

Alternative 1 consists of an increase in the number of training activities from baseline levels and force structure changes associated with the introduction of new weapon systems, vessels, and aircraft into the Fleet. Alternative 2, the Preferred Alternative, consists of all elements of Alternative 1. In addition, Alternative 2 includes an increase in the number of training activities over Alternative 1 levels and implementation of range enhancements.

The Draft EIS addresses potential environmental impacts on multiple resources, including but not limited to: Air quality; water resources; airborne acoustic environment; biological resources, marine and terrestrial; cultural resources; socioeconomic; and public health and safety.

No significant impacts are identified for any resource area in any geographic location within the NWTRC Study Area that cannot be mitigated, with the exception of exposure of marine mammals to underwater sound. The Navy has requested from NMFS a Letter of Authorization (LOA) in accordance with the Marine Mammal Protection Act to authorize the incidental take of marine mammals that may result from the implementation of the activities analyzed in the NWTRC Draft EIS/OEIS. In compliance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation Management Act, the Navy is in consultation with NMFS regarding potential impacts to Essential Fish Habitat. In accordance with section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, the Navy is consulting with NMFS and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for potential impacts to federally listed species. The Navy is coordinating with the Washington Department of Ecology, the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development, and the California Coastal Commission for a Coastal Consistency Determination under the Coastal Zone Management Act for each respective state. Navy analysis has indicated that under the Clean Air Act requirements, no significant impacts would occur to the regional air quality, and under the Clean Water Act there would be no significant impacts to water quality. National Historic Preservation Act analysis indicated that no significant impacts to cultural resources would occur if the Proposed Action or alternatives were implemented. Implementation of the Proposed Action or alternatives would not disturb, adversely affect, or result in any takes of bald eagles, nor result in a significant adverse effect on the population of a migratory bird species.

The decision to be made by the Navy is to determine which of the alternatives

analyzed in the EIS/OEIS best meet the operational needs of the Navy given that all reasonably foreseeable environmental impacts have been considered.

The Draft EIS/OEIS was distributed to Federal, State, and local agencies, elected officials, and other interested individuals and organizations. The public comment period will end on February 11, 2009. Copies of the Draft EIS/OEIS are available for public review at the following libraries: Humboldt County Library, 1313 Third Street, Eureka, CA; Jefferson County Rural Library, 620 Cedar Avenue, Port Hadlock, WA; Kitsap Regional Library, 1301 Sylvan Way, Bremerton, WA; Lincoln City Public Library, 801 SW Highway 101, Lincoln City, OR; Oak Harbor Public Library, 1000 SE Regatta Drive, Oak Harbor, WA; Port Townsend Public Library, 1220 Lawrence St., Port Townsend, WA; and Timberland Regional Library, 420 Seventh Street, Hoquiam, WA.

The Northwest Training Range Complex Draft EIS/OEIS is also available for electronic public viewing at: <http://www.NWTRangeComplexEIS.com>. A paper copy of the Executive Summary or a single CD with the Draft EIS/OEIS will be made available upon written request by contacting Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest, Attention: Mrs. Kimberly Kler—NWTRC EIS/OEIS, 1101 Tautog Circle, Suite 203, Silverdale, WA 98315-1101.

Federal, State, and local agencies, and interested parties are invited to be present or represented at the public hearing. Written comments can also be submitted during the open house sessions preceding the public hearings.

Oral statements will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer; however, to ensure the accuracy of the record, all statements should be submitted in writing. All statements, both oral and written, will become part of the public record on the Draft EIS/OEIS and will be responded to in the Final EIS/OEIS. Equal weight will be given to both oral and written statements. In the interest of available time, and to ensure all who wish to give an oral statement have the opportunity to do so, each speaker's comments will be limited to four (4) minutes. If a long statement is to be presented, it should be summarized at the public hearing with the full text submitted either in writing at the hearing, or mailed to Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest, Attention: Mrs. Kimberly Kler—NWTRC EIS/OEIS, 1101 Tautog Circle, Suite 203, Silverdale, WA 98315-1101. In addition, comments may be submitted

online at <http://www.NWTRangeComplexEIS.com> during the comment period. All written comments must be postmarked by February 11, 2009, to ensure they become part of the official record. All comments will be addressed in the Final EIS/OEIS.

Dated: December 19, 2008.

T.M. Cruz,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-30936 Filed 12-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 29, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of

the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: December 23, 2008.

Stephanie Valentine,

Acting IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Paul Douglas Teacher Scholarship Program Performance Report.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Federal Government.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 57.

Burden Hours: 684.

Abstract: The Paul Douglas Teacher Scholarship Program Performance Report was originally designed to assist State agencies to provide scholarships to talented and meritorious students who were seeking teaching careers at the preschool, elementary, and secondary levels. The Department uses this information to ensure the compliance of the State Educational Agencies and the level of fulfillment of the scholarship obligations by the Douglas scholars.

Requests for copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3858. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. E8-30966 Filed 12-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education; Overview Information; College Assistance Migrant Program (CAMP); Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.149A.

Dates:

Applications Available: December 30, 2008.

Deadline for Transmittal of

Applications: February 23, 2009.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: April 24, 2009.

Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The purpose of CAMP is to provide academic and financial support to help migrant and seasonal farmworkers and their children complete their first year of college.

Priorities: This competition includes two competitive preference priorities and one invitational priority. In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(ii), the competitive preference priority for "novice applicant" is from the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) (34 CFR 75.225). In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(iv), the competitive preference priority for "prior experience of service delivery" is from section 418A(e) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (20 U.S.C. 1070d-2(e)).

Competitive Preference Priorities: For FY 2009, these priorities are competitive preference priorities. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i) we award an additional five points to an application that meets the "novice applicant" competitive preference priority, and up to a maximum of 15 additional points to an application that meets the "prior experience of service delivery" competitive preference priority.

These priorities are:

Novice Applicant

The applicant must be a "novice applicant" as defined in 34 CFR 75.225(a).

Prior Experience of Service Delivery

With respect to applicants with an expiring CAMP project, the Secretary will consider the applicant's prior experience in implementing its expiring CAMP project based on information contained in documents previously provided to the Department, such as annual performance reports, project evaluation reports, site visit reports, and

the previously approved CAMP application.

Under this competition, we are particularly interested in applications that address the following priority.

Invitational Priority: For FY 2009, this priority is an invitational priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1), we do not give an application that meets this invitational priority a competitive or absolute preference over other applications.

This priority is:

Applications that propose to engage faith-based and community organizations in the delivery of services under this program.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1070d-2, the Higher Education Act of 1965, as reauthorized by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA) (Pub. L. No. 110-315).

Applicable Regulations: (a) EDGAR in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 82, 84, 85, 86, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The regulations in 34 CFR part 206. (c) The definitions of a *migratory agricultural worker* in 34 CFR 200.81(d), *migratory child* in 34 CFR 200.81(e), and *migratory fisher* in 34 CFR 200.81(f). (d) The regulations in 20 CFR 669.110 and 669.320.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 79 apply to all applicants except federally recognized Indian tribes.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 86 apply to IHEs only.

Note: The definition of terms in 34 CFR 200.81(d), (e), and (f) were published in the **Federal Register** on July 29, 2008 at 73 FR 44102, 44123-24.

Note: The regulations in 34 CFR part 206 were issued prior to the enactment of the HEOA. The application package identifies any provisions in part 206 that have been superseded by enactment of the HEOA.

II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grants.

Estimated Available Funds: The Administration has requested \$5,338,000 for new awards for this program for FY 2009. The actual level of funding, if any, depends on final congressional action. However, we are inviting applications to allow enough time to complete the grant process if Congress appropriates funds for this program.

Estimated Range of Awards:

\$180,000-\$425,000.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$410,615.

Maximum Award: We will reject any application that proposes a CAMP award exceeding \$425,000 for a single budget period of 12 months. The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and