

—resource exploration and extraction, including sand and gravel removal and timber harvesting.

Reclamation reviews applications to determine whether granting individual use authorizations is compatible with Reclamation's present or future uses of the lands, facilities, or waterbodies. When we find a proposed use compatible, we advise the applicant of the estimated administrative costs and estimated application processing time. In addition to the administrative costs, we require the applicant to pay the value of the use authorization based on an appraisal or competitive bidding. If the application is for construction of a bridge, building, or other significant construction project, Reclamation may require that all plans and specifications be signed and sealed by a professional engineer licensed by the State in which the work is proposed.

II. Changes to the Right of Use Application Form and Its Instructions

We changed the form and its instructions to comply with proposed revisions to 43 CFR part 429. The name of the form is now "Use Authorization Application" and "right-of-use" is replaced with "use authorization" in the form and instructions. We expanded the examples in the instructions of proposed uses for which you may seek permission. The instructions reflect the reduction of the application fee from \$200 to \$100. We made other changes to the form and the instructions to improve the readability and information-gathering. For instance, the form now requests day and evening phone numbers, instead of work and home numbers.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 1006-0003.

Title: Right-of-Use Application.

Form Number: Form 7-2540.

Frequency: Each time a right-of-use is requested.

Respondents: Individuals, corporations, companies, and State and local entities who want to use Reclamation lands, facilities, or waterbodies.

Estimated Annual Total Number of Respondents: 500.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Number of Annual Responses: 500.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1,000 hours.

Estimated Completion Time Per Respondent: 2 hours.

IV. Request for Comments

We invite your comments on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical use;

(b) the accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

We will summarize all comments received regarding this notice. We will publish that summary in the **Federal Register** when the information collection request is submitted to OMB for review and approval.

Before including your address, telephone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment (including your personal identifying information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 17, 2008.

Roseann Gonzales,

Policy and Program Services, Denver Office.

[FR Doc. E8-22916 Filed 9-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-647]

In the Matter of Certain Hand-Held Meat Tenderizers; Notice of Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on the Withdrawal of the Complaint

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 6) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the investigation based on the withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark B. Rees, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)

205-3116. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 8, 2008, the Commission instituted this investigation based on the complaint, as supplemented, of Jaccard Corporation of Orchard Park, New York ("Jaccard"), alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain hand-held meat tenderizers by reason of infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,172,879 and also by reason of infringement of trade dress. 73 FR 27846 (May 14, 2008). The respondents are Keystone Manufacturing, Inc. of Buffalo, New York and Mr. Bar-B-Q, Inc. of Old Bethpage, New York. 73 FR 41117 (July 17, 2008).

On August 26, 2008, Jaccard moved to withdraw its complaint and terminate the investigation as to all respondents "without prejudice." Respondents objected on the ground that the termination should be "with prejudice." The investigative attorney argued that the investigation should be terminated based on the withdrawal of the complaint without styling the termination as either with or without prejudice. The ALJ agreed and therefore granted the termination without stating that it is "with prejudice" or "without prejudice." No petitions for review of this ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review this ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: September 24, 2008.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E8-22862 Filed 9-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Exelon Nuclear Texas Holdings, LLC; Notice of Receipt and Availability of Application for a Combined License

On September 2, 2008, Exelon Nuclear Texas Holdings, LLC filed with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) pursuant to Section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act and Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants," an application for a combined license (COL) for two economic simplified boiling water reactor (ESBWR) nuclear power plants, to be located in Victoria County, Texas. The reactors are to be identified as Victoria County Station, Units 1 and 2.

An applicant may seek a COL in accordance with Subpart C of 10 CFR Part 52. The information submitted by the applicant includes certain administrative information such as financial qualifications submitted pursuant to [10 CFR 52.77], as well as technical information submitted pursuant to [10 CFR 52.79].

Subsequent **Federal Register** notices will address the acceptability of the tendered COL application for docketing and provisions for participation of the public in the COL review process.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, and via the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. The cover letter ADAMS accession number is ML082540469. Future publicly available documents related to the application will also be posted in ADAMS. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC Public Document Room staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. The application is also available at <http://>

www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/col.html.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of September, 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mark E. Tonacci,

Senior Project Manager, ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch 2, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. E8-22909 Filed 9-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-3400, License No. P-4001 (Expired), R-230 (Expired)]

Salmon River Uranium Development Site; Notice of Completion of Remediation at Salmon River Uranium Development Site, Near North Fork, ID

ACTION: Notice of completion of remediation at the Salmon River Uranium Development Site, near North Fork, Idaho.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is noticing the completion of remediation activities at the Salmon River Uranium Development Site, near North Fork, Idaho.

Background: The U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) issued Source Material License P-4001 to Salmon River Uranium Development, Inc. (SRUD) on October 10, 1958. This license authorized SRUD to possess and transfer source material. On March 30, 1959, the AEC issued Source Material License No. R-0230 to SRUD. This license authorized the receipt and possession of source material for processing. Source Material License No. R-0230 expired on June 30, 1959 and Source Material License No. P-4001 expired on October 31, 1959.

Both uranium and thorium ores were processed at the site. Processing of source material occurred at two separate times, the late-1950s and the late-1970s. Processing operations were conducted in the late-1950s in accordance with the AEC licenses. During the late-1970s, pilot plant operations were conducted at the site to determine the viability of experimental ore processing techniques.

The SRUD site was placed on the NRC's Site Decommissioning Management Plan (SDMP) list in 1994. In May 2001, NRC staff visited the SRUD site and identified thorium contamination in the form of partially processed ore. In 2003, the NRC and the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education conducted scoping surveys of the site. During 2004 and 2005, NRC

staff worked with the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to establish an approach for remediation of the site.

EPA agreed to perform remediation activities at the SRUD site in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601(14) and (33). A Removal Action Work Plan (ADAMS No. ML072880344), which specified its step-by-step process for conducting cleanup activities at the SRUD site, was developed by the EPA and approved by the NRC.

The EPA's Removal Action Work Plan included the removal and disposal of hazardous chemical and radiological contaminants that may pose a threat to workers, public health and welfare, and the environment. EPA's radiological release criteria was based on a recreational use scenario for the site.

Implementation of the EPA's work plan began on October 23, 2007, and was completed on June 3, 2008. Contaminated waste material above the unrestricted release criteria was shipped to licensed disposal sites. EPA's work activities summary report is documented in the Final Removal Action Report, dated September 12, 2008 (ADAMS No. ML082590288).

The NRC staff conducted confirmatory radiological surveys of site structures and land areas and collected soil samples for analysis by the NRC's independent laboratory contractor to verify results obtained by EPA. Confirmatory surveys consisted of surface scans for alpha, beta and gamma radiation, direct measurements for total alpha and beta activity, collection and analysis of soil samples for thorium and uranium, and collection of smear samples for determining removable radioactivity levels. The survey information and sample results are documented in Inspection Reports 040-03400/07-01 (ADAMS No. ML080320117) and 040-03400/08-01 (ADAMS No. ML082180190). The NRC performed an independent dose assessment using the recreational scenario employed by the EPA to evaluate the EPA's cleanup criteria and evaluate the condition of the SRUD site.

Based on the considerations discussed above, the Commission has concluded that: (1) Radioactive material above release limits has been properly disposed; (2) reasonable effort has been made to eliminate residual radioactive contamination; and (3) FSSs and associated documentation demonstrate that the site is suitable for unrestricted release in accordance with the criteria in 10 CFR Part 20, Subpart E. Therefore,