commercial product? In your response, please include a discussion of the testimony of Dr. Fraser-Reid at page 1874 of the transcript.

(3) Is there infringement of the asserted claims of the '463 patent under the doctrine of equivalents?

(4) Was the presence of 1',6'dichlorosucrose-6-ester necessary to distinguish the asserted claims of the '463 patent from the prior art? Is it necessary to interpret the phrase "in situ" in the Mufti reference in order to determine the validity of the '463 patent?

(5) What proof would be necessary for Tate & Lyle to show infringement of the asserted claims of the '551 and '969 patents?

(6) Are the asserted claims of the '551 and '969 patents invalid for obviousness in light of the use of organic tin catalysts in the prior art?

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background information, see the Commission Opinion, In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337–TA–360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) The public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to

approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount to be determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues under review. The submissions should be concise and thoroughly referenced to the record in this investigation, including references to exhibits and testimony. Additionally, the parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is requested to supply the expiration dates of the patents at issue and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on December 5, 2008. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on December 12, 2008. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and under sections 210.42–.46 of the

Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–.46).

Issued: November 21, 2008

By order of the Commission.

## William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E8–28223 Filed 11–26–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE CORPORATION

## [MCC FR 09-03]

## Notice of the December 11, 2008 Millennium Challenge Corporation Board of Directors Meeting; Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Millennium Challenge Corporation.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2 p.m. to 4 p.m., Thursday, December 11, 2008.

**PLACE:** Department of State, 2201 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20520.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Information on the meeting may be obtained from Suzi M. Morris via e-mail at *Board@mcc.gov* or by telephone at (202) 521–3600.

**STATUS:** Meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Millennium Challenge Corporation ("MCC") will hold a meeting to consider the selection of countries that will be eligible for FY 2009 Millennium Challenge Account ("MCA") assistance under Section 607 of the Millennium Challenge Act of 2003 (the "Act"), codified at 22 U.S.C. 7706, and Threshold Program assistance under Section 616 of the Act (22 U.S.C. 7715); discuss progress on proposed Compacts with certain MCA-eligible countries; discuss MCC's proposed policy on suspension, remediation, and termination of assistance and eligibility; and certain administrative matters. The agenda items are expected to involve the consideration of classified information and the meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: November 24, 2008.

## Henry C. Pitney,

Acting Vice President and General Counsel, Millennium Challenge Corporation. [FR Doc. E8–28482 Filed 11–25–08; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 9210–01–P