary penalties authorized by reference to the Federal Trade Commission Act under any other provision of law within the jurisdiction of the Commission—refer to the amounts set forth in paragraphs (c), (d), (e) and (f) of this section, as applicable.

By direction of the Commission.

**Richard C. Donohue,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9-210 Filed 1-8-09; 8:45 am]

[BILLING CODE 6750-01-S]

### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

#### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

#### 29 CFR Parts 1910, 1915, 1917, 1918 and 1926

[Docket No. OSHA-2008-0031]

RIN 1218-AC42

#### Clarification of Employer Duty To Provide Personal Protective Equipment and Train Each Employee

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), U.S. Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA is correcting an error in the final rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 12, 2008, clarifying employers' duty to provide personal protective equipment and to train each employee.

**DATES:** Effective January 12, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Contact Ms. Jennifer Ashley, Director, Office of Communications, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3647, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-1999 or fax (202) 693-1634.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 12, 2008 (73 FR 75568), OSHA issued a final rule entitled "Clarification of Employer Duty To Provide Personal Protective Equipment and Train Each Employee." Subsequently, an error was discovered in the amendatory language of that **Federal Register** notice. This notice is being published to correct that language.

### Correction of Publication

In FR Doc. E8-29122 appearing on page 75568 in the **Federal Register** of Friday, December 12, 2008, the following correction is made:

#### § 1926.1101 [Corrected]

■ On page 75589, in the first column, Subpart Z, item 44, the instruction "In section 1926.1101, paragraphs (h)(1) introductory text, (h)(2), and (k)(9)(i) are revised to read as follows:" is corrected to read "In section 1926.1101, paragraphs (h)(1) introductory text, (h)(2)(i), and (k)(9)(i) are revised to read as follows":

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of January 2009.

**Thomas M. Stohler,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. E9-311 Filed 1-8-09; 8:45 am]

[BILLING CODE 4510-26-P]

### POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### 39 CFR Part 3020

[Docket Nos. MC2009-7 and R2009-1; Order No. 163]

#### International Mail Contracts

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is adding the Canada Post Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services to the Market Dominant Product List. This action is consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations and a recent Postal Service request. Republication of the lists of market dominant and competitive products is also consistent with new requirements in the law.

**DATES:** Effective January 9, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 and [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Regulatory History*, 73 FR 70682 (November 21, 2008).

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as Canada Post—United States Postal Service Contractual Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services (Bilateral Agreement or Agreement) to the Market Dominant Product List. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Request.

#### I. Background

On November 13, 2008, the Postal Service filed a request pursuant to 39

U.S.C. 3622(c)(10) and 3642, and 39 CFR 3010.40 *et seq.* and 3020.30 *et seq.* to add the Bilateral Agreement to the Market Dominant Product List.<sup>1</sup> This Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2009–7.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed notice that the Governors have authorized a Type 2 rate adjustment to establish rates for inbound market dominant services as reflected in the Bilateral Agreement.<sup>2</sup> More specifically, the Bilateral Agreement, which has been assigned Docket No. R2009–1, governs the exchange of inbound air and surface Letter Post (LC/AO) and Xpresspost from Canada.<sup>3</sup>

The Request includes two attachments. Attachment 1 sets forth proposed Mail Classification Schedule language; Attachment 2 provides a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32. In addition, the Postal Service indicates that it filed an unredacted copy of the Agreement and supporting materials under seal. *Id.* at 2, n.2.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Lea Emerson, Executive Director, International Postal Affairs, reviews the factors of section 3622(c) and concludes, *inter alia*, that the revenues generated will cover the attributable costs of the services offered under the Bilateral Agreement; that the rates are preferable to default rates set by the Universal Postal Union (UPU); and that the rates represent a modest increase over those reflected in the existing bilateral agreement with Canada Post. *Id.*, Attachment 2, at 2–4.

In its Request, the Postal Service provides information responsive to part 3010, subpart D of the Commission's rules. To that end, it addresses the requirements of section 3622(c)(10) as well as certain details of the negotiated service agreement. *Id.* at 2–7. The Postal Service asserts that the Bilateral Agreement satisfies all applicable statutory criteria. *Id.* at 7–8.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, financial analysis, and specific Bilateral Agreement under

seal. *Id.* at 2, n.2. The Postal Service maintains that the Bilateral Agreement and related financial information should remain under seal as they contain pricing, cost, and other information that is highly confidential.<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 2.

The Postal Service has an existing bilateral agreement with Canada Post which is set to expire December 31, 2008. *Id.* at 8. This instant Agreement represents a one-year extension of the existing agreement, with some modifications. It has a planned effective date of January 1, 2009. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service urges the Commission to act promptly to allow the rates to be implemented under 39 CFR 3010.40. *Id.* at 8.

In Order No. 133, the Commission gave notice of the two dockets, appointed a public representative, and provided the public an opportunity to comment.<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.6, Chairman's Information Request No. 1 (CIR No. 1) was filed December 1, 2008, regarding cost information with a response due from the Postal Service by December 8, 2008. The Postal Service filed its information on December 8, 2008, as requested.<sup>6</sup>

## II. Comments

Comments were filed by the Public Representative.<sup>7</sup> No filings were submitted by other interested parties. The Public Representative's comments focus principally on confidentiality and pricing under the Agreement. Public Representative Comments at 2–4.

The Public Representative states that a sufficient rationale for maintaining the confidentiality of the documents under seal has been provided by the Postal Service. He notes that performance benchmarks for both parties provide incentive to Canada Post and the Postal

<sup>4</sup> The Postal Service indicates that the materials filed under seal constitute a subset of the overarching agreement between the parties. Although unstated, presumably the subset represents the parties' agreement concerning inbound market dominant services. The Postal Service further indicates that the parties anticipate finalizing "this and related agreements by mid-December, and any lingering details will not affect the rates, classification, or other fundamental basis for this Request and Notice." *Id.* at 3, n.4.

<sup>5</sup> PRC Order No. 133, Notice and Order Concerning Bilateral Agreement with Canada Post for Inbound Market Dominant Services, November 18, 2008 (Order No. 133).

<sup>6</sup> Response of United States Postal Service to Chairman's Information Request No. 1 and Notice of Filing of Responsive Materials (Under Seal) December 8, 2008 (Response to CIR No. 1).

<sup>7</sup> Public Representative Comments in Response to United States Postal Service Request to Add Canada Post—United States Postal Service Contractual Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services to the Market Dominant Product List, Notice of Type 2 Rate Adjustment, and Notice of Filing Agreement (Under Seal), December 3, 2008 (Public Representative Comments).

Service to make improvements to services. *Id.* at 3. He also observes that the Postal Service indicates that the rates of the Bilateral Agreement provide a modest increase over the current bilateral agreement with Canada Post. *Id.* Based on his review at the filing, the Public Representative indicates that the Agreement is in compliance with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3622 and 3642.

## III. Commission Analysis

The Commission has reviewed the Agreement, supporting information, the financial analysis provided under seal that accompanies it, responses to the Chairman's Information Request and the comments filed by the Public Representative.

*Statutory requirements.* The Commission's statutory responsibilities in this instance entail assigning the Bilateral Agreement to either the Market Dominant Product List or to the Competitive Product List. 39 U.S.C. 3642. As part of this responsibility, the Commission also reviews the proposal for compliance with the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA) requirements. For market dominant products this includes a review of the section 3622(c)(10). 39 U.S.C. 3633.

*Product list assignment.* In determining whether to assign the Bilateral Agreement as a product to the Market Dominant Product List or the Competitive Product List, the Commission must consider whether the Postal Service exercises sufficient market power that it can effectively set the price of such product substantially above costs, raise prices significantly, decrease quality, or decrease output, without risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms offering similar products.

39 U.S.C. 3642(b)(1). If so, the product will be categorized as market dominant. The competitive category of products shall consist of all other products.

The Commission is further required to consider the availability and nature of enterprises in the private sector engaged in the delivery of the product, the views of those who use the product and the likely impact on small business concerns. 39 U.S.C. 3642(b)(3).

The Postal Service notes the determination was made in Order No. 43 that shipments of single-piece Letter Post were assigned to the market dominant category.<sup>8</sup> The Postal Service

<sup>8</sup> Request at 10. See Docket No. RM2007–1, Commission Order No. 43, Order Establishing Rate-making Regulations for Market Dominant and Competitive Products, October 29, 2007, para. 4003

also represents that Canadian law allows Canada Post an exclusive privilege to carry outbound letters weighing less than 500 grams (17.64 ounces). Its belief is that Canada Post is generally dominant in the market for letters not within its exclusive privilege, making Canada Post the single entity that can enter into this type of agreement with the Postal Service. Request at 5. The Postal Service contends that its monopoly on inbound letters from Canada within certain price and weight limits make it fairly certain that private entities would not be able to serve the United States market for inbound Letter Posts from Canada in accordance with this agreement. *Id.*

The Postal Service also contends that there is no significant competition in this market. As a result, it believes the Bilateral Agreement does not pose competitive harm to the marketplace. *Id.* at 6. It states the “marketplace” has a long-term history of accommodation of agreements between the United States and Canada Post for these services since such agreements have been used by both postal administrations for inbound single-piece Letter Post since 1888. *Id.* The Postal Service asserts that the parties to this Agreement serve as their respective countries’ designated entities for the exchange of mail, inclusive of Letter Post, under rules set by the UPU. *Id.* at 5. According to the Postal Service, under the UPU guidelines, designated operators would normally compensate each other for the delivery of Letter Post in compliance with terminal dues set by the UPU, unless a bilateral agreement between the parties existed. *Id.* It represents that no other entities are subject to terminal dues with regard to inbound Letter Post from Canada, and the market for these services under the Agreement is limited to these parties. Therefore, the Postal Service concludes that there can be no reasonable expectation of any competitive harm to the marketplace. *Id.* at 5–6.

The Postal Service’s Request presents the Commission with an issue of first impression concerning the classification of inbound Letter Post. As currently configured, inbound Letter Post combines both competitive and market dominant elements.

Under the UPU, inbound Letter Post is identified by type of transportation as either Air Letters and Cards (Air LC) or Surface All Other (Surface AO). As the names suggest, Air LC consists of letters and cards while Surface AO consists of flats, packets, bags, and containers. All Air LC and Surface AO mail must weigh

less than 2 kilograms (approximately 4.4 pounds). For purposes of the negotiated Bilateral Agreement, however, Air LC includes Xpresspost from Canada, which may weigh up to 30 kilograms (approximately 67 pounds).

Xpresspost exhibits characteristics of a competitive product. Canada Post advertises Xpresspost as a lower cost alternative to private courier service.<sup>9</sup> Xpresspost is also described as the fastest parcel service into the United States from Canada after Next Business Day USA—Priority Worldwide. Once presented to the Postal Service, inbound Xpresspost is processed and handled as Priority Mail. Both as a service offering and in operational terms, Xpresspost appears to parallel domestic Priority Mail.

These features suggest that inbound Letter Post from Canada should be classified as two separate products, one market dominant (Air LC and Surface AO) and the other competitive (Xpresspost). To classify Xpresspost as a competitive product would require the Commission to find that Xpresspost exhibits distinct costs and market characteristics. At this time, the Postal Service is unable to provide separate cost data or market data for Xpresspost and Air LC. In future filings, the Postal Service will be expected to develop the necessary cost and market data to permit a definitive determination on the appropriate classification of Xpresspost as either a market dominant or competitive product.

No commenter opposes the proposed classification of the Bilateral Agreement as market dominant. Having considered the statutory requirements and the support offered by the Postal Service, the Commission finds, for purposes of this proceeding, that the Canada Post-United States Postal Service Contractual Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services may be classified as a market dominant product and added to the Market Dominant Product List.

*Cost considerations.* The Postal Service’s filing seeks to establish a new international mail product. The Agreement provides delivery and scanning performance objectives and incentives to promote operational improvement. The Agreement’s new rates are to be effective January 1, 2009. Request at 3–4. Additionally, performance responsibilities include Canada Post’s work sharing arrangements, including presorting items to a 3-digit delivery ZIP Code

level and providing transportation for inbound airmail items to multiple Postal Service International Service Centers for acceptance. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service filed information under seal regarding financial improvements, costs, volumes, and anticipated revenues. The Postal Service represents that the new Agreement “includes performance-based incentives to promote cost reduction, increase efficiency, and improve service performance.” *Id.*, Attachment 2, at 2.

The requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10) obligate the Commission, when reviewing a negotiated service agreement, to determine whether such an agreement (1) Improves the net financial position of the Postal Service or enhances the performance of operational functions; (2) will not cause unreasonable harm to the marketplace; and (3) will be available on public and reasonable terms to similarly situated mailers.

With respect to the first requirement, the Postal Service uses system average cost for inbound Air Letters and Cards (Air LC) for inbound Letter Post from Canada. However, this mail includes “Xpresspost,” a Canada Post product that is equivalent to the Postal Service’s domestic Priority Mail. Xpresspost weighs up to 30 kilograms (67 pounds). The Postal Service’s system average cost for Air LC reflects the cost for mail weighing up to 4.4 pounds per piece. Thus, it is not likely that the system average cost for Air LC captures the cost of these much heavier weight items.<sup>10</sup> For weight-related costs, Xpresspost will be substantially more expensive than the average Air LC piece because the average weight of Xpresspost is significantly greater than of Air LC.

Additionally, the Postal Service writes that “Xpresspost items receive a Delivery Confirmation scan” while Air LC items do not receive such a scan. Chairman’s Information Request No. 1, Question 7(a). The Postal Service also maintains that “Canada Post’s higher per-item rate reflects this value-added service for its Xpresspost product.” *Id.* However, the Postal Service does not include the cost of Delivery Confirmation scans for Xpresspost in its financial model. Rather, the Postal Service uses the systemwide average unit costs for Air LC. The most recent estimate of unit volume-variable cost of delivery confirmation service is approximately 8.8 cents.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>10</sup> Differences in shape and cube-related costs also are not captured.

<sup>11</sup> The Postal Service estimated the unit volume-variable cost of delivery confirmation service in Docket No. R2006–1. See testimony of witness Berkeley (USPS–T–39).

<sup>9</sup> Xpresspost—USA is a shipping service that provides fast, guaranteed delivery at a lower cost than a courier to every address in the United States, including post office boxes.

For these reasons, the costs may be understated. Because there is a lack of Xpresspost specific costs, however, the Commission cannot state with certainty the cost coverage level. For purposes of this proceeding, the Commission accepts the Postal Service representations. In future filings, the Commission expects the Postal Service to provide unit delivery, transportation, and "other" costs for Xpresspost to permit a more complete evaluation of the cost coverage.

The Postal Service asserts that the instant Agreement will not result in unreasonable harm to the marketplace because, among other things, "Canada Post is the only entity in a position to avail itself of an agreement with the Postal Service of this type and scope." Request at 5. Moreover, because Canada Post and the Postal Service are their respective countries' designated operators for the exchange of mail, the Postal Service states that the market is limited to these parties. Under the circumstances presented in this proceeding, the Commission finds that Agreement will not result in unreasonable harm to the marketplace.

The Postal Service also asserts that no entities are similarly situated to Canada Post because none has the ability to tender Letter Post from Canada under similar conditions or to serve as the designated operator for Letter Post originating from Canada. *Id.* at 7–8. Thus, the Postal Service suggests that the "similarly situated mailer" criterion of section 3622(c)(10) is inapplicable to this Bilateral Agreement. *Id.* at 8. Given its narrow characterization of the underlying Agreement, the Postal Service's position is correct. For purposes of this proceeding, the Commission concludes that it would be largely an academic exercise to consider whether a broader characterization should be employed.

Based on the data submitted and the comments received, the Commission finds that the Bilateral Agreement comports with section 3622(c)(10). Thus, an initial review of the proposed Bilateral Agreement indicates that it comports with the provisions applicable to rates for market dominant products.

The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission if the Agreement terminates earlier than the proposed one-year term, but no later than the actual termination date. The Commission will then remove the Agreement from the Mail Classification Schedule at the earliest possible opportunity.

In conclusion, the Commission approves the Canada Post—United States Postal Service contractual

Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services as a new product. The revision to the Market Dominant Product List is shown below the signature of this Order and is effective upon issuance of this order.

#### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

##### *It Is Ordered:*

1. Canada Post—United States Postal Service Contractual Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services (MC2009–7 and R2009–1) is added to the Market Dominant Product List as a new product under Negotiated Service Agreements International.

2. The Postal Service shall notify the Commission if the Agreement terminates earlier than the proposed one-year term.

3. The Secretary shall arrange for the publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

#### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 3020

Administrative practice and procedure; Postal Service.

By the Commission.

**Steven W. Williams,**  
*Secretary.*

■ For the reasons stated in the preamble, under the authority at 39 U.S.C. 503, the Postal Regulatory Commission amends 39 CFR part 3020 as follows:

#### PART 3020—PRODUCT LISTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 3020 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 39 U.S.C. 503; 3622; 3631; 3642; 3682.

■ 2. Revise Appendix A to subpart A of part 3020—Mail Classification to read as follows:

#### Appendix A to Subpart A of Part 3020—Mail Classification Schedule

Part A—Market Dominant Products

1000 Market Dominant Product List

First-Class Mail

Single-Piece Letters/Postcards

Bulk Letters/Postcards

Flats

Parcels

Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International

Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail

International

Standard Mail (Regular and Nonprofit)

High Density and Saturation Letters

High Density and Saturation Flats/Parcels

Carrier Route

Letters

Flats

Not Flat-Machinables (NFM)/Parcels

Periodicals

Within County Periodicals

Outside County Periodicals

Package Services

Single-Piece Parcel Post

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates)

Bound Printed Matter Flats

Bound Printed Matter Parcels

Media Mail/Library Mail

Special Services

Ancillary Services

International Ancillary Services

Address List Services

Caller Service

Change-of-Address Credit Card Authentication

Confirm

International Reply Coupon Service

International Business Reply Mail Service

Money Orders

Post Office Box Service

Negotiated Service Agreements

HSBC North America Holdings Inc.

Negotiated Service Agreement

Bookspan Negotiated Service Agreement

Bank of America Corporation Negotiated Service Agreement

The Bradford Group Negotiated Service Agreement

Inbound International

Canada Post—United States Postal Service Contractual Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Market Dominant Services (MC2009–7 and R2009–1)

Market Dominant Product Descriptions

First-Class Mail

[Reserved for Class Description]

Single-Piece Letters/Postcards

[Reserved for Product Description]

Bulk Letters/Postcards

[Reserved for Product Description]

Flats

[Reserved for Product Description]

Parcels

[Reserved for Product Description]

Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail International

[Reserved for Product Description]

Inbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail International

[Reserved for Product Description]

Standard Mail (Regular and Nonprofit)

[Reserved for Class Description]

High Density and Saturation Letters

[Reserved for Product Description]

High Density and Saturation Flats/Parcels

[Reserved for Product Description]

Carrier Route

[Reserved for Product Description]

Letters

[Reserved for Product Description]

Flats

[Reserved for Product Description]

Not Flat-Machinables (NFM)/Parcels

[Reserved for Product Description]

Periodicals

[Reserved for Class Description]

Within County Periodicals

[Reserved for Product Description]

Outside County Periodicals

[Reserved for Product Description]

Package Services

[Reserved for Class Description]

Single-Piece Parcel Post

[Reserved for Product Description]

Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates)

[Reserved for Product Description]

Bound Printed Matter Flats

[Reserved for Product Description]

Bound Printed Matter Parcels

[Reserved for Product Description]

Media Mail/Library Mail	[Reserved for Product Description]	Global Direct Contracts (MC2009–9, CP2009–10 and CP2009–11)
[Reserved for Product Description]	Negotiated Service Agreements	Inbound Direct Entry Contracts with Foreign Postal Administrations (MC2008–6, CP2008–14 and CP2008–15)
Special Services	[Reserved for Class Description]	Competitive Product Descriptions
[Reserved for Class Description]	HSBC North America Holdings Inc. Negotiated Service Agreement	Express Mail
Ancillary Services	[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Group Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Bookspan Negotiated Service Agreement	Express Mail
Address Correction Service	[Reserved for Product Description]	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Bank of America Corporation Negotiated Service Agreement	Outbound International Expedited Services
Applications and Mailing Permits	The Bradford Group Negotiated Service Agreement	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Part B-Competitive Products	Inbound International Expedited Services
Business Reply Mail	2000 Competitive Product List	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail	Priority
Bulk Parcel Return Service	Express Mail	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Outbound International Expedited Services	Priority Mail
Certified Mail	Inbound International Expedited Services	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Inbound International Expedited Services 1 (CP2008–7)	Outbound Priority Mail International
Certificate of Mailing	Inbound International Expedited Services 2 (MC2009–10 and CP2009–12)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Priority Mail	Inbound Air Parcel Post
Collect on Delivery	Priority Mail	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Outbound Priority Mail International	Parcel Select
Delivery Confirmation	Inbound Air Parcel Post	[Reserved for Group Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Parcel Select	Parcel Return Service
Insurance	Parcel Return Service	[Reserved for Group Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	International	International
Merchandise Return Service	International Priority Airlift (IPA)	[Reserved for Group Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	International Surface Airlift (ISAL)	International Priority Airlift (IPA)
Parcel Airlift (PAL)	International Direct Sacks—M-Bags	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Global Customized Shipping Services	International Surface Airlift (ISAL)
Registered Mail	Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at non-UPU rates)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Canada Post—United States Postal Service Contractual Bilateral Agreement for Inbound Competitive Services (MC2009–8 and CP2009–9)	International Direct Sacks—M-Bags
Return Receipt	International Money Transfer Service	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	International Ancillary Services	Global Customized Shipping Services
Return Receipt for Merchandise	Special Services	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Premium Forwarding Service	International Money Transfer Service
Restricted Delivery	Negotiated Service Agreements	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Domestic	Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at non-UPU rates)
Shipper-Paid Forwarding	Express Mail Contract 1 (MC2008–5)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail Contract 2 (MC2009–3 and CP2009–4)	International Ancillary Services
Signature Confirmation	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 1 (MC2009–6 and CP2009–7)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 2 (MC2009–12 and CP2009–14)	International Certificate of Mailing
Special Handling	Parcel Return Service Contract 1 (MC2009–1 and CP2009–2)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Parcel Return Select & Parcel Return Service Contract 1 (MC2009–11 and CP2009–13)	International Registered Mail
Stamped Envelopes	Priority Mail Contract 1 (MC2008–8 and CP2008–26)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Priority Mail Contract 2 (MC2009–2 and CP2009–3)	International Return Receipt
Stamped Cards	Priority Mail Contract 3 (MC2009–4 and CP2009–5)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Priority Mail Contract 4 (MC2009–5 and CP2009–6)	International Restricted Delivery
Premium Stamped Stationery	Outbound International	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) Contracts	International Insurance
Premium Stamped Cards	GEPS 1 (CP2008–5, CP2008–11, CP2008–12, and CP2008–13, CP2008–18, CP2008–19, CP2008–20, CP2008–21, CP2008–22, CP2008–23 and CP2008–24)	[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Global Plus Contracts	Negotiated Service Agreements
International Ancillary Services	Global Plus 1 (CP2008–9 and CP2008–10)	[Reserved for Group Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]	Global Plus 2 (MC2008–7, CP2008–16 and CP2008–17)	Domestic
International Certificate of Mailing		[Reserved for Product Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]		Outbound International
International Registered Mail		[Reserved for Group Description]
[Reserved for Product Description]		Part C—Glossary of Terms and Conditions [Reserved]
International Return Receipt		Part D—Country Price Lists for International Mail [Reserved]
[Reserved for Product Description]		
International Restricted Delivery		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
Address List Services		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
Caller Service		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
Change-of-Address Credit Card Authentication		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
Confirm		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
International Reply Coupon Service		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
International Business Reply Mail Service		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
Money Orders		
[Reserved for Product Description]		
Post Office Box Service		

[FR Doc. E9–177 Filed 1–8–09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**