public workshops were held in Eureka, Willow Creek, and Mad River, CA to inform the public about the Travel Management Rule. In October 2007 and April, May, and June 2008, public workshops were held in those same locations to gather information from the public about which routes they use and their concerns. Additionally, maps of inventoried routes were available on the Forest's Web site and Forest Service offices. The public used these maps to provide input into the process.

The comment period on the proposed action will extend 45 days from the date this Notice of Intent is published in the **Federal Register**.

The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public review by spring 2009. EPA will publish a notice of availability of the draft EIS in the Federal Register. The comment period on the draft EIS will extend 45 days from the date the EPA notice appears in the Federal Register. At that time, copies of the draft EIS will be distributed to interested and affected agencies, organizations, and members of the public for their review and comment. It is very important that those interested in the management of the Six Rivers NF participate at that time.

The final EIS is scheduled to be completed in summer 2009. In the final EIS, the Forest Service will respond to comments received during the comment period that are: within the scope of the proposed action; specific to the proposed action; have a direct relationship with the proposed action; and include supporting reasons for the responsible official to consider. Submission of comments to the draft EIS is a prerequisite for eligibility to appeal under the 36 CFR part 215 regulations.

#### **Comment Requested**

This Notice of Intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft EIS will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

At this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft EISs must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is

meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft EIS. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: December 12, 2008.

#### Tyrone Kelley,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8–30047 Filed 12–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Forest Service**

#### Superior National Forest Federal Hardrock Mineral Prospecting Permits Project.

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

**SUMMARY:** This analysis would address federal hardrock mineral exploration in terms of 32 current permit applications, future permit applications, current and future operating plans, and future use and occupancy authorizations (Special

Use Permits) on the Superior National Forest (SNF) over the next 20 years. The project area covers all SNF managed lands available to mineral exploration. In accordance with the SNF Land and Resource Mangement Plan, the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, Mining Protection Area, and Eligible Wild River Segments are not available to mineral exploration. The Forest Service is the lead agency for this EIS and the United States Department of the Interior (USDI), Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is a cooperating agency. As a cooperating agency, the BLM will adopt the EIS to support their own Record of Decision. Federal laws and policies will be outlined in the EIS that will require the SNF, as the agency managing the surface, and the BLM, as the agency responsible for managing sub-surface minerals resources, to consider the Prospecting Permit applications. Based on the Forest Service's recommendations and consent, the BLM will review those recommendations and decide whether to authorize the prospecting permits and operating plans.

**DATES:** Scoping for this project is planned for January 2009. When the scoping package is completed, it will be sent out for public review and comment. At that time, it will also be available for review, along with supplemental large scale maps, on the Internet at the following Web site: http:// www.fs.fed.us/r9/forests/superior/ projects/. The draft environmental impact statement is expected February 2010 and the final environmental impact statement is expected June 2010. ADDRESSES: Send written comments to James W. Sanders, Forest Supervisor, 8901 Grand Avenue Place, Duluth, MN 55808

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you would like additional information or have questions regarding this action, contact Patty Beyer, Project Coordinator at 906–226–1499 or Michael Jimenez, Forest Planner at 218–626–4383. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Purpose and Need for Action**

The purpose and need for this project is three-fold.

*First:* Analyze the effects to the environment from 32 permit applications and any future prospecting permit applications for hardrock mineral prospecting, and, determine: (a) If the lands requested under the 32 permit applications are available for mineral prospecting and what lands are available for future prospecting permit applications; (b) If activities carried out under such permits are consistent with the purpose for which the land was acquired; and (c) What stipulations will be required for prospecting permits to be issued. The stipulations will include requirements for the protection of surface resources, and for access, construction, or use and protection of existing roads.

Second: Analyze the effects to the environment from future prospecting permit exploration operating plan activities associated with the 32 prospecting permit applications and future prospecting permit applications and to define the terms and conditions and best management practices (BMPs) that would be included in the Forest Service's consent to the BLM for approval of the operating plans. The terms and conditions and BMPs will include requirements for the protection of surface resources, and for access, construction, or use of existing roads.

*Third:* Analyze effects of special uses located outside of prospecting permit areas (off-permit areas). These activities will be administered under Forest Service Special Use Permits. This includes the need to evaluate the effects from road construction and road reconstruction on off-permit areas. Although specific proposals have not been made, estimates can be made regarding access needs to sites based on previous experience.

## **Proposed Action**

The BLM has received 32 prospecting permit applications from four companies for federal hardrock mineral prospecting on the SNF. The applications cover approximately 43,446 acres and are located within the geologic complex call the Duluth Complex. The main target minerals include copper, nickel, cobalt, lead, zinc, silver, gold, titanium, Platinum Group Elements (PGE) and other associated minerals. In addition, all lands available for mineral exploration within the SNF will be analyzed for future prospecting permit applications and associated operating plans. Prospecting permits, if issued, include various exploration activities under operating plans such as drilling to obtain core samples and air-or-ground based geophysical surveys to determine the location and extent of mineralization, and where ore deposits may be located.

#### Responsible Official and Nature of Decision To Be Made

The Responsible Official for the Forest Service, the Forest Supervisor for the Superior National Forest, will decide the following three items based on the environmental analysis:

1. What consent recommendations and stipulations will be provided to the Regional Forester so that he may advise the BLM whether the Forest Service consents to the issuance of: (a) The 32 federal hardrock mineral prospecting permit applications, and (b) future hardrock mineral prospecting permits.

hardrock mineral prospecting permits. 2. What advice will be provided to the BLM including terms and conditions and best management practices required for the protection of surface resources, and for access, construction, or use and protection of existing roads for: (a) Operating plans associated with the current 32 federal hardrock mineral prospecting permit applications, and (b) future operating plans associated with future hardrock minerals prospecting permits.

3. Whether to issue future special use and occupancy authorizations for offprospecting permit areas activities associated with mineral exploration operating plans and what terms and conditions will be required for the protection and management of surface resources. The responsible official for the BLM, the Deputy State Director, will decide in a Record of Decision, whether to approve pending and future hardrock prospecting permits and associated operating plans.

#### Scoping Process

Public scoping will include notices in the newspaper of record, mailing of the scoping package (detailed information of the purpose and need for the project, the proposed action, description of the project area, maps, and proposed stipulations, terms and conditions, and best management practices) to interested and affected publics and posting of the project on the agency's project planning Web page and notice in the agency's quarterly Schedule of Proposed Actions.

## **Comment Requested**

This notice of intent initiates the scoping proces which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.

Dated: November 19, 2008.

## James W. Sanders,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8–30167 Filed 12–18–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

# Procurement List; Additions and Deletion

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Additions to and Deletion from Procurement List.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List products to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and deletes from the Procurement List a product previously furnished by such agencies.

## DATES: Effective Date: 1/19/2009.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia, 22202–3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barry S. Lineback, Telephone: (703) 603–7740, Fax: (703) 603–0655, or email *CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov*.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Additions

On 10/10/2008, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice (73 FR 60236) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the product and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the product listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

## Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the products to the Government.

2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the products to the Government.

3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-