Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of November 2008.

David M. Spooner.

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration. Alternate Chairman, Foreign–Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary. [FR Doc. E8–28877 Filed 12–4–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 53-2008]

Foreign-Trade Zone 242—Boundary County, ID; Application for Subzone; Hoku Materials, Inc.; Notice of Hearing and Extension of Comment Period

A public hearing will be held on the application for subzone status at the Hoku Materials, Inc., facility in Pocatello, Idaho, submitted by Boundary County, ID, grantee of FTZ 242 (73 FR 59597, 10/9/08). Because the specific date of the hearing has yet to be determined, the comment period (which would have otherwise closed on December 8, 2008) will be extended to 15 days following the hearing, in order to allow interested parties additional time in which to comment.

Further notice will be given once the dates of the hearing and the close of the comment period are set. For further information, contact Diane Finver at *Diane_Finver@ita.doc.gov* or (202) 482–1367.

Dated: December 2, 2008.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-28865 Filed 12-4-08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-475-819]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Eleventh (2006) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. EFFECTIVE DATE: December 5, 2008. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew McAllister or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1174 and (202) 482–0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 6, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of the 2006 administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain pasta ("pasta") from Italy. See Certain Pasta from Italy: Preliminary Results of the 11th (2006) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 73 FR 45721 (August 6, 2008). This review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States: De Matteis Agroalimentare S.p.A. ("De Matteis"), Pastificio Lucio Garofalo S.p.A. ("Garofalo"), F.lli De Cecco di Filippo Fara San Martino S.p.A. ("De Cecco''), and Pastificio Felicetti SrL ("Felicetti"). In the preliminary results, we stated that we would issue our final results for the countervailing duty administrative review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (i.e., December 4, 2008).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results in an administrative review within 120 days of the publication date of the preliminary results. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days.

The Department has determined that completion of the final results of this review within the original time period is not practicable because the Department requires additional time to review a response to a supplemental questionnaire issued after the preliminary results. Thus, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by an additional 60 days, until February 2, 2009.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 01, 2008. **Gary Taverman,** *Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.* [FR Doc. E8–28869 Filed 12–4–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-533-838

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On September 8, 2008, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India. The review covers two manufacturers/exporters, Alpanil Industries and Pidilite Industries Limited. The period of review is December 1, 2006, through November 30, 2007. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results but no interested parties did so. We have made no changes in the margin calculations for the final results of review. The final weighted-average margins are listed below in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice. EFFECTIVE DATE: December 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yang Jin Chun or Hermes Pinilla, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 or (202) 482– 3477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 8, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 (CVP 23) from India and invited interested parties to comment. See *Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 52012 (September 8, 2008) (*Preliminary Results*). On October 7, 2008, the Department received a case brief from Alpanil Industries (Alpanil). Because Alpanil's case brief did not meet several filing requirements as stated in 19 CFR 351.303 and 304, we rejected and returned Alpanil's case brief on October 14, 2008, and requested that Alpanil resubmit its case brief by October 17, 2008. Alpanil did not resubmit its case brief. No other party submitted a case brief.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is CVP 23 identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358– 30–1, with the chemical name of diindolo $[3,2-b:3',2'-m]^1$ triphenodioxazine, 8,18–dichloro–5, 15–diethyl–5, 15–dihydro-, and molecular formula of C₃₄H₂₂Cl₂N₄O₂. The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (*e.g.*, dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (*e.g.*, pigment dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the order. The merchandise subject to the order is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.90.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Use of Adverse Facts Available

The Department found in the *Preliminary Results* that Alpanil and Pidilite Industries Limited (Pidilite) failed to cooperate to the best of their ability in responding to the Department's requests for information and thereby impeded the Department's proceeding. Therefore, in accordance with section 776(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.308(c), the Department preliminarily selected 66.59 percent as the adverse facts–available dumping margin. For the *Preliminary Results*, we also subtracted the portion of the applicable countervailing duty rate attributable to export subsidies (17.02 percent) from the final dumping margin of 66.59 percent in order to calculate the cash–deposit rate of 49.57 percent. See *Preliminary Results*.

The Department did not receive any comments regarding its preliminary application of the adverse facts– available dumping margin to Alpanil and Pidilite. Therefore, for the final results, the Department has not altered its analysis or decision to apply the adverse facts–available dumping margin to Alpanil and Pidilite.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted– average dumping margins on CVP 23 from India exist for the period December 1, 2006, through November 30, 2007:

Company	Margin (percent)	Cash–Deposit Rate Adjusted For Export Subsidies
Alpanil	66.59	49.57
Pidilite	66.59	49.57

Assessment of Duties

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review. We will instruct CBP to assess the antidumping liability for all shipments of CVP 23 from India produced and/or exported by Alpanil or Pidilite and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse. for consumption during the period of review. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at the adjusted rate of 49.57 percent if CBP has collected the appropriate countervailing duties on the same entry. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at the unadjusted rate of 66.59 percent if the appropriate countervailing duties are not collected by CBP.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CVP 23 from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section

751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cashdeposit rates for Alpanil and Pidilite will be 49.57 percent; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the less-than-fairvalue investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (3) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash-deposit rate will be 27.48 percent, the all-others rate published in Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India, 69 FR 77988, 77989 (December 29, 2004). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importer

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the APO itself. See 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 1, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. E8–28856 Filed 12–4–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

¹ The bracketed section of the product description, [3,2-b:3',2'-m], is not business-

proprietary information. In this case, the brackets

are simply part of the chemical nomenclature. See *Preliminary Results*.