

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Langley, Staff Assistant, the National Institute for Literacy; 1775 I St., NW., Suite 730; phone: (202) 233-2025; fax: (202) 233-2050; e-mail: slangley@nifl.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board is authorized by section 242 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998, Public Law 105-220 (20 U.S.C. 9252). The Board consists of 10 individuals appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Board advises and makes recommendations to the Interagency Group that administers the Institute. The Interagency Group is composed of the Secretaries of Education, Labor, and Health and Human Services. The Interagency Group considers the Board's recommendations in planning the goals of the Institute and in implementing any programs to achieve those goals. Specifically, the Board performs the following functions: (a) Makes recommendations concerning the appointment of the Director and the staff of the Institute; (b) provides independent advice on operation of the Institute; and (c) receives reports from the Interagency Group and the Institute's Director.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the Director position for the Institute. The discussion is likely to disclose information of a personal nature where disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The discussion must therefore be held in closed session under exemptions 2 and 6 of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2) and (6). A summary of the activities at the closed session and related matters that are informative to the public and consistent with the policy of 5 U.S.C. 552b will be available to the public within 14 days of the meeting.

Request for Public Written Comment. The public may send written comments to the Advisory Board to Steve Langley at the National Institute for Literacy, 1775 I St., NW., Suite 730, Washington, DC 20006, e-mail: slangley@nifl.gov.

Records are kept of all Committee proceedings and are available for public inspection at the National Institute for Literacy, 1775 I St., NW., Suite 730, Washington, DC 20006, from the hours of 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Eastern Time Monday through Friday.

Electronic Access to This Document: You may view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document

Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/news/federegister>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html.

Dated: October 24, 2008.

Daniel Miller,

Acting Director, The National Institute for Literacy.

[FR Doc. E8-25822 Filed 10-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6055-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) has granted the request of Florida Power Corporation (the licensee) to withdraw its October 25, 2007, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-72 for the Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant (CR-3) located in Citrus County, Florida.

The proposed amendment would have revised the CR-3 Technical Specifications to impose more restrictive voltage and frequency limits during surveillance testing of the emergency diesel generators.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on January 29, 2008 (73 FR 5223). However, by letter dated August 26, 2008, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 25, 2007, and the licensee's letter dated August 26, 2008, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined and/or copied for a fee at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) located at One White Flint North, Public File Area 01 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of October 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Farideh E. Saba,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch 11-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E8-25773 Filed 10-28-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2009-1 and CP2009-2; Order No. 119]

Parcel Return Service Contract 1

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service Parcel Return Service Contract 1 negotiated service agreement. This action is consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations.

DATES: 1. Postal Service answers due on or before October 27, 2008.

2. Public comments due on October 29, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

On October 15, 2008, the Postal Service filed a formal request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* to add Parcel Return Service Contract 1 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that the Parcel Return Service Contract 1 product is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Return Service Contract to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, October 15, 2008 (Request).

1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2008–8.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. The contract is assigned Docket No. CP2009–2. The Postal Service represents that the contract fits within the proposed Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language.

Request. The Request incorporates (1) a redacted version of the Governors' Decision authorizing the new product; (2) a redacted version of the contract; (3) requested changes in the MCS product list; (4) a statement of supporting justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32; and (5) certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).² Substantively, it requests that the Parcel Return Service Contract 1 product be added to the competitive product list. Request at 1–2. The Postal Service states the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs and make a positive contribution to coverage of institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment D. The Postal Service also asserts that the contract will increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment E. As a result, the Request contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of this contract. *Id.* at 1.

Related Contract. A redacted version of the specific Parcel Return Service Contract 1 is included with the Request. The contract is for 2 years and is to be effective 1 day after the Commission approves the required addition of this product to the product list. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a) and 39 CFR 3015.7(c). See Request, Attachment to Governors' Decision and Attachment E. It notes that actual performance under this contract could vary from estimates, but concludes that the contract will remain profitable. *Id.*, Attachment to Governors' Decision.

² Attachment A to the Request consists of the redacted Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rates and Classes Not of General Applicability for Parcel Return Service (Governors' Decision No. 08–12). The Governors' Decision includes an attachment which is an analysis of the proposed Parcel Return Service Contract 1. Attachment B is the redacted version of the contract. Attachment C shows the requested changes to the MCS product list. Attachment D provides a statement of supporting justification for this Request. Attachment E provides the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). Unredacted documents and additional supporting information were filed under seal.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the Governors' Decision and the specific Parcel Return Service Contract 1, under seal. In its Request, the Postal Service maintains that the contract and related financial information, including the customer's name and the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions and financial projections should remain under seal. Request at 2.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009–1, and CP2009–2 for consideration of the Request pertaining to the Parcel Return Service Contract 1 product and the related contract. In keeping with practice, these dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this Order; however, future filings should be made in the specific docket in which issues being addressed pertain.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642 and 39 CFR part 3015 and 39 CFR 3020 subpart B. Comments are due no later than October 29, 2008. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Confidentiality

As noted, the Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials under seal, including, among other things, (1) the Governor's certification and voting record, and (2) the signatures, printed names, titles and dates executing the contract on behalf of the Postal Service. The foregoing material would not appear to warrant confidential treatment. The Postal Service should file unredacted copies of the foregoing no later than October 27, 2008. Alternatively, if the Postal Service believes that such material should remain confidential, it shall file a detailed justification for its position no later than October 27, 2008.

The Commission takes its responsibility to protect confidential information very seriously. For the Commission to adequately differentiate confidential information from non-confidential information, participants should appropriately label every page of a confidential document as confidential. This duty to label extends to all sheets of supporting spreadsheets submitted by participants. The Postal Service is urged to employ this practice.

IV. Supplemental Information

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's filings in these dockets. Pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.6, the Commission requests the Postal Service to provide written responses to the following questions. Answers should be provided as soon as practicable, but no later than October 27, 2008.

1. The following Excel spreadsheet incorporates several cost savings measures:

ContractFinancialAnalysisPRS[contract partner].xls. Please provide a narrative describing the basis for these cost savings.

2. Please provide the supporting data and narrative explanation for the value for the following Excel file: ContractFinancialAnalysisPRS[contract partner].xls tab: PartnerProfile cell:C5.

3. (a) Please provide the formulas and the underlying data supporting them for all "hardcoded" numbers for the Excel file identified in Question 2.

(b) Do the underlying data reflect volume shifts due to this contract? If not, please explain.

4. Will the methodology approved in Docket No. RM2008–6 be used for purposes of updating costs for subsequent years of this contract?

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009–1 and CP2009–2 for consideration of the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than October 29, 2008.

4. The Postal Service is directed to respond to the Commission's inquiries with respect to confidentiality and supplemental information as set forth in Sections III and IV of this Order no later than October 27, 2008.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Issued October 22, 2008.

Judith M. Grady,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–25743 Filed 10–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P