

significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the SONGS Units 2 and 3 dated May 12, 1981.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 22, 2008, the staff consulted with the California State official, Roger Lupo of the Radiologic Health Branch of the California Department of Public Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

The licensee requested that the application be withheld from public disclosure, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390, because it contained sensitive security-related information. The NRC staff agrees that the licensee’s application dated January 14, 2008, contains security-related information and should be withheld in its entirety. Therefore, no further details with respect to the proposed action are publicly available.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of December 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Nageswaran Kalyanam,

*Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV,
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E8–30948 Filed 12–29–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030–34493]

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment to Byproduct Nuclear Materials License No. 45–25402–01, for Termination of the License and Unrestricted Release of the Upstate Group, Inc., Facility in Charlottesville, VA

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas K. Thompson, Sr. Health Physicist, Commercial and Research & Development Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406; telephone (610) 337–5303; fax number (610) 337–5269; or by e-mail:

thomas.thompson@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of a license amendment to byproduct materials License No. 45–25402–01. This license is held by Upstate Group, Inc. (the Licensee), for its facility located at 706 Forrest Street, Charlottesville, Virginia (the Facility). Issuance of the amendment would authorize release of the Facility for unrestricted use and termination of the NRC license. The Licensee requested this action in a letter dated January 3, 2008. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 51 (10 CFR Part 51). Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the **Federal Register**.

II. Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would approve the Licensee’s January 3, 2008, license amendment request, resulting in release of the Facility for unrestricted use and the termination of its NRC materials license. License No. 45–25402–01 was issued on October 31, 1997, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorized the Licensee to use unsealed byproduct materials for the purposes of conducting research and development activities on laboratory bench tops and in hoods.

The Facility is a two story building located in a mixed residential/commercial area. The licensee occupied approximately 12,000 square feet of space on the second floor of the building, consisting of office space and laboratories. Within the Facility, use of licensed materials was confined to Laboratories 115 and 110A.

Routine licensed activities ceased in 2002 and the licensee initiated a survey of the Facility. Based on the Licensee’s historical knowledge of the site and the conditions of the Facility, the Licensee determined that only routine decontamination activities, in accordance with the NRC-approved operating radiation safety procedures, would be required. The Licensee was not required to submit a decommissioning plan to the NRC because worker cleanup activities and procedures are consistent with those approved for routine operations. The Licensee conducted surveys of the Facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that it meets the criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release and for license termination.

Need for the Proposed Action

The Licensee has ceased conducting licensed activities at the Facility, and seeks the unrestricted use of its Facility and the termination of its NRC materials license. Termination of its license would end the Licensee’s obligation to pay annual license fees to the NRC.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The historical review of licensed activities conducted at the Facility shows that such activities involved use of the following radionuclides with half-lives greater than 120 days in unsealed form: Hydrogen-3 and carbon-14. The Licensee conducted a final status survey in December 2006. This survey covered the areas of use at the Facility. The final status survey report was attached to the

Licensee's amendment request dated January 3, 2008. The Licensee elected to demonstrate compliance with the radiological criteria for unrestricted release as specified in 10 CFR 20.1402 by using the screening approach described in NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance," Volume 2. The Licensee used the radionuclide-specific derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs), developed there by the NRC, which comply with the dose criterion in 10 CFR 20.1402. These DCGLs define the maximum amount of residual radioactivity on building surfaces, equipment, and materials, and in soils, that will satisfy the NRC requirements in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release. The Licensee's final status survey results were below these DCGLs and are in compliance with the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) requirement of 10 CFR 20.1402. The NRC thus finds that the Licensee's final status survey results are acceptable.

Based on its review the staff has determined that the affected environment and any environmental impacts associated with the proposed action are bounded by the impacts evaluated by the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities" (NUREG-1496) Volumes 1-3 (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). The staff finds there were no significant environmental impacts from the use of radioactive material at the Facility. The NRC staff reviewed the docket file records and the final status survey report to identify any non-radiological hazards that may have impacted the environment surrounding the Facility. No such hazards or impacts to the environment were identified. The NRC has identified no other radiological or non-radiological activities in the area that could result in cumulative environmental impacts.

The NRC staff finds that the proposed release of the Facility for unrestricted use and the termination of the NRC materials license is in compliance with 10 CFR 20.1402. Based on its review, the staff considered the impact of the residual radioactivity at the Facility and concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Due to the largely administrative nature of the proposed action, its environmental impacts are small.

Therefore, the only alternative the staff considered is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would leave things as they are by simply denying the amendment request. This no-action alternative is not feasible because it conflicts with 10 CFR 30.36(d), requiring that decommissioning of byproduct material facilities be completed and approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The NRC's analysis of the Licensee's final status survey data confirmed that the Facility meets the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release and for license termination. Additionally, denying the amendment request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are therefore similar, and the no-action alternative is accordingly not further considered.

Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Health, Bureau of Radiological Health for review on October 30, 2008. The Commonwealth of Virginia responded by e-mail on November 7, 2008. The Commonwealth agreed with the conclusions of the EA and otherwise had no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted.

Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

1. NRC License No. 45-25402-01 (ML041660379);
2. Termination request dated January 3, 2008 (ML080100580);
3. Additional information on termination request dated January 30, 2008 (ML080360136);
4. License application dated June 17, 1997 (ML083030158);
5. License application dated July 12, 1999 (ML083030168);
6. Inspection report dated April 13, 2004 (ML042170064);
7. License amendment request dated March 30, 2004 (ML040910212 and ML041740741);
8. NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance;" Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination;"
9. Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions;" and
10. NUREG-1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities."

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Region I, 475 Allendale PA, King of Prussia, PA this 19th day of December 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
James P. Dwyer,
*Chief, Commercial, Research and
 Development Branch, Division of Nuclear
 Materials Safety, Region I.*
 [FR Doc. E8-30940 Filed 12-29-08; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the Subcommittee on Future Plant Designs; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Future Plant Designs will hold a meeting on January 14-15, 2009, at 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, Room T2 B3.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

January 14, 2009, 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m.;
January 15, 2009, 8:30 a.m. until 5 p.m.

The Subcommittee will review and discuss the Advanced Reactor Research Plan. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Officer, Maitri Banerjee (telephone 301-415-6973) 5 days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Electronic recordings will be permitted. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 6, 2008, (73 FR 58268-58269).

Further information regarding this meeting can be obtained by contacting the Designated Federal Officer between 8 a.m. and 4:45 p.m. (ET). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual at least two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda.

Dated: December 22, 2008.

Christopher Brown,

*Acting Chief, Reactor Safety Branch A,
 Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.*
 [FR Doc. E8-30950 Filed 12-29-08; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-28567]

Notice of Applications for Deregistration Under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

December 19, 2008.

The following is a notice of applications for deregistration under section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for the month of December 2008. A copy of each application may be obtained for a fee at the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1520 (tel. 202-551-5850). An order granting each application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by writing to the SEC's Secretary at the address below and serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on January 13, 2009, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane L. Titus at (202) 551-6810, SEC, Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-4041.

BlackRock Technology Fund, Inc. [File No. 811-8721]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On September 15, 2008, applicant transferred its assets to BlackRock Global Science & Technology Opportunities Portfolio, a series of BlackRock FundsSM, based on net asset value. Expenses of \$487,556 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by BlackRock Advisors, LLC, applicant's investment adviser, or its affiliates.

Filing Date: The application was filed on November 12, 2008.

Applicant's Address: 800 Scudders Mill Rd., Plainsboro, NJ 08536.

MuniDividend Insured Fund, Inc. [File No. 811-21062]; MuniHoldings Fund III, Inc. [File No. 811-21626]; MuniHoldings California Fund, Inc. [File No. 811-21627]

Summary: Applicants, each a closed-end investment company, seek an order declaring that they have ceased to be investment companies. Applicants have never made a public offering of their securities and do not propose to make a public offering or engage in business of any kind.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on November 5, 2008.

Applicants' Address: BlackRock Inc., 800 Scudders Mill Rd., Plainsboro, NJ 08536.

BlackRock Enhanced Equity Yield Fund, Inc. [File No. 811-21722]; BlackRock Enhanced Equity Yield & Premium Fund, Inc. [File No. 811-21755]

Summary: Applicants, each a closed-end investment company, seek an order declaring that they have ceased to be investment companies. On November 3, 2008, each applicant transferred its assets to BlackRock Enhanced Capital and Income Fund, Inc., based on net asset value. Expenses of \$289,026 and \$267,780, respectively, incurred in connection with the reorganizations were paid by each applicant.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on November 19, 2008.

Applicants' Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809.

Mezzacappa Partners, LLC [File No. 811-21752]

Summary: Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Between January 24, 2008 and December 3, 2008, applicant made liquidating distributions to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of approximately \$350,000 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by applicant. Applicant has retained \$200,000 in cash and trade receivables to pay remaining outstanding expenses.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on September 3, 2008, and amended on December 3, 2008.

Applicant's Address: c/o Mezzacappa Management, LLC, 630 Fifth Ave., Suite 2600, New York, NY 10111.

Ameristock ETF Trust [File No. 811-21941]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On August 22, 2008, applicant made a final liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based