regardless of jurisdiction, within Socorro and Catron counties, New Mexico, totaling 8.7 million acres. The decision area for the PRMP/FEIS includes 1.5 million acres of BLMadministered lands and 6.1 million acres of Federal mineral estate located in both counties. Until the Record of Decision on the PRMP/FEIS is signed, these lands and minerals will be managed in accordance with the 1989 Socorro Resource Management Plan and subsequent amendments.

The PRMP/FEIS describes the physical, cultural, historic, and socioeconomic resources in and around the planning area and documents the direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of four alternatives for BLM-administered lands and resources within the planning area. The impact analysis focuses on resource issues and concerns identified during scoping and public involvement activities. Issues identified during scoping (not in priority order) and from public comment were related to special designations, soil and vegetation conditions, transportation and access, energy development, land use, and recreation and heritage tourism opportunities.

A summary of the four alternatives in the PRMP/FEIS are as follows. The No-Action Alternative, Alternative A, represents the continuation of existing management, which is defined by the 1989 Socorro RMP and subsequent amendments. Alternative B, the BLM's proposed alternative, proposes managing the public lands for multiple uses and sustaining the health, diversity, and productivity of the lands for present and future generations. Alternative C emphasizes resource protection, while Alternative D

emphasizes commodity production and use, while still complying with applicable laws, regulations, and BLM policies. Within all alternatives, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs) have been identified to protect certain resources. The proposed alternative has identified the following ACECs due to their significant cultural, scenic, or natural values: Cerro Pomo, Horse Mountain, Ladron Mountain Devil's Backbone Complex, Mockingbird Gap, Pelona Mountain, Sawtooth, and Zuni Salt Lake. After the Draft RMP/EIS was released to the public, acreage corrections were made to the following proposed ACECs to address geographical information system data errors. These errors affected only the Alternative B acreages for the Cerro Pomo ACEC and the Tinajas ACEC. The corrections are shown in the table below.

	Draft RMP/EIS	Proposed RMP/EIS
Cerro Pomo ACEC	26,284 acres	28,248 acres.
Tinajas ACEC	1,062 acres	0 acres.

(For additional information on management restrictions and acreage figures for each ACEC refer to Chapter 2 of the PRMP/FEIS.) These corrections do not represent a change in the management of the lands that were evaluated in the Draft RMP/EIS. The Draft RMP/EIS was released to the public for a 90-day comment period in April 2007. The BLM hosted public meetings in Datil and Socorro, New Mexico, to answer questions about the document, as well as to solicit comments from the public. Public comments on the Draft RMP/EIS are on file and available for public review at the address listed above. Public comments on the Draft RMP/EIS resulted in the addition of clarifying text in the PRMP/FEIS, but did not substantively change the proposed decisions in the preferred alternative.

Instructions for filing a protest with the Director of the BLM regarding the PRMP/FEIS may be found in the Dear Reader Letter of Socorro Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement and at 43 CFR 1610.5–2. E-mail and faxed protests will not be accepted as valid protests unless the protesting party also provides the original letter by either regular or overnight mail postmarked by the close of the protest period. Under these conditions, the BLM will consider the e-mail or faxed protest as an advance copy and it will receive full consideration. If you wish to provide

the BLM with such advance notification, please direct faxed protests to the attention of the BLM protest coordinator at (202) 452–5112, and emailed protests to *Brenda_Hudgens-Williams@blm.gov.*

All protests including the follow up letter (if e-mailing or faxing) must be in writing and must be mailed to the following address:

Regular Mail: Overnight Mail: Director (210), Attention: Brenda Williams,P.O. Box 66538, Washington, DC 20035.

Overnight Mail: Director (210), Attention: Brenda Williams, 1620 L Street, NW., Suite 1075, Washington, DC 20036.

Before including your phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire protest—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your protest to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Ron Dunton,

New Mexico Deputy State Director. [FR Doc. E8–28707 Filed 12–4–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–FB–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Northwest Area Water Supply Project, ND

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) on Water Treatment.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National **Environmental Policy Act of 1969** (NEPA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is notifying the public that Reclamation has prepared a Final EIS on Water Treatment for the Northwest Area Water Supply Project (Project). The proposed action is to construct a biota water treatment plant for the Project to treat the source water from Lake Sakakawea before it is delivered into the Hudson Bay basin. The Final EIS provides information and analyses related to four water treatment alternatives that would further reduce the risk of a Project-related biological invasion from the Missouri River basin into the Hudson Bay basin. Reclamation published a Draft EIS on December 21, 2007. The public comment period continued through March 26, 2008 Revisions were made in the Final EIS to incorporate responses to comments and identify the preferred alternative and the associated cost estimate. However, these revisions do not significantly

impact the analysis or results presented in the Draft EIS. The Final EIS includes written responses to all public comments on the Draft EIS.

DATES: Reclamation will not make a decision on the proposed action until at least 30 days after filing of the Final EIS. After the 30-day waiting period, Reclamation will complete a Record of Decision. The Record of Decision will identify the selected action for implementation and will discuss factors and rationale used in making the decision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alicia Waters, Northwest Area Water Supply Project EIS, Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office, P.O. Box 1017, Bismarck ND 58502; Telephone: (701) 221–1206, or FAX to (701) 250–4326. You may submit an email to *awaters@gp.usbr.gov*. Additional information is available to the public regarding this EIS and is posted on the Web site *http://www.usbr.gov/gp/dkao*. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Background: The Garrison Diversion Unit's Municipal, Rural, and Industrial Water Supply (MR&I) program was authorized by the U.S. Congress on May 12, 1986, through the Garrison Diversion Unit Reformulation Act of 1986. This Act authorized the appropriation of \$200 million of Federal funds for the planning and construction of water supply facilities throughout North Dakota. This Project is designed as a bulk water distribution system that will service local communities and rural water systems in 10 counties in northwestern North Dakota including the community of Minot. The Project is an inter-basin transfer of water from Lake Sakakawea, in the Missouri River basin to the water treatment plant (WTP) in Minot, North Dakota, in the Hudson Bay basin. Reclamation completed an Environmental Assessment (EA) and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Project in 2001. Project construction began in April 2002. In October 2002, the Province of Manitoba, Canada filed a legal challenge in U.S. District Court in Washington DC to compel the Department of the Interior to complete an EIS on the Project. A Court Order dated February 3, 2005, remanded the case to Reclamation for completion of additional environmental analysis.

Subsequent orders issued by the District Court allowed construction to continue on the distribution systems of the Project while the EIS was being prepared. Construction of the main water pipeline between Lake Sakakawea and the Minot WTP was completed in 2008. This buried pipeline was constructed with several safeguards which further reduce the risk of a pipeline breach resulting in a Projectrelated biological invasion.

Alternatives: The purpose of the proposed action is to adequately treat the Project water from the Missouri River basin (Lake Sakakawea) to further reduce the risk of a Project-related biological invasion into the Hudson Bay basin. The Final EIS considers four water treatment alternatives, a no action alternative and three action alternatives, to meet the purpose and need for the proposed action. Each of the alternatives evaluated include treatment processes which would occur within the Missouri River basin prior to the water being pumped through the buried pipeline to the Minot WTP. At the Minot WTP. the water would be treated to meet Safe Drinking Water Act standards.

Alternatives evaluated in the Final EIS include a no action alternative, as required by NEPA, and three action alternatives. The alternatives evaluated are:

• No Action. The selected action alternative in the FONSI (Reclamation 2001) was evaluated as the No Action Alternative in the EIS. The treatment process includes chemical disinfection of Missouri River water prior to delivery into the Hudson Bay basin. Ultraviolet (UV) disinfection would be provided along with softening and filtration at the existing Minot WTP.

• Basic Treatment. This treatment alternative would include a pretreatment (coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation) process followed by chemical and UV disinfection prior to crossing the drainage divide. The purpose of the pre-treatment process is to reduce raw water turbidity which can influence the effectiveness of the disinfection processes. Softening and filtration would be provided at the existing Minot WTP.

• Conventional Treatment. This treatment would include a pre-treatment of Dissolved Air Flotation followed by media filtration and disinfection using UV and chemicals (chlorine and chloramines) within the Missouri River basin. Softening and filtration would be provided at the existing Minot WTP.

• Microfiltration. This treatment alternative would include pre-treatment (coagulation, pin floc) followed by membrane filtration and chemical and UV disinfection processes prior to the water crossing the drainage divide. Softening and filtration would be provided at the existing Minot WTP.

The Preferred Alternative identified in the Final EIS includes a combination of the treatment processes evaluated in the alternatives described previously. The treatment process of the Preferred Alternative would include the chemical disinfection evaluated as part of the No Action Alternative and the UV disinfection process evaluated as part of the action alternatives. After this treatment within the Missouri River basin, the water would be pumped through the existing pipeline to the Minot WTP where it would be treated with lime softening and filtration to meet Safe Drinking Water Act standards.

All of the treatment alternatives evaluated would effectively inactivate and/or remove a broad range of organisms, including all of the potentially invasive species evaluated in the Final EIS. Estimated costs for construction and annual operation, maintenance and replacement of the alternatives evaluated are provided in the Final EIS.

Public Review Locations: The Final EIS is available for public inspection at the following locations:

• Bismarck Public Library, 515 North 5th Street, Bismarck, ND.

• Minot Public Library, 516 2nd Avenue SW., Minot, ND.

• Dakotas Area Office, Bureau of Reclamation, 304 East Broadway, Bismarck, ND.

• Bureau of Indian Affairs, Fort Berthold Agency, 202 Main Street, New Town, ND.

• North Dakota State Library, 603 East Boulevard Avenue, Bismarck, ND.

• Standing Rock Administrative Service Center, Building 1, North

Standing Rock Avenue, Fort Yates, ND.Mohall Public Library, 112 Main

Street East, Mohall, ND.

• Bottineau City Hall, 115 West 6th Street, Bottineau, ND.

Dated: November 26, 2008.

Bobbi C. Sherwood-Widmann,

Acting, Assistant Regional Director, Great Plains Region.

[FR Doc. E8–28829 Filed 12–4–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Oil Pollution Act

Notice is hereby given that on November 25, 2008, a proposed Consent Decree ("Decree") in *United States* v. *f/v North Wind, Inc.,* Civil Action No. 1:06–cv–00272–DAE–BMK, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii.

The civil action relates to the July 1, 2005 incident when the M/V Casitas, a 145-foot motor vessel owned and operated by f/v North Wind, Inc., ran