approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The NRC's analysis of the Licensee's final status survey data confirmed that the Facility meets the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. Additionally, denying the amendment request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are therefore similar, and the no-action alternative is accordingly not further considered.

#### Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

#### Agencies and Persons Consulted

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) for review on October 1, 2008. By response dated October 9, 2008, the State agreed with the conclusions of the EA, and otherwise provided no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

### III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

#### **IV. Further Information**

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at *http://www.nrc.gov/ reading-rm/adams.html*. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

1. Dee L. Clement, Pfizer, Inc., letter to William Snell, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 9, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML081920702);

2. Dee L. Clement, Pfizer, Inc., letter to William Snell, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, April 8, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML081010514);

3. Dee L. Clement, Pfizer, Inc., letter to William Snell, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 25, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML080930101);

4. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination";

5. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions";

6. NUREG–1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facility";

7. NUREG–1757, "Consolidated Decommissioning Guidance."

8. By response dated October 9, 2008, the State had no comments.

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301– 415–4737, or by e-mail to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov*. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois, this 22nd day of October 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## Christine Lipa,

Chief, Materials Control, ISFSI, and Decommissioning Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region III. [FR Doc. E8–26361 Filed 11–4–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Rule 15c2–7; OMB Control No. 3235–0479; SEC File No. 270–420.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 15c2-7 (17 CFR 240.15c2-7) places disclosure requirements on broker-dealers who have correspondent relationships, or agreements identified in the rule, with other broker-dealers. Whenever any such broker-dealer enters a quotation for a security through an inter-dealer quotation system, Rule 15c2–7 requires the broker-dealer to disclose these relationships and agreements in the manner required by the rule. The inter-dealer quotation system must also be able to make these disclosures public in association with the quotation the broker-dealer is making.

When rule 15c2–7 was adopted in 1964, the information it requires was necessary for execution of the Commission's mandate under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to prevent fraudulent, manipulative and deceptive acts by broker-dealers. In the absence of the information collection required under Rule 15c2–7, investors and broker-dealers would have been unable to accurately determine the market depth of, and demand for, securities in an inter-dealer quotation system.

There are approximately 5,808 brokerdealers registered with the Commission. Any of these broker-dealers could be potential respondents for Rule 15c2–7, so the Commission is using that figure to represent the number of respondents. Rule 15c2–7 applies only to quotations entered into an inter-dealer quotation system, such as the OTC Bulletin Board ("OTCBB"), or Pink Sheets, operated by Pink OTC Markets, Inc. According to representatives of both Pink Sheets and the OTCBB, neither entity has recently received, or anticipates receiving any Rule 15c2–7 notices. However, because such notices could be made, the Commission estimates that one filing is made annually pursuant to Rule 15c2– 7.

Based on prior industry reports, the Commission estimates that the average time required to enter a disclosure pursuant to the rule is .75 minutes, or 45 seconds. The Commission sees no reason to change this estimate. We estimate that impacted respondents spend a total of .0125 hours per year to comply with the requirements of Rule 15c2-7 (1 notice (×) 45 seconds/notice). The Commission estimates that a typical employee of a broker-dealer charged to ensure compliance with Commission regulations receives annual compensation of \$128,960. This compensation is the equivalent of \$62.00 per hour (\$128,960 divided by 2,080 payroll hours per year). Thus, the Commission estimates that the annual cost burden for compliance with Rule 15c2-7 is \$0.78 (\$62.00/hour multiplied by 0.0125 hours).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current and valid control number. Written comments are invited regarding: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of collecting information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your comments to Lewis W. Welker, Acting Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312 or send an e-mail to: *PRA\_Mailbox@sec.gov*.

## Florence E. Harmon,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–26389 Filed 11–4–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-28480; File No. 812-13474]

#### Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America, et al; Notice of Application

October 30, 2008.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"). **ACTION:** Notice of application for an order of approval pursuant to section 26(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act" or "Act") and an order of exemption pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act from Section 17(a) of the Act.

**APPLICANTS:** Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America ("Allianz Life") and Allianz Life Insurance Company of New York ("Allianz NY") (together the "Insurance Company Applicants"), their respective separate accounts Allianz Life Variable Account A ("Allianz Account A"), Allianz Life Variable Account B ("Allianz Account B"), and Allianz Life of NY Variable Account C ("Allianz Account C") (collectively with the Insurance Company Applicants, the "Applicants"), and Allianz Variable Insurance Products Trust (the "VIP Trust" and collectively with the Applicants, the "Section 17 Applicants").

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: The Applicants seek an order pursuant to section 26(c) of the 1940 Act, approving the substitution of certain securities (the "Substitution") issued by the Franklin **Templeton Variable Insurance Products** Trust ("FTVIPT") and held by Allianz Account A, Allianz Account B, or Allianz Account C (collectively, the "Separate Accounts") to support certain variable annuity contracts and variable life insurance contracts (the "Contracts") issued by Allianz Life and Allianz NY. The section 17 Applicants seek an order pursuant to section 17(b) of the 1940 Act exempting them to the extent necessary to permit them to engage in certain in-kind transactions in connection with the Substitution. FILING DATE: The application was filed

on January 11, 2008 and amended on October 30, 2008.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HEARING}}$  OR NOTIFICATION OF  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HEARING}}$  : An order granting the application will be

issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Secretary of the Commission and serving Applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 24, 2008, and should be accompanied by proof of service on Applicants in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the requester's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, SEC, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. Applicants, Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America, 5701 Golden Hills Dr., Minneapolis, MN 55416–1297.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sally Samuel, Senior Counsel, or Joyce M. Pickholz, Branch Chief, Office of Insurance Products, Division of Investment Management, at (202) 551– 6795.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The

following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee from the SEC's Public Reference Branch, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549 (tel. (202) 551–8090).

# Applicants' and VIP Trust's Representations

1. The Applicants propose to substitute certain classes of shares of the AZL Schroder Emerging Markets Equity Fund (the "New Fund") for the corresponding class of shares of the Templeton Developing Markets Securities Fund (the "Replaced Fund") currently held by the Separate Accounts. The following table shows the share classes of the New Fund and the Replaced Fund (each, a "Fund" and collectively, the "Funds") involved in the Substitution: