

employment eligibility verification process. The law further prohibits retaliation against individuals who file charges with OSC, who cooperate with an investigation, or who otherwise assert their rights under section 1324b.

OSC is required to investigate charges of discrimination alleging a violation of section 1324b and determine whether or not there is reasonable cause to believe that the charge is true. OSC may, on its own initiative, also conduct investigations respecting unfair immigration-related employment practices. It is OSC's longstanding practice to examine the totality of relevant circumstances in determining whether there is reasonable cause to believe that an employer has engaged in unlawful discrimination. Based upon the outcome of its investigation, OSC may bring a complaint before an administrative law judge seeking remedial relief for victims, injunctive relief to prevent future violations, and/or civil penalties. Section 1324b also provides a private right of action.

As a threshold matter, if OSC receives an allegation of discrimination by an employer in applying the safe-harbor procedures, it will first ascertain whether the alleged victim is an authorized worker who is protected from discrimination under section 1324b. If it concludes that the alleged victim is protected, OSC will initiate an investigation to determine whether there is reasonable cause to believe that the employer has engaged in unlawful discrimination.

An employer that receives an SSA no-match letter and terminates employees without attempting to resolve the mismatches, or who treats employees differently or otherwise acts with the purpose or intent to discriminate based upon national origin or other prohibited characteristics, may be found by OSC to have engaged in unlawful discrimination. However, if an employer follows all of the safe-harbor procedures outlined in DHS's no-match rule but cannot determine that an employee is authorized to work in the United States, and therefore terminates that employee, and if that employer applied the same procedures to all employees referenced in the no-match letter(s) uniformly and without the purpose or intent to discriminate on the basis of actual or perceived citizenship status or national origin, then OSC will not find reasonable cause to believe that the employer has violated section 1324b's antidiscrimination provision, and that employer will not be subject to suit by the United States under that provision.

Employers and employees who desire additional guidance regarding their

specific circumstances are encouraged to further explore OSC's Web site. Employer and employees also may call OSC for guidance. Employers may call 1-800-255-8155, or 1-800-237-2515 for the hearing impaired. The numbers for employees are 1-800-255-7688 or (202) 616-5525, and 1-800-237-2515 for the hearing impaired. Finally, OSC has an extensive public education program to inform employers and employees regarding their rights and duties under section 1324b. Speakers may be available nationwide for groups of 50 or more attendees for public affairs events, conferences, class seminars, and workshops. To request a speaker, please call OSC's Public Affairs staff at (202) 616-5594 or fax your request to (202) 616-5509.

Dated: October 20, 2008.

Grace Chung Becker,

Acting Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights.

[FR Doc. E8-25723 Filed 10-27-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated July 30, 2008 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 2008 (73 FR 45781), Boehringer Ingelheim Chemicals, Inc., 2820 N. Normandy Drive, Petersburg, Virginia 23805, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Phenylacetone (8501), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import the listed controlled substance to bulk manufacture amphetamine.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a) and determined that the registration of Boehringer Ingelheim Chemicals, Inc. to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest, and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Boehringer Ingelheim Chemicals, Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the

company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: October 21, 2008.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-25650 Filed 10-27-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances Notice of Registration

By Notice dated July 29, 2008, and published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 2008, (73 FR 45779), Almac Clinical Services Inc. (ACSI), 2661 Audubon Road, Audubon, Pennsylvania 19403, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedule II:

Drug	Schedule
Oxycodone (9143)	II
Fentanyl (9801)	II

The company plans to import small quantities of the listed controlled substances in dosage form to conduct clinical trials.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a) and determined that the registration of Almac Clinical Services Inc. (ACSI) to import the basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest, and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Almac Clinical Services, Inc. (ACSI) to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: October 21, 2008.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of
Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement
Administration.*

[FR Doc. E8-25649 Filed 10-27-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

October 22, 2008.

The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission of the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Amy Hobby on 202-693-4553 (this is not a toll-free number)/e-mail: DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment Standards Administration (ESA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316/Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), e-mail: OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment Standards Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of an existing OMB Control Number.

Title of Collection: Notice of Controversion of Right to Compensation.

OMB Control Number: 1215-0023.

Agency Form Number(s): LS-207.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profits.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 700.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 4,375.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden: \$8,662.

Description: The LS-207 is used by insurance carriers and self-insured employers to controvert claims under the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act. For additional information, see related notice published at 73 FR 37987 on July 2, 2008.

Darrin A. King,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-25652 Filed 10-27-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CF-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-63,761]

Level 3 Communications, L.L.C., Austin, TX; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated September 29, 2008, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Level 3 Communications, L.L.C., Austin, Texas (subject firm). The determination was issued on September 11, 2008. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on September 24, 2008 (73 FR 55137). The subject workers are engaged in telecommunication activities

related to network design and provisioning in support of customer requests, infrastructure, and network grooming activities.

The petition for TAA was denied because the workers do not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(a)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974. In order to be certified eligible to apply for ATAA, the worker group must be eligible to apply for TAA. Since the worker group is denied eligibility to apply for TAA, they cannot be certified eligible to apply for ATAA.

In the request for reconsideration, the worker stated that "the position I held did create an article that was required by the customers * * * a document referred to as an LOA (Letter of Authorization)." The worker also states that the LOA "provided vital information, which included the actual circuit and channel assignment, to the customer. Without this information they would not be able to physically connect to the correct equipment in the field * * * The LOA also gave them a legal document that stated they were allowed to connect to our equipment and or we were allowed to connect to their equipment in the field. The circuit design and or provisioning could not be done or move forward without this LOA."

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), administrative reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

In order to be considered eligible to apply for adjustment assistance, the worker group seeking certification must work for a firm or appropriate subdivision that produces an article and there must be a relationship between the workers' work and the article produced by the workers' firm or appropriate subdivision.

The workers' firm provides telecommunication network services. The firm's Web site states that it is a "provider of fiber-based communication services * * * Our network offerings include Internet Protocol (IP) services * * * content and video delivery, data and voice services." Further, previously-submitted documents, including the petition and the