

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-533-808]

Stainless Steel Wire Rods From India: Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), that India Steel Works Limited (India Steel) is the successor-in-interest to Isibars Limited (Isibars). As a result, India Steel will be accorded the same treatment previously accorded to Isibars with regard to the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from India as of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman and Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3931 and (202) 482-1690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On December 1, 1993, the Department published an antidumping duty order on wire rods from India. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India*, 58 FR 63335 (December 1, 1993). On August 4, 2008, the Department received a request for a changed-circumstances review of this order from India Steel to determine if, for purposes of the antidumping law, India Steel is the successor-in-interest to Isibars.

On September 25, 2008, the Department published the notice of initiation for this changed-circumstances review and preliminarily found that India Steel is the successor-in-interest to Isibars and should be treated as such for antidumping duty cash-deposit purposes. See *Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review: Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India*, 73 FR 55498 (September 25, 2008). We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results but received no comments or requests for a hearing.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise under review is wire rods, which are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils. Wire rods are made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are only manufactured by hot-rolling and are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross section. The majority of wire rods sold in the United States are round in cross-section shape, annealed, and pickled. The most common size is 5.5 millimeters in diameter.

The wire rods subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive of whether the merchandise is covered by the order.

Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review

For the reasons stated in the preliminary results and because the Department did not receive any comments on the preliminary results of this review, the Department continues to find that India Steel is the successor-in-interest to Isibars for antidumping duty cash-deposit purposes.

Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of all shipments of the subject merchandise produced and exported by India Steel entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice at 30.10 percent (*i.e.*, Isibars's cash-deposit rate, as published in *Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 47177 (August 12, 2005)). This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review in which India Steel participates.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written

notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and sections 351.216(e) and 351.221(c)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 30, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-26411 Filed 11-4-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (PRC) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lyn Johnson or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0665 and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

Background

On July 1, 2008, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC. See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Review*, 73 FR 37411 (July 1, 2008). The Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from a domestic interested

party, the Crawfish Processors Alliance (CPA), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). On July 30, 2008, we received a complete substantive response from CPA within the 30-day deadline in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We did not receive responses from any other parties. Accordingly, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the order.

Scope of Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish, in all its forms (whether washed or with fat on, whether purged or unpurged), grades, and sizes; whether frozen, fresh, or chilled; and regardless of how it is packed, preserved, or prepared. Excluded from the scope of the order are live crawfish and other whole crawfish, whether boiled, frozen, fresh, or chilled. Also excluded are saltwater crawfish of any type, and parts thereof. Freshwater crawfish tail meat is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 1605.40.10.10 and 1605.40.10.90, which are the new HTSUS numbers for prepared foodstuffs, indicating peeled crawfish tail meat and other, as introduced by the CBP in 2000, and HTSUS numbers 0306.19.00.10 and 0306.29.00.00, which are reserved for fish and crustaceans in general. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised by CPA are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary, dated October 29, 2008, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were to be revoked. The Decision Memo, which is a public document, is on file in the Central Records Unit, main Department of Commerce building, Room 1117, and is accessible on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Percentage Margin
China Everbright Trading Company	156.77
Binzhou Prefecture Foodstuffs Import Export Corp. Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corp. Yancheng Foreign Trade Corp.	119.39 91.50
Jiangsu Cereals, Oils & Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp.	108.05
Yancheng Baolong Aquatic Foods Co., Ltd.	122.92
Huaiyin Ningtai Fisheries Co., Ltd.	122.92
Nantong Delu Aquatic Food Co., Ltd.	122.92
PRC-wide Rate	201.63

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 29, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-26394 Filed 11-4-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-274-804]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Trinidad and Tobago; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 26, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order

on carbon and alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from Trinidad and Tobago for the period of review (POR) October 1, 2006, through September 30, 2007.

We preliminarily determine that during the POR, ArcelorMittal Point Lisas Limited,¹ and its affiliate Mittal Steel North America Inc. (MSNA) (collectively, AMPL) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. The Department will issue the final results within 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 5, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore or Jolanta Lawska, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-8362, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 29, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on wire rod from Trinidad and Tobago; *see Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine*, 67 FR 65945 (*Wire Rod Orders*). On October 1, 2007, we published in the **Federal Register** the *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 72 FR 55741.

We received timely requests for review from petitioners,² and AMPL, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2). AMPL also requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order pursuant to 19 CFR

¹ ArcelorMittal Point Lisas Limited is the successor-in-interest to Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited. *See Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Trinidad and Tobago: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 73 FR 30052 (May 23, 2008).

² The petitioners are Gerda Ameristeel U.S. Inc. (formerly Co-Steel Raritan, Inc.), Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., North Star Steel Texas, Inc., Nucor Steel Connecticut, Inc., and Rocky Mountain Steel Mills (collectively, petitioners).